

Guidance for Completing Hazard Mitigation Strategy Template 2

Example of City of Pleasantville Hazard Mitigation Strategy

A. Local Hazard Mitigation Goals

(short narrative followed by goals, related to the hazards rated high & possibly medium; see FEMA Guidance pg 3-30)

The City of Pleasantville held an all-day workshop to discuss hazard mitigation ... Consistent with the community's ranking of hazards, the goals for hazard mitigation are:

Goal 1. Reduce flood damage in the city
(Optional: Objective 1: Minimize future damage due to flooding of Harry's Creek)

Goal 2. ...

B. Analysis of Mitigation Actions

(short narrative on how city analyzed alternative actions; see FEMA Guidance pg 3-32)

The City of Pleasantville, with input from its Emergency Preparedness Committee, and based on responses to a survey distributed at area libraries, analyzed a range of mitigation actions to meet its goals...

C. Implementation of Mitigation Actions

(brief intro followed by mitigation actions in a standardized format, one after the other; see FEMA Guidance pg 3-36)

The City of Pleasantville has identified several mitigation actions towards meeting its goals...

Action 1. Reduce flooding along Harry's Creek

Ideas for Implementation:

- Complete FEMA review and digitization of Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) for Harry's Creek
- Meet with homeowner's associations and businesses along Harry's Creek to review results of FIRM review
- Initiate program to acquire and relocate flood-prone structures and repetitive loss properties
- ...

Responsible Agency: City of Pleasantville DPW
Plan Goals Addressed: Reduce flood damage in the city
Timeline: Short term
Funding: Capital Improvements Program, Federal grant
Priority: Medium

Action 2. xxxxxxxxxxxx

Suggested Format for Mitigation Actions

For each action, the following items would be prepared:

Ideas for Implementation: Listing of suggestions or examples for implementation. The list would not be exhaustive, but would include some key activities, especially in the early stages.

Responsible Agency: The entity/entities with responsibility to implement the recommended mitigation action. In the case of the city, the greater detail (such as department) the better. In other cases, it may be a different agency (such as bridge replacement by the County).

Plan Goals Addressed: Listing of the goal/s being addressed by that action. The goals in turn are directly related to the hazards identified as priority for the city.

Timeline: Action items include short-term activities already underway or likely within the next two years (dependent on funding), or long-term activities which the responsible agency may implement within the next five years.

Funding: The potential sources of funding needed to implement the mitigation action. Examples might be Capital Improvements Program, federal or state funding, existing staff, etc.

Priority: The city's priority for implementation of the mitigation action ... high, medium or low. This could take into account the ranking of the hazard, the likely availability of funding within the timeframe desired, whether the city or a different entity is the responsible agency, etc.

Communities may present in a narrative form per the example, or consolidate into tabular form, as long as each item is addressed for each recommended mitigation action.