

Proposition 12 Funding Partnership: **Update on Advancing Project Construction**

Public Meeting

January 19, 2012

Adam Beckom, AICP
North Central Texas Council of Governments

Proposition 12 Funding Goals

Fund projects that:

- Reduce congestion
- Improve air quality
- Enhance roadway safety
- Increase statewide connectivity
- Build and maintain statewide bridge projects
- Improve economic opportunities

Dallas-Fort Worth allocation

- \$856M

Proposition 12 PE/ROW* Funds

Latest Developments

- In September 2011, the Regional Transportation Council selected projects to be funded with Proposition 12 dollars.
- In January 2012, it was requested that the Proposition 12 PE/ROW* funds **not** be spent on public private partnerships.
- Result – DFW region needs to substitute Proposition 12 funds on SH 183 in Irving with another funding category.
- TxDOT and MPO** staff coordinated to determine the best way to exchange funds.
- Funding exchange maintains all prior funding levels. It is just a change in funding source between projects.

* Preliminary Engineering/Right-of-Way

** Metropolitan Planning Organization-regional planning organization

Proposition 12 PE/ROW Funding Exchange

(\$ in Millions)

| | Prop 12 PE/ROW | RTR | LBJ Funding Balance ¹ | Category 2 Funds | (Plus) Other Funds | Total Funds |
|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| SH 183 (Irving) | \$ 65.00 0.00 | \$ 0.00 0.00 | \$30.44 80.44 | \$ 0.00 15.00 | \$ 4.56 4.56 | \$100.00 100.00 |
| IH 30/IH 35E Horseshoe² | 53.75 100.75 | 72.00 21.45 | 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 696.73 696.73 | 818.93 818.93 |
| Trinity Phase 1³ (non-tolled section) | 0.00 18.00 | 1.00 48.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 81.70 16.70 | 0.00 0.00 | 82.70 82.70 |
| US 67⁴ | 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 50.00 0.00 | 0.00 50.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 50.00 50.00 |
| | \$118.75 | \$69.45 | \$80.44 | \$81.70 | \$701.29 | \$1,051.63 |

¹ Funding source for “LBJ Funding Balance” is expected to be Category 2 funds.

² If the Horseshoe project bids for less than expected, the RTC may want to consider using cost savings to substitute funding on other projects like the US 67 project.

³ Extend limits to incorporate further sections of Trinity Parkway to IH 30.

⁴ Extend limits to incorporate northern sections of US 67 and IH 35E to the Horseshoe project.

Results of Funding Exchange

- Fulfills the request to utilize Proposition 12 funds on traditional projects
- Reconfirms funding for the four impacted projects
- Advances funding for Trinity Phase 1 (non-tolled section)
- Results in a dollar-for-dollar substitution of funding on all projects, including the Trinity (i.e., funding is not being removed)
- Anticipate Texas Transportation Commission approval of these Proposition 12 funds in February 2012

Proposition 12 Funding Timeline/Next Steps

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| January 2012 | <ul style="list-style-type: none">•New request from the State•Public meeting (Prop 12 updates) |
| February 2012 | Request RTC* approval of Prop 12 funding swaps |
| February 2012 | Approval of project selections by TxDOT |
| March 2012 & beyond | <ul style="list-style-type: none">•Amend projects in the regional funding document (2011-2014 TIP/STIP**) as needed•Continue to monitor progress of Proposition 12 projects |

* Regional Transportation Council

** Transportation Improvement Program/Statewide Transportation Improvement Program

Questions/Contact Information

Adam Beckom, AICP

Senior Transportation Planner

Transportation Project Programming

abeckom@nctcog.org

817-608-2344

Christie J. Gotti

Senior Program Manager

Transportation Project Programming

cgotti@nctcog.org

817-608-2338