

Applying Real-World Heavy-Duty Emissions Data to Inform Inventory Development

Presenter: Mo Chen

Mo Chen, Yirui Liang, Jiachen Zhang, David Quiros, Shaohua Hu, Tao Huai, Kevin Sothy, Belinda Chen, Shobna Sahni, John Karim California Air Resources Board

Background of EMFAC





Decades of EMFAC Evolvement

from humble beginnings...



https://arb.ca.gov/emfac/

EMFAC			CA AIR	ALIFO RESOURCES	RNI/
♠ EMISSIONS	PROJECT ANALYSIS	SCENARIO ANALYSIS	FLEET DATABASE	META	۵
Project Ana	alysis				
This project-level (PL) and relative humidity) different from those p older passenger vehicl is being applied.	web tool provides emission that users input. Please no rovided by EMFAC2021 softv es, transit buses, and SOx e	n rates under customized m te that emission rates extra ware. These differences are emissions and are mainly du	eteorological conditio cted from this web too expected for some sp ie to how the meteoro	ns (temperat ol might be sl ecial cases su ological corre	ure ightly ich as ction
Model Version	EMFAC2021 v1.0.1	EMFAC2017 v1.0.3			
Region Type 🥝	Sub-Area County	Metropolitan Planning Organia	ation Air District A	Air Basin	
Region	Los Angeles (SC)	Skiyou (NEP) Modoc (NEP) () Shasta (SV) Tehama (SV) Lassen (NEP) Tehama (SV) Sierra (MC)Mo Places (T) Places	b (GBV) e (SIV) e (SIV) san Bernardin	St Geo	C Sal

Heavy-Duty Diesel Vehicles Are a Major Contributor to Air Pollution in CA





Modeling Heavy-Duty (HD) Emission Rates in EMFAC

Emission Rate
$$\left(\frac{g}{mile}\right) = BER \times SCF$$

- Base Emission Rates (BER) are developed for each Model Year group and weight class group (MHD/HHD).
- Speed correction factors (SCFs) account for variation of emissions under different vehicle speed.

Typical SCFs of SCR*-equipped HD Vehicles





Heavy-duty Vehicle Speed Correction Factors (SCFs) Modeling in EMFAC

•

Current SCFs developed using Dyno data



EMFAC2021 HHD 2013+MY

EMFAC2021

- Used mostly lab dyno testing data for HD emission rates and SCFs
- SCFs differentiated by weight class (MHD, HHD)
- Potential EMFAC202Y Improvements
 - More detailed SCFs by vocation and MY group
 - One step forward to transition emission data analysis from lab dyno testing toward PEMS based approaches

Heavy-duty Portable Emissions Monitoring Systems (PEMS) Testing

New Riverside Lab



Heavy-duty Portable Emissions Monitoring Systems (PEMS) Testing

New Riverside Lab



Goal: to explore how PEMS can be used to better inform EMFAC HD emission rates



Data Source of HDIUT PEMS

- Heavy-Duty In-Use Testing (HDIUT): a manufacturer-run program reported PEMS testing to USEPA and CARB since 2005
- 776 vehicles from 19 manufacturers
 - 566 were used for analysis, the rest were filtered out for either ambiguous vehicle info or missing data
- Testing date range: 2006 2021
- Engine model year range: 2003 2017
- Data type: 1Hz
 - NOx (and other pollutants) emissions
 - Vehicle speed
 - Temperature (ambient, exhaust)
 - Engine status (RPM, torque)
- Data length: typically 1-2 days





Variables Correlated with Instantaneous NOx Emissions

PEMS Data from 566 samples







Variables Correlated with Instantaneous NOx Emissions



11

 $NOx (g/s) = a \cdot HP + b \cdot Speed + c \cdot Acceleration + d \cdot T_{exh} + e$

Subgroup	Pre-2010 MY	2010-2012 MY	2013+ MY	Pre-2010 MY	2010-2012 MY	2013+ MY
	Class 4-7	Class 4-7	Class 4-7	Class 8	Class 8	Class 8
r^2	0.58	0.20	0.08	0.50	0.17	0.14

- Using linear regression, the four variables together can explain:
 - >50% of instantaneous NOx emissions for Pre-2010 Model Year trucks (no SCR equipped)
 - <20% of instantaneous NOx emissions for Post-2010 Model Year trucks (SCR equipped)



Informing Emission Rates by Speed using PEMS

Current SCFs Developed using Dyno data



Speed Bin Method

Local_Time	NOX_Mass_Sec_Final	Veh_Speed	Speed_Bins
183424	0.0375	6.773	10
183425	0.0419	8.987	10
183426	0.0361	10.693	15
183427	0.0346	12.076	15
183428	0.038	13.79	15
183429	0.0389	15.47	20
183430	0.0402	17.461	20



Speed Bin Method using PEMS



15

Micro-trip Method using PEMS



Micro-trip Method using PEMS

Single HDD 2013+ Vehicle Sample





Comparing Micro-trip Method with Chassis Dyno Data



--- chassis dyno --- PEMS Micro-trip --- EMFAC2021



*TBSP: Truck and Bus Surveillance Program

Discussion of Micro-trip Method

- Need to refine micro-trip definition
 - e.g., min/max trip length, filter out idling
- Incorporate multiple PEMS routes' data (city, highway) to make SCF curve fitting more representative
- Increase vehicle sample size across weight and vocation categories



Summary

- Engine power output (+), vehicle speed (-), vehicle acceleration (+), exhaust temperature (-) are correlated with instantaneous NOx emissions, across all engine MY and weight class groups in HDIUT dataset.
- Using micro-trip method to analyze PEMS can give similar speed correction factors as using chassis dynamometer data, while providing larger sample size and higher vocation resolution.



Next steps

- Evaluate and apply the two new methods to develop SCFs for EMFAC202Y.
- Keep using chassis dyno data to develop HD base emission rates, with continuing efforts of comparing emission rates derived from PEMS and dyno.
- Acquire more PEMS data through CARB internal testing programs and extramural contracts for further analysis



Thank You!

Mo Chen, Ph.D.

Air Pollution Specialist

Mobile Source Technology Assessment and Modeling Section (MSTAMS)

California Air Resources Board

mo.chen@arb.ca.gov



Pre-2010 MY	NOx (g/s)	$r^2 = 0.58$
Class 4-7 Trucks	= 5.74 × 10 ⁻⁴ HP - 1.59 × 10 ⁻⁶ Speed + 1.22 × 10 ⁻⁹ Acc - 2.43	× 10 ⁻⁵ T_{exh}
2010-2012 MY	NOx (g/s)	$r^2 = 0.20$
Class 4-7 Trucks	= 1.12 × 10 ⁻⁴ HP - 5.98 × 10 ⁻⁵ Speed + 1.59 × 10 ⁻³ Acc - 1.8 ×	× $10^{-5} T_{exh}$
2013+ MY	NOx (g/s)	$r^2 = 0.08$
Class 4-7 Trucks	= 5.61 × 10 ⁻⁵ HP - 6.91 × 10 ⁻⁵ Speed + 1.29 × 10 ⁻³ Acc - 9.48	× 10 ⁻⁶ T_{exh}
Pre-2010 MY	NOx (g/s)	$r^2 = 0.50$
Class 8 Trucks	= 5.05 × 10 ⁻⁴ HP - 2.23 × 10 ⁻⁴ Speed + 2.03 × 10 ⁻³ Acc - 7.52	× 10 ⁻⁵ T_{exh}
2010-2012 MY	NOx (g/s)	$r^2 = 0.17$
Class 8 Trucks	= 9.7 × 10 ⁻⁵ HP - 1.85 × 10 ⁻⁵ Speed + 1.25 × 10 ⁻² Acc - 1.94 ×	× $10^{-5} T_{exh}$
2013+ MY	NOx (g/s)	$r^2 = 0.14$
Class 8 Trucks	= 8.68 × 10 ⁻⁵ HP - 2.13 × 10 ⁻⁴ Speed + 3.79 × 10 ⁻³ Acc - 2.82	× 10 ⁻⁵ T_{exh}



 $NOx (g/s) = \mathbf{A} \cdot HP + \mathbf{B} \cdot Speed + \mathbf{C} \cdot Acceleration + \mathbf{D} \cdot T_{exh}$

Subgroup	A	В	С	D	r^2
Pre-2010 MY Class 4-7	5.74×10^{-4}	-1.59×10^{-6}	1.22×10^{-9}	-2.43×10^{-5}	0.58
2010-2012 MY Class 4-7	1.12×10^{-4}	-5.98×10^{-5}	1.59×10^{-3}	-1.8×10^{-5}	0.20
2013+ MY Class 4-7	5.61×10^{-5}	-6.91×10^{-5}	1.29×10^{-3}	-9.48×10^{-6}	0.08
Pre-2010 MY Class 8	5.05×10^{-4}	-2.23×10^{-4}	2.03×10^{-3}	-7.52×10^{-5}	0.50
2010-2012 MY Class 8	9.7×10^{-5}	-1.85×10^{-5}	1.25×10^{-2}	-1.94×10^{-5}	0.17
2013+ MY Class 8	8.68×10^{-5}	-2.13×10^{-4}	3.79×10^{-3}	-2.82×10^{-5}	0.14
MUAKB					24

2013+ MY Class 8 Trucks

 $NOx(g/s) = A \cdot HP + B \cdot Speed + C \cdot Accelaration + D \cdot T_{exh}$

	А	В	С	D	r^2
Regular Linear Regression	8.68×10^{-5}	-2.13×10^{-4}	3.79×10^{-3}	-2.82×10^{-5}	0.14
Simple Moving Average Regression	4.84×10^{-5}	-1.19×10^{-4}	3.03×10^{-2}	-2.23×10^{-5}	0.06
Exponentially Weighted Moving Average Regression	7.95×10^{-5}	-1.97×10^{-4}	3.53×10^{-2}	-2.34×10^{-5}	0.09



Micro-trip Method using PEMS



CARB

Modeling Heavy-Duty (HD) Emission Rates in EMFAC





Increasing percentage of high-emitting (up to 12X ZMR) vehicles w/ emissions after-treatment malfunction as the fleet ages → larger fleet-average emission rate Speed correction factors (SCFs) account for variation of emissions for SCR*-equipped vehicles under different operating conditions (e.g., low load)

*SCR=Selective catalytic reduction