Regional Emergency Preparedness Advisory Committee (REPAC)

August 14, 2018
Welcome

- Opening Remarks/EPPC Update
- Roll Call
- Approval of last meeting’s notes
REPAC Handbook

Overview

- Table of Contents
- Record of Changes
- Introduction
- Acronym List
- REPAC Operating Guidelines
- SHSP Operating Guidelines
- Working Group Guidelines
- Key Dates Overview
- Code of Conduct
- Working Group Chair Acknowledgement of Responsibilities Form
- REPAC Nomination Form
- REPAC Alternate Form
- External Travel Policy for Regional Partners (Attachment)
REPAC Handbook

- Revised items in the Handbook from the REPAC Handbook Review Meeting
- Living document that we want to update as needed
REPAC Handbook

- **Highlights from the Handbook**
  - Allowing for Nomination forms to be sent to COG staff and COG staff will then route the forms to EPPC
  - Allowing for two alternates; a primary and a secondary
  - Allowing for excused absences to be approved by the chairs for missing REPAC meetings
  - Adding that REPAC members must have 3 years of experience in their SME or related field
  - Adding that an Executive Summary is required for project submission
REPAC Handbook

- **Highlights from the Handbook cont.**
  - Adding language about the OOG
  - Adding a Record of Changes section
  - Adding a Key Dates section
  - The REPAC Code of Conduct, Working Group Acknowledgement of Responsibilities, REPAC Nomination and Alternate Forms, and the updated External Travel Policy for Regional Partners are all included.
REPAC Handbook

- **Highlights from the Handbook cont.**
  - Outlining REPAC Chair, WG Chair, and COG staff responsibilities
    - Due to every WG being unique, COG staff will continue to have discussions with the Working Groups and REPAC about note taking and the best way to meet this need

- **Vote to approve the REPAC Handbook**
THIRA/RIP/SPR

- Justin Cox, City of Fort Worth
THIRA/SPR/RIP

8/14/2018
Justin Cox – City of Fort Worth
Agenda

- Introductions
- THIRA/SPR/RIP Overview
- FEMA Multi-Year Timeline
- Core Capabilities List
- THIRA Process
  - Current Threats & Hazards
- SPR Process
- Regional Timeline
THIRA/SPR/Urban Area Strategy Overview

- **Threat and Hazard Identification Risk Assessment (THIRA):**
  - Identify Threats and Hazards
  - Set capability targets for each core capability
  - Required by FEMA in grant guidance; also required in grant applications

- **Stakeholder Preparedness Review (SPR):**
  - Identify gaps and how to address those gaps
  - FEMA utilizes this assessment to provide Congress data on why we need to continue this funding
  - Required by FEMA in grant guidance; also required in grant applications

- **Regional Implementation Plan (RIP):**
  - Shows how COGs will spend their SHSP funding and close gaps based on state objectives
  - This won’t be done by the vendor; will be completed by COG staff with assistance from SME’s
  - Required by State for SHSP grant funding
FEMA THIRA/SPR Cycle

- In 2019, the THIRA will move to a three-year reporting cycle

- The planned requirements for states, territories, and urban areas from 2018-2022 are as follows:
  - **2018**: Complete the THIRA/SPR for the cross-cutting, Response, and Recovery core capabilities
  - **2019**: Complete the THIRA/SPR for all core capabilities (Year 1 of 3)
  - **2020**: Update the SPR (Year 2 of 3)
  - **2021**: Update the SPR (Year 3 of 3)
  - **2022**: Complete the THIRA/SPR for all core capabilities (Year 1 of 3)

- As mentioned in the previous slide, tribes are only required to complete the THIRA/SPR for eight of the cross-cutting, Response, and Recovery core capabilities in 2018
Core Capabilities

### The Five Mission Areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prevention</th>
<th>Protection</th>
<th>Mitigation</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Recovery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Public Information and Warning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Operational Coordination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intelligence and Information Sharing</th>
<th>Community Resilience</th>
<th>Infrastructure Systems</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interdiction and Disruption</td>
<td>Long-Term Vulnerability Reduction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screening, Search, and Detection</td>
<td>Risk and Disaster Resilience</td>
<td>Environmental Response/Health and Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forensics and Attribution</td>
<td>Access Control and Identity Verification</td>
<td>Threats and Hazards Identification</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cybersecurity</th>
<th>Fire Management and Suppression</th>
<th>Natural and Cultural Resources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical Protective Measures</td>
<td>Logistics and Supply Chain Management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk Management for Protection Programs and Activities</td>
<td>Mass Care Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Cross-cutting Core Capabilities

Three Core Capabilities span across all five mission areas:

- Forensics and Attribution
- Cybersecurity
- Risk Management for Protection Programs and Activities

### Core Capabilities: The 32 distinct activities needed to address the greatest risks facing the Nation

- Planning
- Public Information and Warning
- Operational Coordination
- Intelligence and Information Sharing
- Community Resilience
- Infrastructure Systems
- Interdiction and Disruption
- Long-Term Vulnerability Reduction
- Screening, Search, and Detection
- Risk and Disaster Resilience
- Forensics and Attribution
- Access Control and Identity Verification
- Threats and Hazards Identification
- Cybersecurity
- Fire Management and Suppression
- Logistics and Supply Chain Management
- Physical Protective Measures
- Mass Care Services
- Risk Management for Protection Programs and Activities
- Mass Search and Rescue Operations
- Supply Chain Integrity and Security
- On-Scene Security, Protection, and Law Enforcement
- Operational Communications
- Natural and Cultural Resources
- Mass Search and Rescue Operations
- Situational Assessment

### Mission Areas: Categories used to organize the core capabilities and national preparedness activities

- Prevention
- Protection
- Mitigation
- Response
- Recovery
- Planning
- Public Information and Warning
- Operational Coordination
- Community Resilience
- Infrastructure Systems
- Long-Term Vulnerability Reduction
- Critical Transportation
- Economic Recovery
- Screening, Search, and Detection
- Risk and Disaster Resilience
- Environmental Response/Health and Safety
- Health and Social Services
- Forensics and Attribution
- Access Control and Identity Verification
- Threats and Hazards Identification
- Cybersecurity
- Fire Management and Suppression
- Logistics and Supply Chain Management
- Physical Protective Measures
- Mass Search and Rescue Operations
- Supply Chain Integrity and Security
- On-Scene Security, Protection, and Law Enforcement
- Operational Communications
- Natural and Cultural Resources
- Mass Search and Rescue Operations
- Situational Assessment

### FEMA

Federal Emergency Management Agency
THIRA Step 1: Identify Threats & Hazards

Communities identify threats and hazards based on impacts and likelihood

- Communities identify their threats and hazards of greatest concern
- Communities identify all threats and hazards that they believe could pose the greatest challenge to at least one core capability

Enter Threats and Hazards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flooding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category 3 Hurricane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active Shooter at Mall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazmat Release</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Threat Category: Natural
Threat Type: Hurricane / Typhoon
Terrorism: No
Current THIRA Threats & Hazards

• Flooding
• Severe Thunderstorms
• Tornadoes
• Wildfire/Wildland Fire
• Gas Well/Pipeline
• Dam/Levee Failure
• CBRNE- Biological
• Complex Coordinated Terrorist Attack
THIRA Step 2: Add Context and Impacts

Communities develop context descriptions and estimate impacts, which they will use to inform capability targets

- Communities **describe** the threats and hazards they identified, explaining how they may affect the community and challenge the core capabilities
- Communities identify the impact a threat or hazard may have on a community using **standardized impact language**

### Context Description

A Category 4 hurricane with sustained winds of 127 mph and wind gusts approaching 140 mph makes landfall at 0900. The hurricane system leaves damage across the state affecting government infrastructure, homes, and businesses. Communications, water, and power generation infrastructure sustained significant damage. Survivors evacuate their homes and require shelter. Roadways are blocked by debris limiting responder access.

### Standardized Impacts (Partial List)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact Category</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of evacuees</td>
<td>(#)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of customers without power</td>
<td>(#)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of businesses closed</td>
<td>(#)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of hazmat release sites</td>
<td>(#)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of people requiring sheltering</td>
<td>29,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of fatalities</td>
<td>(#)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of structure fires</td>
<td>(#)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of affected healthcare facilities</td>
<td>(#)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of people requiring rescue</td>
<td>(#)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of animals affected</td>
<td>(#)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of jurisdictions affected</td>
<td>(#)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of people requiring medical care</td>
<td>(#)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What source(s) did you use to develop the context description and calculate your impacts?

- Real-world events
- SMEs
- Response plans
- Models and tools
- Exercises
- Other: _______

*Free-Text: You can use this field to describe the sources you used in greater detail.*
THIRA Step 3: Set Capability Targets

Communities will set targets on a three year cycle to establish a consistent baseline for assessment

- FEMA will provide communities with standardized target statements, which provide the framework for capability targets for each core capability
  - Communities will fill out the community-specific metrics in the standardized target statements

- Communities are required to set capability targets, which capture the level of capability they realistically seek to build to

- Communities will also identify the threat or hazard that most stresses each target and describe why

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capability Target (Mandatory)</th>
<th>Maximum Requirement (Optional)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Within 48 hours of an incident, provide emergency sheltering for 20,000 people; maintain sheltering operations for 14 days</td>
<td>Within 48 hours of an incident, provide emergency sheltering for 29,000 people; maintain sheltering operations for 21 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most Stressful Threat/Hazard: Hurricane / Typhoon
SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities (1/2)

Using the same language as their targets, communities annually identify and update their current capabilities

- Communities identify and describe how their capability has changed over the past year, broken down by capability lost, sustained, and built
- FEMA will provide communities with guidance on how to include resource considerations in the free-text fields

### How Has Your Capability Changed Over the Past Year?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shelter 15,000 people</td>
<td>Lost capability to shelter 3,000 people</td>
<td>Sustained capability to shelter 12,000 people</td>
<td>Built the capability to shelter 1,000 people</td>
<td>Shelter 13,000 people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Planning</td>
<td>Planning</td>
<td>Planning</td>
<td>Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>Equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exercises</td>
<td>Exercises</td>
<td>Exercises</td>
<td>Exercises</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Describe how you built, sustained, and lost capability over the last year, and the role played by mutual aid

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capability Lost</th>
<th>Capability Sustained</th>
<th>Capability Built</th>
<th>Mutual Aid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Communities describe the causes of their capability loss (attrition, retirements, etc.)</td>
<td>Communities describe the actions/investments they made to sustain their capability</td>
<td>Communities describe the actions/investments they made to build their capability</td>
<td>Communities explain how mutual aid agreements may affect their current capability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Communities provide additional information to contextualize their quantitative assessment

- Communities rate their **confidence** in the accuracy of their data, and identify the **sources** of that confidence
  - Communities also elaborate on their data confidence and provide other desired context in a free-text box

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment?</th>
<th>What sources did you use to estimate your capabilities?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lower Confidence</td>
<td>Higher Confidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real-world events</td>
<td>Other reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercises</td>
<td>Modeling or tools</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If selecting “Other” please elaborate: ____________________

**Free-Text Description (Optional)**

Our range of capability to shelter is likely between 12,000-17,000 people. Sheltering in the more rural region of the state is less reliably available so we selected the low end of the range for our current capability.
SPR Step 2: Identify Capability Gaps

Communities quantify their capability gaps and provide additional context aligned with each POETE area

- Communities determine their capability gaps, identify relevant POETE area(s), and assign a priority rating

- Communities then describe the gaps in each area using free-text fields
  - Where possible, FEMA will encourage communities to identify and describe gaps involving specific resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planning Target</th>
<th>Current Capability</th>
<th>Capability Gap</th>
<th>Gap Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Communities describe their capability gaps in each POETE area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>P</strong> ✔️ Free Text: You can use this free-text box to describe, generally, the community’s <strong>planning</strong> gaps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>O</strong> Free Text: You can use this free-text box to describe, generally, the community’s <strong>organization</strong> gaps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eq</strong> Free Text: You can use this free-text box to describe, generally, the community’s <strong>equipment</strong> gaps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>T</strong> ✔️ Free Text: You can use this free-text box to describe, generally, the community’s <strong>training</strong> gaps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ex</strong> ✔️ Free Text: You can use this free-text box to describe, generally, the community’s <strong>exercise</strong> gaps</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SPR Step 2: Address Gaps

- Once gaps are identified, communities identify POETE areas in which they plan to **address those gaps and/or sustainment needs**

- Communities then describe their **intended approaches** in each area using free-text fields
  - Communities will also identify the **timeframe** over which they plan to address their gaps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POETE Area</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Free Text: You can use this free-text box to describe, generally, the community’s approach for <strong>planning</strong>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>Free Text: You can use this free-text box to describe, generally, the community’s approach for <strong>organization</strong>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eq</td>
<td>Free Text: You can use this free-text box to describe, generally, the community’s approach for <strong>equipment</strong>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Free Text: You can use this free-text box to describe, generally, the community’s approach for <strong>training</strong>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex</td>
<td>Free Text: You can use this free-text box to describe, generally, the community’s approach for <strong>exercises</strong>.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Over what timeframe do you intend to implement these approaches?**

Timeframe: 1-3 years
**SPR Step 3: Capability Sustained**

To the best of your knowledge, select all funding sources used to sustain this capability over the past year:

Select up to two primary funding sources in the first column. A primary funding source is defined as any one source that contributed approximately 50% or more of the total funding used to sustain this capability. Then select as many additional funding sources in the second column as applicable. An additional funding source is defined as any one source that contributed less than approximately 50% of the total funding used to sustain this capability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Source Type and Name</th>
<th>Primary Funding Source (Approx. 50% or more)</th>
<th>Additional Funding Source (Less than approx. 50%)</th>
<th>Not a Known Funding Source (0%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-Federal Sources</td>
<td>State, territory, or tribe</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Private-sector or non-profit</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEMA Preparedness and Mitigation Grants</td>
<td>AFG</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CCTA</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CTP</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EMPG</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HMGP</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FMA</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IBSGP</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IPR</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NSPG</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OPSG</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PDM</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PRPA</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PSGP</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SHSP</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>THSGP</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TSGP</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UASI</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: Name and describe funding source</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Capability Sustained Over Last Year Against Capability Target:**

Sustained capability to shelter 12,000 people

If your community has not sustained this capability over the last year select N/A and skip to the next question.

N/A
# SPR Step 3: Capability Built

**Capability Built Over Last Year Against Capability Target:**

Built capability to shelter 2,000 people

If your community has not built this capability over the last year select N/A and skip to the next question.

N/A

---

**To the best of your knowledge, select all funding sources used to build this capability over the past year:**

Select up to two primary funding sources in the first column. A primary funding source is defined as any one source that contributed approximately 50% or more of the total funding used to build this capability. Then select as many additional funding sources in the second column as applicable. An additional funding source is defined as any one source that contributed less than approximately 50% of the total funding used to build this capability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-Federal Sources</th>
<th>Primary Funding Source (Approx. 50% or more)</th>
<th>Additional Funding Source (Less than approx. 50%)</th>
<th>Not a Known Funding Source (0%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State, territory, or tribe</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private-sector or non-profit</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FEMA Preparedness and Mitigation Grants</th>
<th>Primary Funding Source (Approx. 50% or more)</th>
<th>Additional Funding Source (Less than approx. 50%)</th>
<th>Not a Known Funding Source (0%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AFG</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCTA</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTP</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMPG</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMGP</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMA</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBSGP</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPR</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSPG</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPSG</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDM</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRPA</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSGP</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHSP</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THSGP</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSGP</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UASI</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Other: Name and describe funding source | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |

(Other free text entry)
SPR Step 3: Impact in a Real-World Incident

- Communities describe the impact that capabilities built or sustained with FEMA preparedness and mitigation grants had in **real-world incidents** over the past year.
- This question is concerned with the use of grant-funded capabilities used over the past year; the grant funding can be from **any year in the past**.

Grant Impact Free-Text Box

Describe how the capabilities **built/sustained** with FEMA preparedness and mitigation grants were used in a real-world event over the past year. *(This question is completed only **once**, discussing capabilities sustained and built at the **same time**)*
# Adding Functional Area Gaps

After completing SPR Steps 1-3 for all required targets, communities will identify gaps in functional areas not covered by the required targets.

**Core Capability:** Critical Transportation

**Capability Target:** Within 48 hours of an incident, clear 300 miles of road affected, to enable access for emergency responders, including private and non-profit.

**SPR Steps 1-3 Status:** Completed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functional Areas</th>
<th>POETE Areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Functional Area(s) Covered by Standardized Target (Completed Above)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debris Removal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establishing Access</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capability Gaps already identified and described above in SPR Step 2.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Functional Area(s) Not Covered by Standardized Target (Identify Any Gaps Below)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evacuation</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Functional Area(s)</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reentering Affected Area</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Safety and Condition Assessments</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery of Response Assets</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FEMA

**SPR Step 1 ➔ SPR Step 2 ➔ SPR Step 3**
THIRA/SPR/Urban Area Strategy Overview

- Threat and Hazard Identification Risk Assessment (THIRA):
  - Identify Threats and Hazards and add context and impacts.
  - Set capability targets for each core capability

- Stakeholder Preparedness Review (SPR)
  - Assess Capabilities (based on each capability target)
  - Identify gaps and how to address those gaps

- Regional Implementation Plan (RIP)
  - State required plan to show how the region will spend their funding and close gaps based on state objectives.
  - This won’t be done by the vendor. Will be completed by COG staff with assistance from SME’s.
Regional Timeline

**Year 1**

- **Initial Planning Meeting- Late August 2018**
  - THIRA/SPR Core Planning Team

- **Working Group Meetings- Sept/Oct 2018**
  - Week-long sessions; some groups will be combined

- **Final Planning Meeting (THIRA/SPR)- Late November 2018**
  - Complete THIRA/SPR spreadsheet (Including Executive Summary)
  - Input THIRA/SPR into FEMA online tool by Dec. 7, 2018

- **Regional Implementation Plan (RIP)**
  - Complete by end of November 2018
Regional Timeline Continued

**Year 2**

- **Initial Planning Meeting** - Late July/Early August 2019
  - THIRA/SPR Core Planning Team

- **Working Group Meetings** - Sept/Oct 2019
  - Week-long sessions; some groups will be combined

- **Final Planning Meeting (THIRA/SPR)** - November 2019
  - Complete THIRA/SPR spreadsheet (Including Executive Summary)
  - Input THIRA/SPR into FEMA online tool by Dec. 6, 2018

- **Regional Implementation Plan (RIP)**
  - Complete by end of November 2019
THIRA/SPR/RIP

- Requesting authority to allow the chairs to approve the plans due to tight deadlines
FY 18 SHSP Update

- SHSP Fund Summary by Year
  - FY 16 SHSP Allocation Amount: $1,674,518
  - FY 17 SHSP Allocation Amount: $1,841,970.20
  - FY 18 SHSP Allocation Amount: $1,754,732.88

$87,237.32 decrease from last year
### FY 18 SHSP Project Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Funded Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018 SHSP - NCTCOG - Regional Planning</td>
<td>Funded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EastTex Regional Community Emergency Response Team Project</td>
<td>Funded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parker County CERT Sustainment</td>
<td>Funded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 SHSP - NCTCOG - CCP Coordinator, Training, and Equipment</td>
<td>Funded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rowlett CERT Sustainment Project</td>
<td>Funded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 SHSP - Cleburne - SWAT Equipment Enhancement</td>
<td>Funded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 SHSP - Denton - SWAT Equipment Enhancement</td>
<td>Funded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 SHSP - Grand Prairie SWAT Capability Enhancement</td>
<td>Funded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 SHSP - Garland - SWAT Equipment Enhancement</td>
<td>Funded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 SHSP - Burleson - SWAT Equipment Enhancement</td>
<td>Funded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 SHSP - Dallas - Night Vision</td>
<td>Funded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 SHSP - Irving - EOD Enhancement</td>
<td>Funded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 SHSP - Richardson - PWERT Equipment Cache Enhancements</td>
<td>Funded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Central Texas Public Works Emergency Response Team Incident Support Enhancement Trailer</td>
<td>Funded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 SHSP - Allen- PWERT Equipment Cache Enhancement Response Trailer</td>
<td>Funded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 SHSP - NCTCOG - Regional Training and Exercise Program</td>
<td>Funded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 SHSP - NCTCOG - Regional Interoperable Communications Enhancements</td>
<td>Funded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 SHSP - NCTCOG - Regional Hazmat Training</td>
<td>Funded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 SHSP - NCTCOG - Regional USAR</td>
<td>Funded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 SHSP - Kaufman County - Mobile EOC Support Unit Enhancement</td>
<td>Partially Funded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 SHSP - NCTCOG - Public Education Program Enhancement</td>
<td>Unfunded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 SHSP - NCTCOG - Regional Incident Management Software</td>
<td>Unfunded</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FY 18 SHSP Update

- Four project priorities unfunded
  - Kaufman County Mobile EOC Support
  - Public Education
  - Regional Incident Management Software
  - SWAT Priority #2 projects
Training and Exercise Update

- Mid-X 2018
  - Tech-X March 5-7, 2018
  - PWERT-X August 22, 2018
  - InterOp-X October 22-25, 2018
  - Haz-X TTX November 8, 2018

- Mid-X 2019
  - Haz-X Full-Scale February 9-10
  - SWAT-X September

- DHS Office of Bombing Prevention TTX 3 part series; Dates TBD
Training and Exercise Update

- NIMS Position Specific Courses
  - Division/Group Supervisor Course
    - September 18-20, 2018
    - Grand Prairie Public Safety Building
  - Finance/Administration Section Chief
    - December 4-6, 2018
    - City of Denton Fire Training Room
- More NIMS Position Specific Courses will be funded with the FY18 SHSP Training and Exercise Grant funds
Emergency GIS Response Team (EGRT)
Chair Introduction

- David Allen
  - GIS Manager, City of Euless
- Tom Konzel
  - IT GIS/Web Supervisor, City of Arlington
Working Group Overview

- GIS / Mapping Response
  - Provide mapping support for disasters
  - Produce web apps for damage assessment
  - Bring full mapping capabilities on-site
Recent Accomplishments

- SHSP Grant FY 17/18
  - Purchased trailer and compliment of equipment to allow us to have a fully functional, stand alone mapping station in any environment
Recent Accomplishments

- Responded for Hurricane Harvey
  - Provided shelter maps for DDC4 Garland
  - Provided evacuee tracking web-maps for TDEM

- Preparing for PWERT exercise Aug 22
  - We will provide information maps about the area as well as search and rescue maps
  - We will also simulate some mapping duties that would be used by EGRT
Recent Accomplishments

- Attended TEMC in San Antonio / GIS Committee
- Completed MCI Analysis for NCTTRAC
  - Mapped locations of all hospitals
  - Mapped locations of all venues that seat over 5,000 people
  - Produced about 130 exhibit maps for the project
Recent Accomplishments
Future Goals

Future Goals of the Group

- Work on next THIRA report
- Re-evaluate equipment needs for future
- Work with other areas to establish GIS equipment caches for disaster response
North Central Texas
PIOs
Overview / History

- NTXPIO Group was informally created in the early 2000s by Christy Gilfour of Arlington PD.
  - Originally comprised of first responders from police and fire.
- Group members started formalizing by 2010 and expanded the group to all PIO professionals.
  - Current membership is ~300 PIO professionals in the N TX area.
  - Board of Directors steer the group utilizing group by-laws.
  - Has member MOU forms on file for immediate response ability.
Overview / History

- NTXPIO Group holds quarterly meetings with an annual media mixer

- Group members are loosely affiliated with TAMIO (Texas Area Municipal Information Officers) and the Houston-Galveston PIO Network.
  - We are working to expand our relationships and reach both in and out of the North Texas region.
Recent Accomplishments

- Assisted SOC with Hurricane Harvey via STAR request.
  - Houston JIC (TranStar) for 14+ days.
  - Rockport / Fulton for 10 days.
- Local incident assistance.
- Assisted NCTCOG with regional training media needs (Urban Shield / Big X).
- TEMAT Credentialing Committee Member.
Future Goals

- Filing for LLC status with the state and 501c3 non-profit status with the IRS.
- Researching a virtual Joint Information Center platform as a shared resource. (ie: Jetty)
- Will offer shared member resources.
- Creation of a mentor program to connect newly assigned PIOs with experienced public communicators.
Future Goals

- Group will begin charging membership dues in 2019.
- Currently working on an expanded member website; current site is: NorthTXPIO.com.
- Working toward creating more relationships with PIOs throughout the state to create a cohesive communications network during large emergencies.
Recognition of REPAC Members

- **County Representatives**
  - Joseph Gonzalez, Denton County
  - David Wallis, Johnson County
  - Steve Howie, Kaufman County

- **Urban Area Representatives**
  - Rocky Vaz, City of Dallas

- **Subject Matter Expert Representatives**
  - Tonya Hunter, EM Seat, Cities of Cedar Hill, Desoto, Duncanville, Lancaster
Conference Attendee Reports
Announcements

- NCTCOG Updates
- Committee/Public Comments
- Adjournment

Next REPAC Meeting: November 13
North Central Texas Council of Governments
9:30 a.m. - 11:30 a.m.