

Appendix A Benefit Cost Calculation


Prepared by: North Central Texas Council of Governments 616 Six Flags Dr. Arlington, TX 76011

## BENEFIT COST METHODOLOGY, ANALYSIS AND CALCULATIONS

The following description provides the methodology for various sections within the Benefit Cost Analysis (BCA) including an overall benefit of all facilities for the years 2020 through 2040, for each cost and benefit factor. Benefits are assumed to occur after project completion in January 2020 for a 20 year life span of the projects to 2040.

## Benefits

Using output from the DFW Regional Travel Model, NCTCOG utilized the following post-processing technique to calculate the non-recurrent and recurrent congestion to analyze the Benefit Cost Analysis for this project. An overall cost-benefit summary sheet was prepared. Costs are calculated from 2018 to 2040. All monetized estimates were discounted at $3 \%$ and $7 \%$ rates to 2015 , and Benefit to Cost ratios were calculated for the values based on $3 \%$ and $7 \%$. The detail tables (Excel) include a Constants (tab) to list the multipliers used in the analysis.

## Mobility Benefits

## Post-Processing Technique, Task 1: Travel time reduction due to mitigation of non-recurrent congestion

Reduction in non-recurrent congestion per day on congested freeways (vehicle hours/weekday) = Vehicle hours of congestion delay on freeways per weekday $x$ Percentage of freeway centerline miles that are congested $x$ Percentage of nonrecurrent congestion eliminated on congested freeways with ITS deployment during peak hours.

Reduction in non-recurrent congestion per day on uncongested freeways (vehicle hours/weekday) = Vehicle hours of congestion delay on freeways per weekday $x$ Percentage of freeway centerline miles that are uncongested x Percentage of nonrecurrent congestion eliminated on uncongested freeways with ITS deployment during peak hours.

Total reduction in non-recurrent congestion per day (vehicle hours/weekday) = Reduction in non-recurrent congestion per day on congested freeways + Reduction in non-recurrent congestion per day on uncongested freeways.

Annual Saving of Non-Recurrent Congestion (\$/year) = Total reduction in non-recurrent congestion per day x Vehicle occupancy x Number of weekdays per year x Value of time.

## Post-Processing Technique, Task 2: Travel time reduction due to mitigation of recurrent congestion

Reduction in recurrent congestion per day on congested freeways (vehicle hours/weekday) $=$ Vehicle hours of congestion delay on freeways per weekday x Percentage of freeway centerline miles that are congested x Percentage of recurrent congestion eliminated on congested freeways with ITS deployment during peak hours.

Reduction in recurrent congestion per day on uncongested freeways (vehicle hours/weekday) $=$ Vehicle hours of congestion delay on freeways per weekday x Percentage of freeway centerline miles that are uncongested $x$ Percentage of recurrent congestion eliminated on uncongested freeways with ITS deployment during peak hours.

Total reduction in recurrent congestion per day (vehicle hours/weekday) = Reduction in recurrent congestion per day on congested freeways + Reduction in recurrent congestion per day on uncongested freeways.

Annual Saving of Recurrent Congestion (\$/year) = Reduction in total recurrent congestion per day x Vehicle occupancy x Number of weekdays per year x Value of time.

Total Annual Saving ( $\$ /$ Year) = Annual Saving of Non-Recurrent Congestion (\$/year) + Annual Saving of Recurrent Congestion (\$/year).

## Assumptions:

Vehicle hours of congestion delay on freeways per weekday provided by the DFW Regional Travel Model.
Percentage of freeway centerline miles congested (LOS D, E, and F) and uncongested (LOS A, B, and C) provided by DFW Regional Travel Model.
Percentage of non-recurrent congestion eliminated on congested freeways with ITS deployment during peak hours $=0.048\left(48 \%{ }^{1}\right.$ of non-recurrent congestion eliminated on congested freeways with ITS deployment and $10 \%$ of daily traffic is assumed to occur during the peak hour).
Percentage of non-recurrent congestion eliminated on uncongested freeways with ITS deployment during peak hours $=0.023\left(23 \%{ }^{1}\right.$ of non-recurrent congestion eliminated on uncongested freeways with ITS deployment and 10\% of daily traffic is assumed to occur during the peak hour).
Percentage of recurrent congestion eliminated on congested freeways with ITS deployment during peak hours $=0.03\left(30 \%{ }^{11}\right.$ of recurrent congestion eliminated on congested freeways with ITS deployment and $10 \%$ of daily traffic is assumed to occur during the peak hour).

Percentage of recurrent congestion eliminated on uncongested freeways with ITS deployment during peak hours $=0.005\left(5 \%{ }^{1}\right.$ of recurrent congestion eliminated on uncongested freeways with ITS deployment and $10 \%$ of daily traffic is assumed to occur during the peak hour).

Vehicle occupancy provided by the Mobility 2035-2014 Amendment $=1.35$
Number of weekdays per year provided by the Mobility 2035 - 2014 Amendment $=251$
Value of time provided by the 2015 TIGER Discretionary Grant $=\$ 19.00$

## Air Quality Benefits

Air Quality Benefits were calculated based on the total vehicle hours of congestion delay on freeways per weekday and MoSERS methodologies used for the 2013 Transportation Conformity process. ${ }^{2}$ A detailed methodology on the calculation of Nitrogen Oxides (NOx), Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC), and Carbon Dioxide ( $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ) are available at the web link in the reference. The Recommended Monetized Values of the above air quality benefits provided by the BCA Online Supplement were used to estimate the value of emission benefits. The following outlines the methodology.

Change in estimated $\mathrm{NO}_{x}, ~ V O C$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ Emissions from alleviating peak hour nonrecurrent congestion (tons/day) $=$ Total $\mathrm{NO}_{x}, \mathrm{VOC}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ generated during the peak period in tons per day x Percentage of freeway emissions caused by peak hour non-recurrent congestion x Percentage of freeway coverage with ITS deployment x Percentage of non-recurrent congestion eliminated on freeways with ITS deployment

Change in estimated $\mathrm{NO}_{x}, \mathrm{VOC}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ Emissions from alleviating peak hour recurrent congestion (tons/day) = Total $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{VOC}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ generated during the peak period in tons per day x Percentage of freeway emissions caused by peak hour recurrent congestion x Percentage of freeway coverage with ITS deployment

Change in estimated Total $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$, VOC and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ Emissions from alleviating peak hour congestion (tons/day) $=$ Change in estimated $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{VOC}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ Emissions from alleviating peak hour non-recurrent congestion (tons/day) + Change in estimated $\mathrm{NO}_{x}, \mathrm{VOC}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ Emissions from alleviating peak hour recurrent congestion (tons/day)

## ASSUMPTIONS:

Total emissions ( $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{VOC}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ) generated in the four county areas is developed through the Environmental Protection Agency's Motor Vehicle Emissions Simulator Percentage of freeway coverage with ITS deployment which is obtained from the DFW ITS Map (total centerline miles with ITS deployment / total centerline miles).
Percentage of freeway emissions caused by peak hour non-recurrent congestion = $0.049^{1}$ (49\% of urban freeways are congested due to an incident and 10\% of daily traffic is assumed to occur during the peak hour).

Percentage of non-recurrent congestion eliminated on freeways with ITS deployment $=$ 48\%. ${ }^{1}$

Percentage of recurrent congestion eliminated on freeways with ITS deployment $=5 \% .^{1}$

## Safety

Crash data were used to measure the impact this project is expected to have on the number of crashes. Crash data were obtained in the project area for the five-year period from January 2010 through December 2014, and were used as the basis for predicting the expected number of crashes in the future. Over this five-year period, there were a total of 6,832 accidents in the project area.

The impact of the project on the number of crashes on the regional network in four counties was determined using methods outlined in Part D of the Highway Safety Manual (American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, First Edition, 2010). The method uses Crash Modification Factors (CMFs). A CMF is a factor used to compute the expected number of crashes after implementing a given countermeasure at a specific site. It is defined as the ratio of expected crash frequency with improvement over the situation without improvement. The numbers of existing crashes along the roadway network were multiplied by CMF to determine the number of crashes that could be expected after the project is complete. CMF for this analysis were obtained on the Crash Modification Factors Clearinghouse website (http://www.cmfclearinghouse.org/). The data on that website indicates that ITS projects like the one in this proposal that improve real-time traffic information, reduce injury accidents on a corridor by $44 \%$ (CMF $=0.56$ ).

Injury and fatality numbers used for this calculation were drawn from the TxDOT Crash Record Information System (CRIS) (2014). A modification factor was applied to the accident data due to the impact of the proposed project. This data was converted to Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS) using KABCO scale accident numbers, and then the formula provided in the TIGER Benefit-Cost Analysis Resource Guide was applied. The dollar benefit of reduction in injury and fatal crashes was estimated using the DOT's monetized values of a statistical life (2013). The 2013 monetized values were converted to 2015 monetized values using the Consumer Price Index (CPI).

## Likelihood Multiplier

The mobility, air quality and safety benefits were determined for the region. In order to determine benefits of the baseline and proposed project separately, it was assumed that the baseline infrastructure provide benefits proportional to the total existing fiber optic coverage and total existing connections. This number was multiplied by the $21 \%$ increase in coverage for filling in gaps and the $56 \%$ increase in new connections was applied. These percentages were calculated as outlined below to create a likelihood multiplier of 0.12 . In other words, the baseline system is assumed to provide $88 \%$ of the
total benefits and the proposed TIGER Grant funds project to provide $12 \%$ of the total benefit.

## Percent new coverage

134 new miles / (134 new miles +498 existing miles $)=21 \%$
Percent new connections
13 new connections / (13 new connections +10 existing connections $)=$ 56\%

Likelihood Multiplier $=$ Mobility Benefit $\times 0.21 \times 0.56$
Air Quality Benefit $\times 0.21 \times 0.56$
Safety Benefit x $0.21 \times 0.56$

[^0]
benerit-cost ratio

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Total Values } \\ 3 \% \text { Discount Rate }\end{array}$ |
| :---: |

$7 \%$ Discount Rate

Benefit Cost Analysis - Costs

|  |  |  |  |  | Discounted to 2015 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Project Cost | Operation and Maintenance Cost | Total Cost | Years from Start |  | 3\% |  | 7\% |
| 2018 | \$5,000,000 |  | \$5,000,000 | 3 | \$ | 4,575,708 | \$ | 4,081,489 |
| 2019 | \$5,000,000 |  | \$5,000,000 | 4 | \$ | 4,442,435 | \$ | 3,814,476 |
| 2020 | \$5,000,000 | \$1,500,000 | \$6,500,000 | 5 | \$ | 5,606,957 | \$ | 4,634,410 |
| 2021 |  | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 | 6 | \$ | 1,256,226 | \$ | 999,513 |
| 2022 |  | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 | 7 | \$ | 1,219,637 | \$ | 934,125 |
| 2023 |  | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 | 8 | \$ | 1,184,114 | \$ | 873,014 |
| 2024 |  | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 | 9 | \$ | 1,149,625 | \$ | 815,901 |
| 2025 |  | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 | 10 | \$ | 1,116,141 | \$ | 762,524 |
| 2026 |  | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 | 11 | \$ | 1,083,632 | \$ | 712,639 |
| 2027 |  | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 | 12 | \$ | 1,052,070 | \$ | 666,018 |
| 2028 |  | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 | 13 | \$ | 1,021,427 | \$ | 622,447 |
| 2029 |  | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 | 14 | \$ | 991,677 | \$ | 581,726 |
| 2030 |  | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 | 15 | \$ | 962,793 | \$ | 543,669 |
| 2031 |  | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 | 16 | \$ | 934,750 | \$ | 508,102 |
| 2032 |  | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 | 17 | \$ | 907,525 | \$ | 474,862 |
| 2033 |  | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 | 18 | \$ | 881,092 | \$ | 443,796 |
| 2034 |  | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 | 19 | \$ | 855,429 | \$ | 414,762 |
| 2035 |  | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 | 20 | \$ | 830,514 | \$ | 387,629 |
| 2036 |  | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 | 21 | \$ | 806,324 | \$ | 362,270 |
| 2037 |  | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 | 22 | \$ | 782,839 | \$ | 338,570 |
| 2038 |  | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 | 23 | \$ | 760,038 | \$ | 316,420 |
| 2039 |  | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 | 24 | \$ | 737,901 | \$ | 295,720 |
| 2040 |  | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 | 25 | \$ | 716,408 | \$ | 276,374 |
| Total | \$15,000,000 | \$31,500,000 | \$46,500,000 |  |  | \$33,875,261 |  | \$23,860,454 |


| Total cost | $\$ 15,000,000$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Start | End | Yrs |
|  | 2020 | 3 |
| Per year | $\$ 5,000,000.00$ |  |
|  |  |  |


|  | 202 | 202 | 202 | 203 | ${ }^{2024}$ | 2025 | 2025 | 102 | 2028 | 2029 | 30 | 2031 | 2032 | 2033 | 234 | 2035 | 2036 | 2037 | 2038 |  | , |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{\text {Norathe }}$ | ${ }_{6}^{6,465}$ | ${ }_{6}^{6,301}$ | ${ }_{6}^{6,136}$ | 5.971 | ${ }_{5,807}$ | $\frac{5.642}{}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5,778}$ | ${ }_{5,313}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5,49}$ | 4,984 | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{819}$ | 4.655 | 4,900 | ${ }^{4.326}$ | 4,161 | 3,996 | ${ }^{3,832}$ | 3,67 | 3,033 | ${ }_{\text {, } 3,38}$ |  |
| Norther | ${ }_{2,359,826}$ | 2299, ${ }^{2}$ | ${ }^{2239,62^{2}}$ | ${ }^{2172,955}$ | ${ }_{2,119,518}$ | ${ }_{20,59,411}$ | ${ }_{\text {1,993, }}$ | ${ }_{\text {L }}^{1,939287}$ | ${ }_{\text {L }}^{18792920}$ | ${ }_{1,8,19,13}^{1,1}$ | 59,0 | 1.688999 | 388, | ${ }_{\text {L,57, } 82}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voctibstax) | ${ }_{\substack{10.68 \\ 398821}}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {l }}^{1.028}$ | ${ }_{\text {L }}^{10.939}$ | ${ }_{\text {c, }}^{1025} 5$ |  | $\xrightarrow{10.00}$ (68482 | ${ }_{\substack{1.000 \\ 369929}}^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { 36, } 1372}}^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {394235 }}^{97}$ | $\frac{961}{56,710}$ | ${ }^{377,15}$ | 43,601 | ${ }_{\text {332 }}^{300045}$ | 33697 |  | 2,382 | ${ }_{3}^{325887}$ | 2273 | ${ }_{\text {cien }}^{\substack{8,78}}$ |
| Coillibley | 6,994,73 |  |  | \%,50,944 | ,603,07 |  | 6.507, 34 |  | 5,A11,21 |  |  | ${ }_{6,267,45^{1}}$ | 6,219,515 | 6,171,58 |  | 6,075,75 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cozllbs (learl | ${ }^{2,880,089,959}$ | ${ }^{462,5888.212}$ |  | ${ }^{2,272,59,444}$ | ,410,097,066 | ${ }^{2382,5007888}$ | 2375,103, 292 | ${ }^{23,35,6,07,091}$ | 230,210,23 | ${ }^{23226,6,4,45}$ | ${ }^{2,305,16,5,56}$ | ${ }^{2828,6,19,788}$ | 2,27,122,9000 | ${ }^{2,252,26,5062}$ | ${ }^{1235,1292924}$ |  | ${ }^{22000,13,597}$ | ${ }_{2,1828,88,7,09}$ | ${ }_{\text {2, } 25,514,1,871}$ |  | 30,188, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| food Mutipe: | 23 | 0.12 | ${ }^{0.12}$ | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | ${ }^{0.12}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.12}$ | ${ }^{0.12}$ | ${ }^{0.12}$ | ${ }^{0.12}$ | ${ }^{0.1}$ | 0.12 | ${ }^{0.12}$ | ${ }^{0.12}$ | ${ }^{0.1}$ | ${ }^{0.12}$ | ${ }^{0.12}$ | ${ }^{0.12}$ |
| Noxtherea | ${ }_{27}^{27,515}$ | ${ }^{20,450}$ | ${ }^{26,3835}$ | ${ }^{256,320}$ | ${ }^{2992925}$ | 242,190 | ${ }^{235,225}$ | 228,060 | 20,995 | 213,380 | ${ }^{200,865}$ | 199,800 | ${ }_{\text {122,3, }}$ | ${ }^{18,5672}$ | ${ }_{178,05}$ | ${ }^{121,59}$ | ${ }_{164945}$ | ${ }_{15,41}^{15}$ | ${ }_{150,35}$ | ${ }^{143,280}$ | ${ }^{136,215}$ |
| Voctiverear | ${ }_{\text {chend }}^{4,5929}$ | ${ }^{4,4,44}$ | ${ }^{\text {S5,066 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (0,007 | ,197 |  | , $\frac{3,1,53}{\substack{3590}}$ | , |  | 56, |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  | Annual $\mathrm{CO2}$ Reduction |  | Social Cost of Carbon (2013\$) | Emission Reduction Benefit $(\$ 2014)$ | CO2 Emission Reduction Benefit (2014\$) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { YEARS from } \\ 2015 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Discounted (to 2015) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | lss/vear | metric tons/vear |  |  |  |  | 3\% | \% |
| 2020 | 291,657,991 | 132,294 | 54 | 54.88 | 7,260,295 | 7 | 5,903,284 | 4,521,347 |
| 2021 | 289,600,363 | ${ }_{131,361}$ | ${ }_{5}$ | 55.89 | 7,341,766 |  | 5,795,658 | 4,272,975 |
| 2022 | 287,542,735 | 130,427 | 56 | 56.91 | 7,42,601 |  | 5,688,806 | 4,037,003 |
| 2023 | 285,48,107 | 129,494 | 57 | 57.92 | 7,50,292 | 10 | 5,580,922 | 3,812,768 |
| 2024 | 283,427,478 | 128,561 | 58 | 58.94 | 7,57,385 | 11 | 5,474,064 | 3,599,961 |
| 2025 | 281,369,850 | 127,627 | 60 | 60.97 | 7,781,418 | 12 | 5,45,7,30 | 3,455,043 |
| 2026 | 279,312,222 | 126,694 | 61 | 61.9 | 7,85,761 |  | 5,348,029 | 3,259,032 |
| 2027 | 277,254,594 | 125,761 | 62 | 63.01 | 7,924,201 | 14 | 5,238,830 | 3,073,142 |
| 2028 | 275,196,966 | 124,827 | 63 | 64.02 | 7,991,425 | 15 | 5,129,392 | 2,896,460 |
| 2029 | 273,139,338 | 123,94 | 63 | 64.02 | 7,931,694 | 16 | 4,942,769 | 2,686,739 |
| 2030 | 271,081,709 | 122,961 | 65 | 66.05 | 8,121,574 |  | 4,913,886 | 2,571,082 |
| 2031 | 269,024,081 | 122,027 | 66 | 67.07 | 8,184,351 | 18 | 4,807,444 | 2,421,454 |
| 2032 | 266,96,453 | 121,094 | 67 | 68.09 | 8,245,290 | 19 | 4,702,174 | 2,279,891 |
| 2033 | 264,90, 825 | 120,161 | 68 | 69.10 | 8,30,125 | 20 | 4,597,239 | 2,145,685 |
| 2034 | 262,85,197 | 119,27 |  | 70.12 | 8,36,197 | 21 | 4,944,018 | 2,019,097 |
| 2035 | 260,93,569 | 118,294 | 71 | 72.15 | 8,534,912 | 22 | 4,454,307 | 1,926,42 |
| 2036 | 258,73,940 | 117,361 | 72 | 73.17 | 8,587,04 | 23 | 4,351,116 | 1,811,465 |
| 2037 | 256,678,312 | 116,427 | 73 | 74.18 | 8,636,555 | 24 | 4,248,613 | 1,702,668 |
| 2038 | 254,620,684 | 115,494 | 74 | 75.20 | 8,685,149 | 25 | 4,148,076 | 1,600,232 |
| 2039 | 252,56, 3 ,56 | 114,561 | 76 | 77.23 | 8,847,546 | 26 | 4,102,560 | 1,523,508 |
| 2040 | 250,50,428 | ${ }_{113,627}$ | 77 | 78.25 | 8,891,313 | 27 | 4,002,72 | 1,430,882 |
|  | 5,692,715,897] | 2,582,174 |  | 1,389 | 169,98, 154 | Total | 103,381,487 | S $57,047,275$ |


|  | BLSCPI |
| :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | cp1 |
| 2013 | 232.957 |
| 2014 | ${ }^{236.736}$ |
| Nox (52013) | 57877 |
| Voc ( S201313) | $\stackrel{\text { s1,999 }}{ }$ |
|  |  |

Benefit Cost Analysis - Safety Benefits

| Year | \# Crashes | \# Not Injured | \# of Possible Injury | \# of Non-Incapacitating | \# of Incapacitating Injury | \# Fatalities | \# Unknown Injury Crashes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | 8,192 | 5,189 | 1,574 | 958 | 225 | 58 | 188 |
| 2013 | 7,999 | 4,868 | 1,625 | 1,032 | 225 | 63 | 186 |
| 2012 | 7,269 | 4,242 | 1,563 | 1,028 | 243 | 59 | 134 |
| 2011 | 6,397 | 3,718 | 1,399 | 925 | 207 | 43 | 105 |
| 2010 | 6,781 | 3,942 | 1,490 | 932 | 239 | 63 | 115 |
| Total Crashes |  | 21,959 | 7,651 | 4,875 | 1,139 | 286 | 728 |
| Annual Crash Rate Likelihood Factor*Crash |  | 4391.80000 | 1530.20000 | 975.00000 | 227.80000 | 57.20000 | 145.60000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Modification Factor |  | ${ }^{0.0695868}$ | ${ }^{0.006586}$ | ${ }^{0.065866}$ | ${ }^{0.06586} 15.00200$ | ${ }^{0.06586}$ | 0.068868 |


| KABCO Accident Classification System |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) |  | (3) |  | (4) |  | (5) |  | (6) |  | (7) |  | (8) |
| KABCO Type $\rightarrow$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \text { No Injury } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | c Possible Injury |  | B <br> Non-Incapacitating |  | A Incapacitating |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Killed } \end{gathered}$ |  | Injured Severity Unknown |  | Annual Crash Reduction |
| Als Rating System | Number | Factor | Number | Factor | Number | Factor | Number | Factor | Number | ftor | Number | Factor |  |
| 0 | 289.22638 | 0.92534 | 100.77285 | 0.23437 | 64.20960 | 0.08347 | 15.00200 | 0.03437 | 3.76696 | 0.00000 | 9.58863 | 0.21538 | 299.19127 |
| 1 |  | 0.07257 |  | 0.68946 |  | 0.76843 |  | 0.55449 |  | 0.00000 |  | 0.62728 | 154.14181 |
| 2 |  | 0.00198 |  | 0.06391 |  | 0.10898 |  | 0.20908 |  | 0.00000 |  | 0 | 18.14446 |
| 3 |  | 0.00008 |  | 0.01071 |  | 0.03191 |  | 0.14437 |  | 0.00000 |  | 0.03858 | 5.68711 |
| 4 |  | 0.00000 |  | 0.00142 |  | 0.00620 |  | 0.03986 |  | 0.00000 |  | 0.00442 | 1.18156 |
| 5 |  | 0.00003 |  | 0.00013 |  | 0.00101 |  | 0.01783 |  | 0.00000 |  | 0.01034 | 0.45326 |
| Fatal |  | 0.00000 |  | 0.00000 |  | 0.00000 |  | 0.00000 |  | 1.00000 |  | 0.00000 | 3.76696 |


| ANNUAL REDUCTIONIN CRASHES (Br Als rating Category) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Fatal |
| 2020 | 299.19 | 154.14 | 18.14 | 5.69 | 1.18 | 0.45 | 3.77 |
| 2021 | 299.19 | 154.14 | 18.14 | 5.69 | 1.18 | 0.45 | 3.77 |
| 2022 | 299.19 | 154.14 | 18.14 | 5.69 | 1.18 | 0.45 | 3.77 |
| 2023 | 299.19 | 154.14 | 18.14 | 5.69 | 1.18 | 0.45 | 3.77 |
| 2024 | 299.19 | 154.14 | 18.14 | 5.69 | 1.18 | 0.45 | 3.77 |
| 2025 | 299.19 | 154.14 | 18.14 | 5.69 | 1.18 | 0.45 | 3.77 |
| 2026 | 299.19 | 154.14 | 18.14 | 5.69 | 1.18 | 0.45 | 3.77 |
| 2027 | 299.19 | 154.14 | 18.14 | 5.69 | 1.18 | 0.45 | 3.77 |
| 2028 | 299.19 | 154.14 | 18.14 | 5.69 | 1.18 | 0.45 | 3.77 |
| 2029 | 299.19 | 154.14 | 18.14 | 5.69 | 1.18 | 0.45 | 3.77 |
| 2030 | 299.19 | 154.14 | 18.14 | 5.69 | 1.18 | 0.45 | 3.77 |
| 2031 | 299.19 | 154.14 | 18.14 | 5.69 | 1.18 | 0.45 | 3.77 |
| 2032 | 299.19 | 154.14 | 18.14 | 5.69 | 1.18 | 0.45 | 3.77 |
| 2033 | 299.19 | 154.14 | 18.14 | 5.69 | 1.18 | 0.45 | 3.77 |
| 2034 | 299.19 | 154.14 | 18.14 | 5.69 | 1.18 | 0.45 | 3.77 |
| 2035 | 299.19 | 154.14 | 18.14 | 5.69 | 1.18 | 0.45 | 3.77 |
| 2036 | 299.19 | 154.14 | 18.14 | 5.69 | 1.18 | 0.45 | 3.77 |
| 2037 | 299.19 | 154.14 | 18.14 | 5.69 | 1.18 | 0.45 | 3.77 |
| 2038 | 299.19 | 154.14 | 18.14 | 5.69 | 1.18 | 0.45 | 3.77 |
| 2039 | 299.19 | 154.14 | 18.14 | 5.69 | 1.18 | 0.45 | 3.77 |
| 2040 | 299.19 | 154.14 | 18.14 | 5.69 | 1.18 | 0.45 | 3.77 |


| ANNUAL CRASH REDUCTION BENEFIT (BY AIS Rating Category) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Discounted (to 2015) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  | 1 |  | 2 | 3 | ${ }_{4}$ |  | 5 |  | Fatal |  | OTAL CRASH REDUCTION BENEFIT | YEARS from | 3\% |  | 7\% |
| \$ 1,193,984 | \$ | 4,417,312 | \$ | 8,146,261 | 5,704,234 | 3,002,298 | \$ | 2,567,547 | \$ | 35,983,831 | \$ | 61,015,467 |  | 52,632,478 | \$ | 43,503,185 |
| \$ 1,193,984 | S | 4,417,312 | \$ | 8,146,261 | \$ 5,704,234 | 3,002,298 | \$ | 2,567,547 | \$ | 35,983,831 | \$ | 61,015,467 |  | 51,099,49 | 5 | 40,657,182 |
| \$ 1,193,984 | 5 | 4,417,312 | \$ | 8,146,261 | \$ 5,704,234 | 3,002,298 | \$ | 2,567,547 | \$ | 35,983,831 | \$ | 61,015,467 |  | 49,611,15 | \$ | 37,997,366 |
| \$ 1,193,984 | 5 | 4,417,312 | 5 | 8,146,261 | \$ 5,704,234 | 3,002,298 | \$ | 2,567,547 | \$ | 35,983,831 | \$ | 015,46 |  | 48,166,17 | S | 35,511,557 |
| \$ 1,193,984 | \$ | 4,417,312 | \$ | 8,146,261 | \$ 5,704,234 | 3,002,298 | \$ | 2,567,547 | \$ | 35,983,831 | \$ | 61,015,467 |  | 46,763,275 | \$ | 33,188,371 |
| \$ 1,193,984 | \$ | 4,417,312 | \$ | 8,146,261 | \$ 5,704,234 | 3,002,298 | \$ | 2,567,547 | \$ | 35,983,831 | \$ | 61,015,467 | 10 | 45,401,238 | \$ | 31,017,170 |
| \$ 1,193,984 | S | 4,417,312 | \$ | 8,146,261 | \$ 5,704,234 | 3,002,298 | \$ | 2,567,547 | \$ | 35,983,831 | \$ | 61,015,467 | 11 | 44,078,872 | \$ | 28,988,009 |
| \$ 1,193,984 | \$ | 4,417,312 | \$ | 8,146,261 | \$ 5,704,234 | 3,002,298 | \$ | 2,567,547 | \$ | 35,983,831 | \$ | 61,015,467 | 12 | 42,795,021 | \$ | 27,091,597 |
| \$ 1,193,984 | 5 | 4,417,312 | 5 | 8,146,261 | \$ 5,704,234 | 3,002,298 | \$ | 2,567,547 | \$ | 35,983,831 | \$ | 61,015,467 | 13 | 41,548,564 | 5 | 25,319,250 |
| \$ 1,193,984 | 5 | 4,417,312 | 5 | 8,146,261 | \$ 5,704,234 | 3,002,298 | \$ | 2,567,547 | \$ | 35,983,831 | \$ | 61,015,467 | 14 | 40,338,412 | 5 | 23,662,850 |
| \$ 1,193,984 | \$ | 4,417,312 | \$ | 8,146,261 | \$ 5,704,234 | \$ 3,002,298 | \$ | 2,567,547 | \$ | 35,983,831 | \$ | 61,015,467 | 15 | 39,163,507 | \$ | 22,114,813 |
| \$ 1,193,984 | \$ | 4,417,312 | \$ | 8,146,261 | \$ 5,704,234 | 3,002,298 | \$ | 2,567,547 | \$ | 35,983,831 | \$ | 61,015,467 | 16 | 38,022,822 | \$ | 20,668,050 |
| \$ 1,193,984 | \$ | 4,417,312 | \$ | 8,146,261 | \$ 5,704,234 | \$ 3,002,298 | \$ | 2,567,547 | \$ | 35,983,831 | \$ | 61,015,467 | 17 | 36,915,361 | \$ | 19,315,934 |
| \$ 1,193,984 | \$ | 4,417,312 | \$ | 8,146,261 | \$ 5,704,234 | 3,002,298 | \$ | 2,567,547 | \$ | 35,983,831 | \$ | 61,015,467 | 18 | 35,840,156 | \$ | 18,052,275 |
| \$ 1,193,984 | \$ | 4,417,312 | \$ | 8,146,261 | \$ 5,704,234 | \$ 3,002,298 | \$ | 2,567,547 | \$ | 35,983,831 | \$ | 61,015,467 | 19 | 34,796,268 | \$ | 16,871,285 |
| \$ 1,193,984 | 5 | 4,417,312 | 5 | 8,146,261 | \$ 5,704,234 | 3,002,298 | \$ | 2,567,547 | \$ | 35,983,831 | \$ | 61,015,467 | 20 | 33,782,785 | \$ | 15,767,556 |
| \$ 1,193,984 | \$ | 4,417,312 | \$ | $8,146,261$ | \$ 5,704,234 | 3,002,298 | \$ | 2,567,547 | \$ | 35,983,831 | \$ | 61,015,467 | 21 | 32,798,820 | \$ | 14,736,034 |
| \$ 1,193,984 | \$ | 4,417,312 | \$ | 8,146,261 | \$ 5,704,234 | 3,002,298 | \$ | 2,567,547 | \$ | 35,983,831 | \$ | 61,015,467 | 22 | 31,843,515 | \$ | 13,771,994 |
| \$ 1,193,984 | \$ | 4,417,312 | 5 | 8,146,261 | \$ 5,704,234 | \$ 3,002,298 | \$ | 2,567,547 | \$ | 35,983,831 | \$ | 61,015,467 | 23 | 30,916,034 | \$ | 12,871,023 |
| \$ 1,193,984 | \$ | 4,417,312 | 5 | 8,146,261 | \$ 5,704,234 | \$ 3,002,298 | \$ | 2,567,547 | 5 | 35,983,831 | \$ | 61,015,467 | 24 | 30,015,567 | \$ | 12,028,993 |
| \$ 1,193,984 | \$ | 4,417,312 | \$ | 8,146,261 | \$ 5,704,234 | 3,002,298 | \$ | 2,567,547 | \$ | 35,983,831 | \$ | 61,015,467 | 25 | 29,141,327 | \$ | 11,242,050 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 281,324,812 |  | 5,670,8 |  | 504,376,545 |


| Analysis var | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Vehicle Hours } \\ \text { of Congestion } \\ \text { Delay on } \\ \text { Freeways }\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Percentage of } \\ \text { Uncongested } \\ \text { Freeways } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reduction in Non- } \\ \text { Recurrent } \\ \text { Congestion Per Day } \\ \text { on Uncongested } \\ \text { Freeways (Vehicle } \\ \text { Hrs/Dav) } \end{gathered}$ | Total Reduction Non Recurrent Congestion Per Day (Vehicle Hours/Weekday) | Annual Saving of Non- <br> Recurrent <br> Congestion (\$/Year) |  |  |  |  | Total Reduction Recurrent Congestion Per Day (Vehicle Hours/Weekday) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Annual Saving of } \\ \text { Recurrent } \\ \text { Congestion (\$/Year) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Total Annual Savings } \\ \text { based on Likelihood } \\ \text { Multiplier } \end{array}$ | Year From 2015 |  | Discounted to 2015 $(3 \%)$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { Discounted to } 2015 \\ (7 \%) \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{2020}^{2021}$ | con | ${ }_{\text {\% }}^{\text {70\% }}$ | - | ${ }_{4}^{4.88 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {233\% }}^{23 .}$ | $\xrightarrow{16,855} 1$ | 3,478 |  | Sti3,718,933 | come |  | $\frac{10.516}{10.884}$ | ${ }_{772}^{77}$ | $\xrightarrow{11,272}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 | 535,769 | 7116 | 29\%6 | 4.8\%\% | 23\%6 | ${ }_{18,259}$ | 3,623 | ${ }_{21,882}$ | S140,87, 8 , 2 | 3.0\% | 0.5\%\% | ${ }_{11,412}$ | ${ }_{788}$ | 12,19 | 578,54, 9727 | S25,803,888 |  |  | 20,980,922 | $\xrightarrow{10,4,56,9664}$ |
| 2023 | 553,275 | ${ }^{71 \%}$ | 29\%6 | 4.8\%\% | 23\%6 | ${ }_{18,856}$ | 3.990 | ${ }_{22,566}$ | S145,154,212 | 3.08 | 0.5\% | 11.785 | 802 | ${ }_{12,587}$ | ¢81,037.014 | ¢26,600, 88 |  |  | 20,983,35 | 15,48,493 |
| ${ }^{2024}$ | ${ }_{5}^{50,781}$ | ${ }^{719}$ | ${ }^{299 \%}$ | ${ }_{48,}^{48 \%}$ | 23\%\% | ${ }^{19,452}$ |  | $\xrightarrow{23,207}$ |  | - ${ }_{\text {3,0\% }}^{306}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{5}$ |  |  |
| ${ }^{2025}$ | 568,26920 | ${ }_{\text {l }}^{726}$ | ${ }_{\text {cke }}^{\text {288\% }}$ | ${ }_{4.88 \%}^{4.80 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {23\%\% }}^{23,}$ | ${ }_{\text {20,9,936 }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {24, }}^{2410}$ |  |  | 0.5\%\% | ${ }_{\text {12,065 }}^{12,05}$ | ${ }_{842}$ | $1,3,567$ <br> 1.37 |  |  |  | s |  | ${ }_{\text {14, }}^{14,3,3,821}$ |
| ${ }^{2027}$ | 623,288 | ${ }^{73 \%}$ | ${ }^{27 \%}$ | ${ }_{4}^{4.8 \%}$ | ${ }^{233 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {21, } 2,40}^{2,45}$ |  | ${ }^{25,7688}$ | Sti6.90, 68. | 3.0\%\% | 0.5\% | ${ }^{13,650}$ | ${ }^{854}$ | ${ }^{14,504}$ | ${ }_{593,379828}$ | S30,991, 388 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {21,36,096 }}$ | ${ }^{13,5835451}$ |
|  | 660,804 |  | 276\% |  |  | ${ }_{\text {22,544 }}^{23,54}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{26,433}}^{27,50}$ | silo,180,599 |  |  |  |  | (14899 | S959,99 | ¢ |  |  |  | ${ }_{12,}^{12,985}$ |
| ${ }^{2029}$ | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{668.0095}$ | ${ }_{\text {7 }}^{75 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {chem }}^{260 \%}$ | ${ }_{4}^{488 \%}$ | $\frac{238 \%}{238 \%}$ | ${ }_{\substack{23,69 \\ 24,697}}$ | ${ }_{\text {4,0,03 }}^{4}$ | ${ }_{\text {Lis }}^{28,720}$ |  |  | 0.55\% | ${ }_{1}^{1.4,435}$ | ${ }_{875}^{87}$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  |  |  |
| 2031 | 208,621 | ${ }^{75 \%}$ | 25\% | 4.8\% | 23\%\% | ${ }^{25,510}$ | 4,034 | 29.544 | S190,20, 8, 82 | 3.0\% | 0.5\%\% | 15.944 | 877 | 16.821 | ${ }_{\text {S108, } 295,581}$ | S35,104209 |  | s | 21,85,783 | ${ }^{11,891,0010}$ |
| ${ }_{2033}^{2033}$ |  | ${ }^{76 \%}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2446}$ | ${ }_{\text {4, }}^{489 \%}$ | ${ }_{23}^{23 \%_{6}}$ | $\frac{26,75}{27,762}$ | ${ }^{4,036} 4$ | ${ }_{\substack{30,712 \\ 31.193}}$ |  | - ${ }_{\text {3, }}^{\substack{\text { 3,0\% }}}$ |  | $\frac{16,672}{17414}$ | ${ }_{876}^{87}$ | (17,599 |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{11,56}$ |
| ${ }_{2034}$ | ${ }_{\text {71, }}^{7638}$ | ${ }^{78 \%}$ | ${ }_{23 \%}^{23 \%}$ | 4.8\% | 23\% | 29,070 | 4,018 | ${ }_{33,088}$ | ${ }_{5213,024,886}$ | ${ }_{3.0 \%}$ | 0.5\% | 18.169 | 873 | ${ }_{10,042}$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {L2 } 25086,69}$ | ${ }_{\text {11, }}^{10,412,517}$ |
| ${ }^{2035}$ | ${ }_{\text {821,699 }}^{8.85}$ | ${ }^{7996}$ | ${ }^{219}$ | ${ }_{4}^{4.89 \%}$ | ${ }_{2}^{23 \% 6}$ | ${ }^{31,157} 3$ |  | ${ }^{\text {35,126 }}$ |  | ${ }^{3.0 \% \%}$ | 0.5\%\% | ${ }_{\text {19,473 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {8 }}^{\text {863 }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { 20,366 }}}^{2.117}$ |  | ¢ |  | s | ${ }_{23,299534}$ | ${ }^{10.851,3,35}$ |
| ${ }_{\text {2033 }}^{2037}$ | ${ }_{8}^{8864,851}$ | ${ }_{\text {80, }}^{819 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {20\% }}^{200 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {4, }}^{4.8 \%}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{36,52 \\ 37.591}}$ |  | - ${ }_{\text {3,0\% }}^{3.06}$ | ${ }_{\text {0.5. }}^{0.5 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {coin }}^{\substack{20,065}}$ | ${ }_{8}^{885}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2038 | 889,467 | 81\%6 | 1996 | 4.8\%/ | 23\%\% | ${ }_{34,582}$ | 3,836 | ${ }_{38,418}$ | S247,342,700 | 3.0\% | 0.5\% | ${ }_{21,1,14}$ | ${ }_{834}$ | ${ }^{22448}$ | S144,523,393 | ¢46,083,411 |  | s | 23,350,084 | 9,721,152 |
| 239 | ${ }_{912,072}$ | ${ }^{82 \%}$ | ${ }_{18}^{18 \%}$ | ${ }_{4.8 \%}$ | 23\%\% | ${ }_{\text {35,899 }}$ | 3,776 | ${ }^{39,675}$ | ${ }_{5255,434,556}$ | 3.0\%6 | $0.5 \%$ | ${ }^{22,437}$ | 821 | ${ }^{23,258}$ | S149,737.498 | \$47,688,234 |  | s | $23,439,74$ | 9,39 |
| 204 | ${ }_{14,725.550}$ | ${ }^{833 \%}$ | $17 \%$ | 4.5\% | 23\% | ${ }_{538,74}$ | $5 \quad 80.85{ }^{\text {8 }}$ | ${ }_{619,996}^{6096}$ |  | ${ }^{3} .06$ | 0.59 | ${ }_{336,715}^{23,275}$ | ${ }^{81,598}$ | ${ }_{354,313}^{24,06}$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{462,147.683}^{20.659}$ | ${ }_{265,7275,404}^{9,060}$ |
|  | Intercity |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vehicle Occupancy } \\ & \text { ys Per Year (2015) } \\ & \text { ime (All Purposes) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.351 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Benefit Cost Analysis - Jobs

Long Term Jobs

|  | Total Estimated Economic Impact (Total \$) | Job-Years <br> Created (jobs- <br> year) |  | Jobs Created (jobs) per year |  | Median Income $(\$, 2014)$ | Annual Benefit (\$,year) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Creation and Economic Impact | \$1,420,246,721 | 18469 | 20 | 923.5 | \$65,812 | \$66,880 | \$61,763,680 |

## Short Term Jobs by Qurter

|  |  | Construction Spending | $\begin{gathered} \text { JOB-YEARS BY } \\ \text { QUARTER } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { JOBS BY } \\ & \text { QUARTER } \end{aligned}$ |  | Payroll By Quarter |  | Itiplier ect |  | Benefit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | Q1 | \$ 1,500,000 | 20 | 78 | \$ | 1,304,160 | \$ | 652,080 | \$ | 2,608,320 |
| 2018 | Q2 | \$ 1,500,000 | 20 | 78 | \$ | 1,304,160 | \$ | 652,080 |  |  |
| 2018 | Q3 | \$ 1,500,000 | 20 | 78 | \$ | 1,304,160 | \$ | 652,080 |  |  |
| 2018 | Q4 | \$ 1,500,000 | 20 | 78 | \$ | 1,304,160 | \$ | 652,080 |  |  |
| 2019 | Q1 | \$ 1,500,000 | 20 | 78 | \$ | 1,304,160 | \$ | 652,080 | \$ | 2,608,320 |
| 2019 | Q2 | \$ 1,500,000 | 20 | 78 | \$ | 1,304,160 | \$ | 652,080 |  |  |
| 2019 | Q3 | \$ 1,500,000 | 20 | 78 | \$ | 1,304,160 | \$ | 652,080 |  |  |
| 2019 | Q4 | \$ 1,500,000 | 20 | 78 | \$ | 1,304,160 | \$ | 652,080 |  |  |
| 2020 | Q1 | \$ 1,500,000 | 20 | 78 | \$ | 1,304,160 | \$ | 652,080 | \$ | 1,304,160 |
| 2020 | Q2 | \$ 1,500,000 | 20 | 78 | \$ | 1,304,160 | \$ | 652,080 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 195 | 780 |  |  |  |  | \$6,520,800 |  |

BLS CPI

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Table <br> Area <br> Year | National <br> Index |
| 2013 | 232.957 |
| 2014 | 236.736 |


|  |  | Quarters | Per Quarter |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Budget | $\$ 15,000,000$ | 10 | $\$ 1,500,000.00$ |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Texas Transportation Institute, "Dallas Area Wide Intelligent Transportation Plan", July 1996.
    ${ }^{2}$ MoSERS Methodology/ Calculation Description http://www.nctcog.org/trans/air/conformity/2009/Ap919.pdf

