## 2007 RIR FUNDING INITIATIVE

 EVALUATION OF COST OVERRUNS|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Approve | =unding |  |  |  |  | ew Cost Estim |  |  |  |  | overrun Ama |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project ID | csJ | ${ }_{\text {code }}^{\text {Tip }}$ | cily |  | Project Description | Justification for Increase | Federal | State Match | Local Match | Other Funding | Funding Source | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Federal and } \\ & \text { Regional } \\ & \text { Toll } \\ & \text { Revenue } \end{aligned}$ | tate Match | Match | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { Funding } \end{aligned}$ | Total | Regional Tol Revenue | State Match | Local match | Other | Total | Cost Benefit <br> Score | Threshold | Rescore | ${ }_{\text {Passere }}^{\substack{\text { Pass } \\ \text { Rescre }}}$ | Comments | Recommend |
| cooffol4 | 0918-46-965 | 1172 |  |  |  |  | \$4,376,00 | ${ }_{50}$ | 109 | ${ }^{50}$ |  | \$5.470.000 | s10.560.000 | so | 8000 | ${ }_{50}$ | \$13,2 | s6,184,000 | so | \$1.546, | so | 87,730,000 | 0.21 |  | NA | N/A |  |  |
| coonoor | 1567-02.027 | 52537 | fisco |  | Widen 2 to 6 lane urban arterial |  | so | \$0 | so |  |  | so | 93,436,748 | ${ }_{\text {so }}$ | \$859,186 | so | \$4,295,934 | ¢3,436,788 | so | \$859,186 | so | 4,295,934 | ( | NA | VA | NA | $\substack{\text { This request } \\ \text { constitues } \\ \text { addition } \\ \text { scope items. }}$ | resolution of cost \& TxDOT policy fording those costs. |
| COONOO6 | 2980-01-008 | 52291 |  |  |  |  | so | so | so |  |  | so | s3,152,604 | ${ }_{50}$ | s630.521 | so | ¢3,152,604 | \$3,152,604 | so | \$630,521 | [ ${ }_{\text {s0 }}$ | \$3,152,604 | NA | NA | NA | N/ |  |  |
| coono13 | 1567-02:027 |  | TxDOT- <br> Elm and N The Colony | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FM } 423 \\ & \text { From South } \\ & \text { of FM 2934 } \\ & \text { of FM 29arts } \\ & \text { to cteek Road } \end{aligned}$ | Widen 2 lane rural to 6 lane of ultimate 8 lane divided urban highway |  | \$35,000.000 | sol | 50 |  | RTR Funds | \$35.000.000 | 537.625.000 | sol | so |  | \$37,625.000 | \$2,62,000 | sol | sol | so | \$2.625.000 |  | NA | NA | ves |  | Ves |
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 EVALUATION OF COST OVERRUNS| Project ID | css | ${ }_{\text {coode }}^{\text {¢p }}$ | City | ProjectLocation | ProjectDescription | Justification forIncrease | Federal | State Match | Approved Funding |  |  |  | New Cost Estimate |  |  |  |  | Regional Toll Revenue | Requested Cost Overrun Amou <br> State Match Local Match |  | other | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { cost } \\ \text { Benefit } \\ \text { Score } \end{gathered}$ | Thresh | Rescore | ${ }_{\text {Pass }}^{\substack{\text { Rescore }}}$ | Comments | Recommend |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Local match | Other Funding | Funding Source | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Federal and } \\ \text { Regional } \\ \text { Toll } \\ \text { Revenue } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | State Match | ocal Match | $\begin{gathered} \text { Other } \\ \text { Funding } \end{gathered}$ | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COON028 | 1567-02-020 |  | The Colony |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1 } \left.\begin{array}{l} \text { Widen } 4 \text { to } 8 \\ \text { lane divided } \\ \text { urban } \end{array}\right\} \end{aligned}$ |  | \$41,100,000 | so | so |  | StR Funding | \$41,100,000 | S44,200,000 | so | so |  | So \$44,200,000 | \$3,100,000 | so | so | so | \$3,100,000 | 0.1131 | yes | NA | res | SH 121 MOU Commitment | yes |
| coono52 | 2550.02-013 |  | Denton |  | Construction of 2 lane rural roadway on new location |  | so | so | so | \$4,00, 000 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Cat } 11 \text { - Dist } \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$4,00,000 | 53,033,280 | \$758,320 |  | \$4,000.000 | 57,791,600 | \$3,03, 280 | \$758,320 | so | so | ¢3,791,600 | 0.06363 | Yes | NA | NA |  | No |
| COONO54 | 0353.02.060 | 11238 | Trophy Club | SH I14 From <br> West of <br> Tronhy <br> Take <br> Dive To <br> Wive Tophy <br> Wlub Drive | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \text { to } 6 \text { lanes, } \\ & \text { Phase III; } \\ & \text { Complete main } \\ & \text { lanes and } \\ & \text { frontage roads } \\ & \text { for } 6 \text { lanes } \end{aligned}$ |  | 56,880,000 | \$1,720,000 | so | \$13,000,000 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Cat } 11 \text { - Dist } \\ \text { Discretionary } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$22,600,000 | \$20,729,905 | \$1,720,000 | so | \$13,000,000 | \$35,449,005 | \$11,849,905 | s0 | so | so | \$11,849,905 | 0.0602 | Yes | NA | NA | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { s6,88,000-} \\ \text { STP-MM, } \\ \$ 13,899,95 \end{array} \\ & \hline \text { RTR } \end{aligned}$ | yes |
| coonos6 | 0355.02:062 |  | For Worth |  |  |  | so | so | so | \$18,800,000 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { Cat } 2 \text { - Metro } \\ \text { Corridor } \end{array}$ | \$18,800,000 | ${ }_{50}$ | so | so | 518,80,000 | \$18,800,000 | so | s0 | so | so | so 80 | 0.0582 | Yes | NA | NA |  | Aready approved |
| coono11 | 1950.01-026 | 5126 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Flower Mound } \\ & \text { \& Highland } \\ & \text { Village } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | so | so | so | 96,921,955 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Cat } 2 \text { - Metro } \\ \text { Corridor } \end{array}$ | 96,921,95 | \$761,415 | 50 | So | 96,921,955 | 97,683,370 | 5761,415 | s0 | so | so | 5761,415 | 0.17729 |  | NA | NA |  | res |
| мртTтоб |  |  | Carrollton <br> Lewisville, Hickory <br> Creek, Lake <br> Dallas, <br> Denton and |  |  |  | s0) | so) | 50 |  |  |  | \$99,920,000 |  | \$ \$2,980,000 |  | so \$124,900,000 | \$55,200,000 | so |  |  | 87,500,000 | 384,485 |  | NA | N/ |  | Yres |
|  |  | Sum of Recommennended Priolicects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{\text {8758,320 }}$ | (318,6419007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

** Air Quality Cost Effectiveness provides the cost per ton of emissions reduced. Staff proposes using a 125,000 per ton threshold

