Management

Sub-grade or existing soil North Central Texas

2" Gravel 3/8" to 5/8") or

What is iSWM?

 A regional program to assist local governments:

- Manage stormwater impacts
- Meet MS4 Permit requirements



North Central Texas Council of Governments



- Collaborative effort between:
 - 60+ local governments
 - iSWM Committee
 - Regional Public Works
 Council
 - Consultant team led by Freese and Nichols

iSWM Resources

What's in the Criteria Manual?

- **Ch. 1:** Overview of iSWM Criteria Manual
- **Ch. 2:** *integrated* Development Process
- Ch. 3: integrated Design Criteria
- Ch. 4: integrated Construction Criteria

Technical Manual:

- Technical and design information
- Online resource for use by local governments and design community
- Separate volumes for easy download and use



Outcome Focused Implementation

North Central Texas Council of Governments iSWM PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION TIERED MEASUREMENT

SUBMITTING COMMUNITY:

Requirements for Implementation Levels							
Outcome Category	Gold	Silver	Bronze				
Mandatory	10 full application	10 full or partial application	10 full or partial application 4 full or partial application				
Recommended	7 full application	7 full or partial application					
Optional	3 full or partial application						

Note: The following outcomes apply to land disturbing activities of 1 acre or more for water quality and streambank protection, and apply to all

#	Outcome	CHECK COMMUNITY'S LEVEL OF APPLICATION			Full Application	iSWM Criteria	Equivalent Local Criteria/Ordinanc
		N/A	Partial	Full	run application	Manual Ref.	Reference
MA	NDATORY OUT	OMES					
1	Site Plan Review				Stormwater requirements discussed at a pre-	Section 2.2,	
	Applicability				development/pre-application meeting or equivalent (Concept iSWM)	Step 3	
2	Land Use Conditions				Design stormwater infrastructure to fully-developed (built-out) land use conditions	Section 3.6.1	
3	Hydrologic				Limit Rational Method applicability to drainage	Section 3.1	
	Methods				areas of 100 acres or less and utilize frequency	Table 3.2; TM*	
					factors (per TM HO Table 1.4); Limit Modified	HO** Section	
					Rational Method applicability to drainage areas of	1.2	
					200 acres or less; For larger areas, require Unit		
					Hydrograph methodology		
4	Open Channel				Require maximum permissible channel velocity	Section 3.6.3,	
	Velocity				criteria be met and/or use erosion control measures	Table 3.10 and	
	Criteria/Energy				for 1-, 25-, and 100-yr or similar storm events to	3.11	
	Dissipation				protect receiving drainage element from erosion		
5	Detention				When a detention structure is utilized, design	Section 3.6.3,	
	Structure				facility for fully-developed 1-, 25-, and 100-yr or	Detention	
	Discharge				similar storm events matching pre-development	Structures	
	Criteria				peak flows and velocities; Provide emergency		
					spillway with 6 inches of freeboard to convey fully-		
					developed 100-yr storm event assuming outlet		
_					blockage		
6	Streambank				Require downstream stabilization to prevent	Section 1.3,	
	Protection				erosive velocities; maintain existing downstream	Table 1.3;	
					velocity conditions with on-site controls; and/or	Section 3.4	
					control fully-developed 1-yr, 24-hr storm event		
_	-				release over 24 hours to prevent erosive velocities		
7	Flood Mitigation				Require adequate downstream conveyance for peak	Section 1.3,	
					discharges; maintain existing downstream peak	Table 1.3; Section 3.5.2	
					discharge conditions with on-site controls; and/or provide detention to pre-development peak	Section 5.5.2	
					discharge conditions		
8	Construction				Limit erosion and the discharge of sediment and	Section 4.0	
·	Controls				other pollutants from construction sites by adhering	36000114.0	
	Controls				to the integrated Construction Criteria or		
					Construction General Permit		
9	Operations and				Define responsible party and requirements for	Section 2.2.	
-	Maintenance				operation, maintenance, frequency of inspection,	Step 5	
					and enforcement of temporary and permanent		
					stormwater controls and drainage facilities		
10	Downstream				Confirm no negative impact or mitigate negative	Section 3.3;	
	Assessments				impacts of peak discharges and velocities for 1-, 25-,	TM* HO**	
					and 100-yr or similar storm events	Section 2.4	

North Central Texas Council of Governments iSWM PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION TIERED MEASUREMENT

	OMMENDED OUTCOM	NES				
11	Conveyance Limits		25-yr fully-developed design storm or higher for: streets, roadway gutters, storm drain pipe systems, inlets on-grade and parking lots; 100-yr fully-developed design storm event for: drainage in the right-of-way, drainage easements, and road low points	Section 3.6.2		
12	Storm Drain Velocity Criteria		Limit velocity in pipes with minimum and maximum values to prevent clogging and erosion	Section 3.6.1, Table 3.8		
13	Spread Criteria		Flow spread limits for various street classifications for 25-yr storm event or higher	Section 3.6.2, Table 3.7		
14	Freeboard Criteria		Minimum of 1 foot of freeboard provided for the fully-developed 100-yr storm event for culverts and detention structures; Minimum of 2 feet of freeboard for bridges for fully-developed 100-yr storm event	Section 3.6.3		
15	Finished Floor Elevations		Minimum of 1-foot above fully-developed 100-yr storm event water surface elevation or 2-feet above effective FEMA base flood elevation	Section 3.7		
16	Water Quality Protection		Require integrated site design practices; treat the water quality volume; and/or enact regional water quality programs	Section 1.3, Table 1.3; Section 3.2		
17	Drainage and Floodplain Easements		Required for all drainage systems that convey stormwater runoff across property boundaries and must include sufficient area for operation and maintenance of the public drainage system	Section 3.7		
	TOTALS			·		
)PT	TIONAL OUTCOMES					
8	Open Channel Stability Criteria		Design includes low-flow channel	Section 3.6.3		
19	Detention Downstream Timing Analysis		Confirm detention does not exacerbate peak flows in downstream reaches	Section 3.5.2, Option 3		
20	Conservation and Utilization of Natural Features and Resources		Ordinances encourage preservation of natural resources such as riparian buffers and/or natural open space areas and utilization of natural design features for stormwater conveyance	Section 3.2.2; TM* PL*** 2.2.1		
21	Lower Impact Site Design Techniques		Ordinances encourage reducing limits of clearing and grading and limiting impervious cover per integrated site design practices	Section 3.2.2; TM* PL*** 2.2.2		
22	TriSWM		Incorporate practices for improving water quality of runoff from public rights-of-way	Appendix A of the ISWM Criteria Manual		
	TOTALS					
TM	I = ISWM Technical Manual	**HO = Hyd	ology Section of the Technical manual ***PL = I	Planning Section of the Technical mar		
	т	ier Level Applied	I For: GOLD SILVER BRO	NZE		
	Print Name and Title of I	Local Stormwater Au	Contact Phone Number and Em	ail		
	Signature of Local Storm	water Authority	Date			
For	r IIS Review Board Use O	nly:				
Dat	te of Submittal:		Date of Request for Additional Inform	ation:		
Date of Approval: Date Additional Information Received:						
Ap	proved Tier Level:		Informational Letter Date Sent:			

iSWM Certified Communities



City of Denton







City of Fort Worth

CITY OF FORT WORTH AN ISWM COMMUNITY -

STORMWATER Criteria Manual



September 29, 2015

Stormwater

FORT WORTH.

City of Kennedale





City of Frisco





City of Grand Prairie





....And more!





Stormwater Management Community



Presenting..... New iSWM Website!

Management

HOME V RESOURCES V CASE STUDIES V CONTACT



The SWM III Program for Construction and Development is a cooperative initiative that assists cities and counties to achieve their goals of water quality protection, streambank protection, and flood mitigation, while also helping communities meet their construction and post-construction obligations under state stormwater permits.

Development and redevelopment by their nature increase the amount of imperviousness in our surrounding environment. This increased imperviousness translates into loss of natural areas, more sources for poliusion in nunoff, and heightened flooding risks. To help mitigate these impacts, more than fall local governments are cooperating to proactively create sound stormwater management guidance for the region through the integrated Stormwater Management (ISWM) Program.



Reduce Flooding

Designs based on the ISWM program mean that a community can handle stormwater more effectively and with fewer flooding impacts.



Protect Property Values

ISWM reduces the potential for erosion by addressing streambank protection during design, protecting properties, and infrastructure along creeks and rivers.



Improve Water Quality

SWM techniques give a community new tools to improve water quality, thereby reducing costs and protecting residents.

Stormwater Management

iSWM Work Program 2017-2018

Ben Pylant, PE, CFM Director of Water Resources, North Texas Halff Associates, Inc.



iSWM Workshops

- iSWM Implementation for Planners, Development, and Economic Development
- Rules of Thumb and Lessons-Learned for Engineers
- Bioswales and Infiltration Trenches: Design and Maintenance

Workshop materials and videos available at www.iswm.nctcog.org

Redevelopment Guidance



Downstream Assessment Review

Downstream Assessment Summary of Review

INTRODUCTION

The Halff Team was tasked with reviewing the downstream asse current NCTCOG iSWM Technical Manual for Hydrology. The go

- Benchmarking of criteria used by other municipalities
- Providing other options in the application of the downs T

Through this review, it was concluded that the current iSWM Te continues to be applicable for <u>site-specific</u> evaluation of downs' development. While many of the researched communities are approach to downstream assessment techniques, a more effect found. These findings are presented in more detail in the follow

The concerns with the current iSWM downstream assessment a required during the development submittal and review process credited as being one of the most impactful paradigm shifts in t traditional and historic drainage criteria in the NCTCOG region.

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE

The purpose of the downstream assessment is to protect down increased flooding and downstream channels from increased er development. The importance of the downstream assessment i developments that have the potential to dramatically impact do of smaller sites, however, can be just as dramatic. The assessme proposed development to a point downstream where the disch longer has a significant impact on the receiving stream or storm

Many communities have implemented a detention requirement the outlet of a site to the pre-development peak discharge. This negative timing impacts that could result from a detention requirement.

The downstream assessment was implemented with the origina following purposes:

- Protect downstream properties from flood or velocity i development
- Provide defensible evidence that a proposed developm properties
- Potentially eliminate the need for detaining increased r
- Make better informed decisions for the site-specific in



Downstream Assessment Summary of Review

Grand Prairie, Texas

BENCHMARKING

The City of Grand Prairie is an iSWM Silver Certified Community. The City has approach to evaluating their infrastructure and the flood conveyance capacity systems. Through a comprehensive storm drain study, the City has identified in the City provide an adequate level of service. By incorporating storm drain integrated and comprehensive storm drain model, the City determined the ex developed capacity and level of service for their storm drain trunk lines. Desig corresponding land uses have been mapped for reference during the develope proposed development with the original design assumptions. If the storm dra developed conditions and the proposed development does not exceed the zor percentage, then the downstream assessment is often considered complete. undersized storm drain system or open channel, the proposed development is and a much better starting condition for the downstream assessment process

This watershed-based approach has often expedited the development review identify areas where stormwater mitigation may be necessary. Understanding levels of service early in the development process is critical to planning and bu related needs.





Downstream Assessment Summary of Review

Chicago, Illinois

The City of Chicago has implemented a watershed-based approach to downstream assessment. Most regional solutions in Chicago are not practical for infill situations that often occur in the areas of stormwater concern. As part of their planning efforts, the City analyzed the conveyance capacity of the infrastructure and developed capacity maps that establish release rate thresholds (discharge / acre) for each of the basins within the City. These established release rates are often lower than the release rates of the existing site conditions in areas where the current storm drain systems are undersized. If a redevelopment creates, reconstructs, or resurfaces greater than 7,500 square feet of development, then the release rate are applied.

The sample exhibit below shows an example of the Chicago outlet capacity map that dictates the release rates across the City.



Construction Control Standard Details



iSWM Construction Control Standard Details

Addendum to: **iSWM Technical Manual – Construction Controls** The following are a selection of 10 iSWM construction control BMP schematics chosen to be provided in standard details.

- Rock Check Dams
- 2. Temporary Erosion Control Blankets
- 3. Dewatering Controls
- 4. Filter Tube Curb Inlet Protection
- 5. Hog Wire Weir Curb Inlet Protection
- 6. Curb Rock Sock On-Grade Curb Inlet Protection
- 7. Filter Tube Area Inlet Protection
- 8. Sediment Basin with Overflow Riser
- 9. Silt Fence
- 10.Stabilized Construction Exit



Integrated Site Design Practices Criteria – Ordinance Language Matrix



integrated Site Design Practices Sample Ordinance Language

Category	iSWM Outcomes	Code Objective(s)	Sample Ordinance Language	Presumptions & Code Connections
Overview	Require integrated Site Design (continued)		init of claiming charge graphs of the set of the s	
Overview	Require integrated Site Design	Require preservation of environmentally sensitive areas and other buildable areas.	 Definition – Environmentally Sensitive Areas: Natural features which can be used in the protection of water resources by reducing stormwater runoff, providing runoff storage, reducing flooding, preventing soil erosion, promoting infiltration and removing stormwater pollutants. These areas include: All of the floodway and flood fringe within the 100-year floodiplain, as shown on official FEMA maps; Wetlands; Natural drainageways; Areas of highly erodible soil and soils with high infiltrative ability as defined in [local citation or the NCTCOG Hydrology Technical Manual]; All riparian buffers within twenty-five (25) feet of the top of bank of any perennial stream, wetland or shoreline; Slopes exceeding fifteen (15) percent; and Undisturbed forested and vegetated areas 	Presumes zoning regulations include density limitations. Should limit this provision to low density, rural zones. Should incorporate other preservation criteria such as scenic views and agricultural lands, wildlife management areas, and historic, archeological and culture features in order to ensure preserved areas provide multiple benefits. Open space developments typically allow some managed uses in conserved areas. These uses should be specified in the code as well as the

Contacts

iswm.nctcog.org

Sub-grade or existing soil



Edith Marvin, P.E., C.F.M. emarvin@nctcog.org 817-695-9211

Derica Peters, C.F.M. dpeters@nctcog.org 817-695-9217



Ben Pylant, P.E., C.F.M. Halff Associates, Inc <u>bpylant@halff.com</u> 817-764-7488