The Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) is a staged, multi-year program of projects approved for funding with federal, State, and local funds within the Dallas-Fort Worth area. A new TIP is approved every two to three years by the Regional Transportation Council (RTC), which serves as the policy board for the Dallas-Fort Worth Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO). Due to the changing nature of projects as they move through the implementation process, the TIP must be modified on a regular basis.

Please note certain project changes require collaboration with our State and federal review partners. This collaboration occurs through the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) revision process. Therefore, modification of the Dallas-Fort Worth TIP will follow the quarterly schedule established for revisions to the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP).

This policy consists of four sections:

General Policy Provisions: Overall policies guiding changes to project implementation

<u>Project Changes Not Requiring TIP Modification</u>: Changes related to administration or interpretation of Regional Transportation Council Policy

<u>Administrative Amendment Policy</u>: Authority granted to the MPO Director to expedite project delivery and maximize the time the RTC has to consider policy level (vs. administrative) issues

<u>Revision Policy</u>: Changes only the Regional Transportation Council can approve or recommend for State and federal concurrence

General Policy Provisions

- 1. All projects inventoried in the Transportation Improvement Program fall under this modification policy, regardless of funding source or funding category.
- 2. Air quality conformity, Mobility Plan consistency, congestion management process compliance, and financial constraint requirements must be met for all TIP modifications.
- 3. Project modifications will only be made with the consent of the implementing/impacted agency.
- 4. The Dallas-Fort Worth MPO will maintain a cost overrun funding pool. Program funds must be available through the cost overrun pool or from other sources in order to process modifications involving project cost increases.
- 5. All funding from deleted projects will be returned to the regional program for future cost overruns or new funding initiatives, unless the deleted funds are needed to cover cost overruns in other currently selected projects. However, it is important to note that funds are awarded to projects, not to implementing agencies. Therefore, funds from potentially infeasible projects cannot be saved for use in future projects by implementing agencies. MPO staff will manage timely resolution of these projects/funds. In addition, if a project was selected through a particular "program," such as the Sustainable Development or Regional ITS Funding Program, funds from deleted projects may be returned to those programs for future "calls for projects" in those areas.

- 6. For projects selected using project scoring methodologies, projects will no longer be rescored before a cost increase is considered.
- 7. Cost increases for strategically-selected projects fall under the same modification policy provisions.
- 8. As a general policy, new projects are proposed through periodic regional funding initiatives. However, the RTC may elect to add new projects to the TIP, outside of a scheduled funding initiative under emergency or critical situations. Projects approved under this provision must be an immediate need.
- 9. Local match commitments (i.e., percentages) will be maintained as originally approved. Cost overruns on construction, right-of-way, and engineering costs will be funded according to original participation shares.
- 10. Additional restrictions may apply to projects selected under certain funding initiatives. For example, projects selected through the Land Use/Transportation Joint Venture (i.e., Sustainable Development) program are not eligible for cost increases from RTC-selected funding categories.
- 11. Cost overruns are based on the total estimated cost of the project, including all phases combined, and are evaluated once total project cost is determined to exceed original funding authorization.
- 12. Cost indicators may be evaluated on cost overruns to alert project reviewers of potential unreasonable cost estimates (examples include cost per lane-mile, cost per turn lane). The cost indicators are developed by the MPO, in consultation with TxDOT, using experience from the last several years. If a project falls out of this range, the MPO may either: (a) require a more detailed estimate and explanation, (b) require value engineering, (c) suggest a reduced project scope, or (d) determine that a cost increase will come from local funds, not RTC funds.
- 13. For a project change to be considered, implementing agencies must submit modification requests for their TIP projects through the online TIP modification system. Project change requests must include complete information by the deadline. Incomplete requests will be sent back to agency for re-submittal in a future cycle.
- 14. Implementing agencies must identify one or two official points of contact for TIP project modifications. The point of contact is responsible for entering <u>complete</u> project modification requests into the online TIP modification system <u>on time</u>. The point of contact must be capable of collecting and entering accurate project information. Points of contact will be sent reminders leading up to submittal deadlines.

Project Changes Not Requiring TIP Modification

In certain circumstances, changes may be made to TIP projects without triggering a TIP modification. These circumstances are outlined below:

- 1. Changes that do not impact the overall purpose of a project: Changes to MTP reference, CSJ's, or other clerical edits do not require a TIP modification.
- Changes to TxDOT's Design and Construction Information System (DCIS): The DCIS is a project tracking system, therefore, simply updating the DCIS to match previously approved TIP projects or project elements does not require TIP modification. MPO staff maintains the official list of projects and funding levels approved by the RTC.
- 3. Carryover Funds: At the end of each fiscal year, unobligated funds are moved to the new fiscal year as carryover funds. For example, if a project receives funding in a specific fiscal year, but the project is not implemented by the end of the fiscal year, staff will automatically move the funds for that project into the next fiscal year. These changes do not require a TIP modification.
- 4. **Cost/Funding Increases**: Staff will update cost increases in the information system for changes of less than \$400,000.
- 5. **Increases in Local Funds:** Staff will adjust with concurrence of local agency.
- 6. Changes in RTC Funding Categories: Staff adjustments permitted.
- 7. **Emergency**: This provision includes emergency changes that need approval quickly, but timing is not aligned with the RTC Meeting schedule. These changes would come to the RTC for ratification at the next scheduled meeting.
- 8. Cost/Funding Decreases: Staff will update the information system with cost decreases.
- 9. **Funding Year Changes:** Staff will update the information system for changes that advance project implementation. Once projects are ready for construction (i.e., all federal and State requirements and procedures have been met), staff will advance the project to construction if funds are available.
- 10. Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) Revisions Consistent with Previous RTC Action (e.g., Staff will place a project or changes previously approved by the RTC in the appropriate information system and documents.)

11. Addition of Noncapacity, Conformity-Exempt Projects: Staff will place projects in the appropriate information system/document.

Examples include, but are not limited to:

Sign refurbishing
Landscaping
Preventive maintenance
Bridge rehabilitation/replacement
Safety/Maintenance

Intersection Improvements
Intelligent Transportation System
Traffic Signal Improvements

- 12. Changes to Implementing Agency: Staff will process after receiving a written request/approval from the current implementing agency and the newly proposed implementing agency.
- 13. Increased Flexibility for Traffic Signal, Intersection Improvement, ITS, and "Grouped" Projects: Staff will use best practices to advance this category of projects.
- 14. Addition and Adjustment of Phases: Includes engineering, right-of-way, construction, etc.
- 15. Administrative Scope Changes: Minor clarifications to the type of work being performed, physical length of project, and project termini/limits. For example, changing the limits of a project from ".25 miles west of" to "west of," or changing the limits from "point A" to ".5 miles east of point A," or clarifying limits due to a change to the name of a roadway when there is no physical change to the limits (the name of the roadway just changed from one name to another, etc.
- 16. Funding Year Changes: Can be moved by staff if project is being moved less than one year.

Please note that a STIP revision may be required to make these changes in the statewide funding document. In all cases, MPO information systems will be updated and changes will be noted in project tracking systems.

Administrative Amendment Policy

Administrative Amendments are TIP modifications that do not require action of the RTC for approval. Under the Administrative Amendment Policy, the RTC has authorized the Director of Transportation, or his designee, for the Dallas-Fort Worth MPO to approve TIP modifications that meet the following conditions. After they are approved, administrative amendments are provided to STTC and the RTC for informational purposes, unless they are merely processed to support previous RTC project approval.

 Changes in Federal/State Funding Categories that Do Not Impact RTC-Selected Funding Programs: RTC-Selected funding programs include: CMAQ, STP-MM, RTR, Category 2M -Metro Corridor (in coordination with TxDOT), Texas Mobility Funds, Urbanized Area Formula Program - Transit Section 5307.

- 2. Potentially Controversial Projects The administrative amendment policy does not restrict the Transportation Director from requesting Regional Transportation Council (RTC) action on potentially controversial project changes.
- 3. Change in funding share due to adding funding from one program to another: For instance, if adding Thoroughfare Assessment Program funds (80% federal and 20% state/local) to a project that is 56% federal and 44% local, an administrative amendment is permitted. The revision policy applies to all other instances.

Revision Policy

Revisions are modifications that require approval of the Regional Transportation Council. A revision is required for any project modification that meets the following criteria or that does not fall under the Administrative Amendment Policy.

- Adding or Deleting Projects from the TIP: This provision includes all projects not covered previously in this Policy. All new projects regardless of funding source need to be approved under this Revision Policy.
- **2. Cost/Funding Increases:** A revision is required on any cost/funding increase over \$400,000.
- 3. Substantive Scope Changes: This provision includes major or substantive changes that may have citizen interest or policy implications. For example, limits change to a brand new location, limits are extended or shortened substantially, the number of lanes changes, etc.
- **4. Funding Year Changes:** A revision is required to move a project more than one year into a fiscal year that would delay project implementation.
- **5. Changes in the Funding/Cost Shares:** A change to the percentage of the total project cost paid by each funding partner requires a revision (with the one exception noted in the administrative amendment policy).