

# Flood Risk Report

## **East Fork Trinity Watershed**

HUC8 12030106

September 2019



Project Area Community List

Community Name
Collin County
Dallas County
Fannin County
Grayson County
Hunt County
Kaufman County
Rockwall County
City of Allen
City of Anna
City of Balch Springs
City of Blue Ridge
City of Celina
City of Combine
City of Crandall
City of Dallas
City of Dorchester
City of Fairview
City of Farmersville
City of Fate
City of Forney
City of Frisco
City of Garland
City of Grunter
City of Health
Town of Howe
City of Lavon
City of Leonard
City of Lowry Crossing

Community Name
City of Lucas
City of McKinney
City of McLendon-Chisholm
City of Melissa
City of Mesquite
City of Mobile City
City of Murphy
City of Nevada
Town of New Hope
City of Parker
City of Plano
City of Princeton
Town of Prosper
City of Richardson
City of Rockwall
City of Rowlett
City of Sachse
Town of Saint Paul
City of Seagoville
Town of Sunnyvale
Town of Talty
City of Tom Bean
City of Trenton
City of Van Alstyne
City of Weston
City of Wylie

## Flood Risk Report History

Version Number	Version Date	Summary
v1.0	7/31/2019	Discovery, Base Level Engineering (BLE), and Flood Risk Report
V2.0	8/30/2019	Final Discovery, Base Level Engineering (BLE), and Flood Risk Report

## Preface

The Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency's (FEMA) Risk Mapping, Assessment, and Planning (Risk MAP) program provides states and local communities with flood risk information, datasets, risk assessments, and tools that they can use to increase their resilience to flooding and better protect their residents. By pairing accurate floodplain maps with risk assessment tools and planning and outreach support, Risk MAP transforms the traditional flood mapping efforts into an integrated process of identifying, assessing, communicating, planning for, and mitigating flood-related risks.

The Flood Risk Report (FRR) is one of the tools created though the Risk MAP program. A FRR provides nonregulatory information to help local officials, floodplain managers, planners, emergency managers, and others. Local along with Federal and state officials can use the information in the FRR to establish a better understanding of their flood risk, take steps to mitigate those risks, and communicate those risks to residents and local businesses.

The FRR serves as a guide when communities update local hazard mitigation plans, community comprehensive plans, and emergency operations and response plans. It is meant to communicate risk to officials and inform them of the modification of development standards, as well as assist in identifying necessary or potential mitigation projects. The FRR extends beyond community limits to provide flood risk data for the East Fork Trinity Watershed.

Flood risk is always changing, and studies, reports, or other sources may be available that provide more comprehensive information. This report is not intended to be the regulatory nor the final authoritative source of all flood risk data in the watershed. Rather, it should be used in conjunction with other data sources to provide a comprehensive picture of flood risk within the project area.

## Contents

Executive Summary	LT
About the FEMA Risk Mapping, Assessment, and Planning (Risk MAP) Program	1
About the East Fork Trinity Watershed	3
Introduction	4
Flood Risk	4
Watershed Basics	5
Project Phases and Map Maintenance	11
Background	11
How are FEMA's Flood Hazard Maps Maintained?	12
General Flood Risk Project Phases	13
Phase Zero: Investment	13
Phase One: Discovery	14
Phase Two: Risk Identification and Assessment	15
Phase Three: Regulatory Products Update	15
Phase Zero: Investment – FY16 East Fork Trinity Watershed Risk MAP Project	
Watershed Selection Factors	
Base Level Engineering – East Fork Trinity Watershed (2019)	21
Phase One – Discovery: East Fork Trinity Watershed (2018)	24
Pre-Discovery Engagement Efforts	24
Pre-Discovery Engagement Efforts Data Gathering	
	24
Data Gathering	24 26
Data Gathering Discovery Meeting	24 26 26
Data Gathering Discovery Meeting Watershed Findings and Prioritizations	24 
Data Gathering Discovery Meeting Watershed Findings and Prioritizations Appendix I: Community-Specific Reports	
Data Gathering Discovery Meeting Watershed Findings and Prioritizations Appendix I: Community-Specific Reports Appendix II: Base Level Engineering Report	
Data Gathering Discovery Meeting Watershed Findings and Prioritizations Appendix I: Community-Specific Reports Appendix II: Base Level Engineering Report Appendix III: Additional Data	
Data Gathering Discovery Meeting Watershed Findings and Prioritizations Appendix I: Community-Specific Reports Appendix II: Base Level Engineering Report Appendix III: Additional Data Discovery Figures	
Data Gathering Discovery Meeting Watershed Findings and Prioritizations Appendix I: Community-Specific Reports Appendix II: Base Level Engineering Report Appendix III: Additional Data Discovery Figures Pre-Discovery Webinar Slides	
Data Gathering Discovery Meeting Watershed Findings and Prioritizations Appendix I: Community-Specific Reports Appendix II: Base Level Engineering Report Appendix III: Additional Data Discovery Figures Pre-Discovery Webinar Slides Discovery Findings Webinar Slides	
Data Gathering Discovery Meeting Watershed Findings and Prioritizations Appendix I: Community-Specific Reports Appendix II: Base Level Engineering Report Appendix III: Additional Data Discovery Figures Pre-Discovery Webinar Slides Discovery Findings Webinar Slides Appendix IV: Resources	
Data Gathering Discovery Meeting Watershed Findings and Prioritizations Appendix I: Community-Specific Reports Appendix II: Base Level Engineering Report Appendix III: Additional Data Discovery Figures Pre-Discovery Webinar Slides Discovery Findings Webinar Slides Appendix IV: Resources Watershed Follow-up Points of Contact	
Data Gathering Discovery Meeting Watershed Findings and Prioritizations Appendix I: Community-Specific Reports Appendix II: Base Level Engineering Report Appendix III: Additional Data Discovery Figures Pre-Discovery Webinar Slides Discovery Findings Webinar Slides Appendix IV: Resources Watershed Follow-up Points of Contact State Partners	
Data Gathering Discovery Meeting Watershed Findings and Prioritizations Appendix I: Community-Specific Reports Appendix II: Base Level Engineering Report Appendix III: Additional Data Discovery Figures Pre-Discovery Webinar Slides Discovery Findings Webinar Slides Appendix IV: Resources Watershed Follow-up Points of Contact State Partners Texas Water Development Board	
Data Gathering Discovery Meeting Watershed Findings and Prioritizations Appendix I: Community-Specific Reports Appendix II: Base Level Engineering Report Appendix III: Additional Data Discovery Figures Pre-Discovery Webinar Slides Discovery Findings Webinar Slides Discovery Findings Webinar Slides Appendix IV: Resources Watershed Follow-up Points of Contact State Partners Texas Water Development Board North Central Texas Council of Governments	

Interactive Preliminary Data Viewer	289
Estimated Base Flood Elevation (BFE) Viewer	289
Map Service Center – Available Map Data	289

## **Executive Summary**

The Flood Risk Report has two goals: (1) to inform communities of their risks related to certain natural hazards, and (2) to enable communities to act to reduce their risk. The information within this Risk Report is intended to assist Federal, state, and local officials with the following goals:

- **Communicate risk** Local officials can use the information in this report to communicate with property owners, business owners, and other residents about risks and areas of mitigation interest.
- Update local hazard mitigation plans and community comprehensive plans Planners can use risk information to develop and/or update hazard mitigation plans, comprehensive plans, future land use maps, and zoning regulations. For example, zoning codes can be changed to provide for more appropriate land uses in high-hazard areas.
- Update emergency operations and response plans Emergency managers can identify high-risk areas for potential evacuation and low-risk areas for sheltering. Risk assessment information may show vulnerable areas, facilities, and infrastructure for which continuity of operations plans, continuity of government plans, and emergency operations plans would be essential.
- Inform the modification of development standards Planners and public works officials can use information in this report to support the adjustment of development standards for certain locations.
- Identify mitigation projects Planners and emergency managers can use this risk assessment to determine specific mitigation projects of interest. For example, a floodplain manager may identify critical facilities that need to be elevated or removed from the floodplain.

The North Central Texas Council of Governments (NCTCOG), Texas, became a FEMA Cooperating Technical Partner (CTP) in Fiscal Year 2004 (FY2004) and in FY2016 contracted with FEMA to provide Risk MAP Discovery and Base Level Engineering (BLE) products for the East Fork Trinity Watershed, Texas. The project area covers the counties bounded by the East Fork Trinity Hydrologic Unite Code 8 (HUC-8) Watershed: Collin, Dallas, Fannin, Grayson, Hunt, Kaufman, and Rockwall. Locator maps covering the study area can be found in Appendix III of this report.

This Flood Risk Report focuses on the FY2016 Risk MAP Discovery and BLE project. It showcases risk assessments, which analyze how a flood hazard affects the built environment, population, and local economy to identify mitigation actions and develop mitigation strategies.

The information in this Risk Report should be used to identify areas in need of mitigation projects or flood risk identification projects (RiskMAP Phase 2) to support additional efforts to educate residents on the hazards that may affect them. The areas of greatest hazard impact are identified in the Areas of Mitigation Interest (AOMI) section of this report, which can serve as a starting point for identifying and prioritizing actions a community can take to reduce its risks.

## About the FEMA Risk Mapping, Assessment, and Planning (Risk MAP) Program

Flood risk is continually changing over time due to factors such as new building and development and weather patterns. The goal of the Federal Emergency Management Agency's (FEMA) Risk MAP program

is to work with Federal, state, tribal, and local partners to identify and reduce flood risk across communities. These projects are conducted using watershed boundaries, and bring together multiple communities to identify broader mitigation actions and create consistency across the watershed. The program provides resources and support that are tailored to each community to help mitigate their risk and work towards a reduction in risk and future loss.

Through coordination and data sharing, the communities in the watershed work as partners in the mapping process. In addition to providing data, the communities can also provide insight into flooding issues and flood prevention within their areas. To prepare for a future study and assist in mitigation, FEMA provides several data sources, including information from the community, such as the following:

- Areas of repeated flooding and insurance claims
- Future development plans
- Areas of low water crossings
- High water marks from recent flooding events
- Areas of evacuation during high water
- Master drainage plans, flood risk reduction projects, and large areas of fill placement
- Local flood studies
- Other flood risk information

For more information about ways communities can take action or take advantage of available resources, please review the attached appendices.

FEMA provides communities with BLE data for select watersheds during the Risk MAP process. BLE is a form of hydrologic and hydraulic modeling which, when completed, can provide modeled flood hazard data in existing Zone As or where no effective flood hazard zone has been designated. Knowing the extent of flooding during the 1-percent-annual-chance flooding event supports risk reduction efforts and supports more resilient community planning. Completed BLE data is provided to watershed communities for planning, risk communication, floodplain management, and permitting activities, and to inform future flood study needs. BLE is large scale watershed based modeling that lacks the detail of Zone AE modeling such as road crossings and the effects of routing storage. BLE does not replace Zone AE data and should be used for comparison purposes only in these areas.

For information on BLE in the East Fork Trinity Watershed, see the Phase Zero: Investment section of this report or Appendix II: Base Level Engineering Report.

## About the East Fork Trinity Watershed

The first FEMA flood hazard mapping within the East Fork Trinity HUC-8 Watershed was released in the 1970s. As of 2019, all the participating communities in the East Fork Trinity Watershed Discovery have modernized countywide Digital Flood Insurance Rate Maps (DFIRMs) and Flood Insurance Study (FIS) Reports. Approximately 67 percent of the area in the East Fork Trinity Watershed is undeveloped; including grasslands, croplands, and forests. Roughly 28 percent of the area is developed, and the remaining five percent is open water. Over the past half century, the area experienced rapidly increasing development and many severe floods. The City of McKinney alone experienced 10 severe floods in a 40-year period. The Memorial Day Floods and Tropical Storm, named Bill, of 2015 damaged roads and claimed lives throughout the East Fork Trinity Watershed, causing over a \$1 billion in damages.

In 2009, NCTCOG and the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) created a Mapping Needs Assessment (MNA) study for the Upper Trinity River Basin, which included parts of the East Fork Trinity HUC-8 Watershed. The MNA project identified and prioritized the floodplain management needs of over 2,300 stream miles. To prioritize the floodplain management needs, NCTCOG and TWDB created a database of all the engineering flood studies in the Basin. In 2016, FEMA authorized NCTCOG to continue the work of the MNA Study by performing a Discovery and BLE Risk MAP Project effort in the East Fork Trinity HUC-8 Watershed to gather local information, readily available data to determine project viability, and create Risk MAP products to assist in the movement of communities towards resilience. The goal of the 2016 project was to work closely with communities to better understand local flood risks, mitigation efforts, and other topics in order to spark watershed-wide discussions about increasing resilience to flooding.

## Introduction

## Flood Risk

Floods are naturally occurring phenomena that can and do happen almost anywhere. In its most basic form, a flood is an accumulation of water over a normally dry area. Floods become hazardous to people and property when they inundate an area where development has occurred, causing losses. Mild flood losses may have little impact on people or property, such as damage to landscaping or the accumulation of unwanted debris. Severe flood losses can destroy buildings and crops and cause severe injuries or death.

#### **Calculating Flood Risk**

It is not enough to simply identify where flooding may occur. Even if people know where a flood might occur, they may not know the level of flood risk in that area. The most common method for determining flood risk, also referred to as vulnerability, is to identify both the probability and the consequences of flooding:

Flood Risk (or Vulnerability) = Probability x Consequences; where

Probability = the likelihood of occurrence

**Consequences** = the **estimated** impacts associated with the occurrence on life, property, and infrastructure

The probability of a flood is the likelihood that it will occur. The probability of flooding can change based on physical, environmental, and/or engineering factors. These factors will also have an effect on the area that is impacted by the flood, increasing or decreasing the size of the affected area. The ability to assess the probability of a flood, and the level of accuracy for that assessment, are also influenced by modeling methodology advancements, better knowledge, and longer periods of record for the water body in question.

The consequences of a flood are the estimated effects associated with its occurrence. Consequences relate to human activities within an area and how a flood affects the natural and built environment. It is important that individuals and communities have an accurate and current understanding of their risk because anyone can be vulnerable to flooding. Individuals that are located outside of the high-risk Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA) file more than 20 percent of insurance claims and receive one-third of disaster assistance for flooding. Having an awareness of risk can allow communities and their residents to address the potential consequences. Understanding risk can also allow for long-term development planning, opportunities for revitalization efforts, and modifications in how interaction occurs with the existing risk.

## Watershed Basics

#### Background

The East Fork Trinity HUC-8 Watershed is located in North Texas and covers portions of Collin, Dallas, Fannin, Grayson, Hunt, Kaufman, and Rockwall Counties. See Figure 1 for a location map of the East Fork Trinity Watershed. The watershed encompasses 54 communities covering approximately 1,303 square miles (sq. mi.).

The East Fork Trinity Watershed is in the Blackland Prairie ecoregion, which is dominated by either forests, pastures, or grasslands outside of urbanized areas. The forests normally surround rivers and streams, and contain tress of elm, pecans, oaks, mesquite, and bois d'arc. Native grasslands include species such as indiangrass, switchgrass, and big and little bluestem grass. Pasturelands often have invasive woody plants, such as lotebush and mesquite, and grasses such as buffalograss and Texas grama. Soils in the Blackland prairie are dark alkaline soils in the western portions or gray clays and loams, both of which drain water quickly.

The East Fork Trinity Watershed has approximately 273 dams which are primarily used for water supply. These dams provide other benefits such as irrigation for agriculture, recreation, and flood control. The dams are owned either by the local government or local government agency. Others are privately owned dams. Sixty-three percent (63%) of these dams are classified as low hazard dams. The largest dam is the Lavon Lake Dam, which was completed in 1952 and is used mainly for water supply, recreation, and flood control. Duck Creek Waste Water Treatment Plant Levee is the only certified levee in the East Fork Trinity HUC-8 Watershed, and it was certified in 2013. Other small private levees may exist.

Intense, localized thunderstorms and frontal-type storms in spring and summer cause most of the flooding issues in East Fork Trinity Watershed. Flash flooding occurs throughout the watershed, with the clay subsoils often eroding during large rain events. The unincorporated areas of Rockwall County within the East Fork Trinity HUC-8 Watershed have minimal flooding issues due to several connected Soil Conservation Service (SCS) detention dams in the area. In both Fannin and Grayson Counties, though the places most susceptible for flood damage are not located within the East Fork Trinity Watershed, flooding does occur throughout all communities.

The most significant recorded historical flood events are located outside of the watershed in Kaufman County (areas adjacent to Buffalo Creek), and these areas are likely to become more vulnerable. Buffalo Creek is located south of Lake Ray Hubbard and will likely see increased runoff due to the increased urbanization of Rockwall County along the lake. Likewise, in Rockwall County, increased runoff from storm events due to increased impervious surfaces can change the areas most susceptible to flooding. Thompson Branch is the only recorded Rockwall County Stream with recorded flooding problems in the East Fork Trinity Watershed.

In Hunt County, none of the major flooding issues have occurred within the East Fork Trinity Watershed. Though there are dams on streams within the watershed, none of them are flood control dams. Collin County experiences most of its flooding issues within the East Fork Trinity Watershed. Widespread flooding occurs on Sister Grove Creek, the East Fork Trinity River, and Rowlett Creek, with an average of four and a half years between successive flood events. Dallas County contains several streams with large exposure to development structure and population during major flood events, but most of these streams are outside of the East Fork Trinity Watershed. Lake Ray Hubbard, located partially within Dallas County, serves as a water source but not a flood control for the county. Muddy Creek and its tributaries contain five Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) flood retarding structures, and both South Mesquite Creek and Long Branch are channelized. Currently, a 2017 FEMA Regional Task Order (RTO) detail study is underway along the Trinity River and the East Fork Trinity River below Lake Ray Hubbard. This study may result in regulatory FIRM updates for the study region. For more information, please refer to the FEMA case number 18-06-0014S.

Figure 1 provides an overview of the East Fork Trinity Watershed and its geographic location within the state.



Figure 1: Overview map for the East Fork Trinity Watershed

#### Population

A review of land cover changes and population growth patterns in the watershed revealed that significant development occurred from 2010 to 2016 in many cities of Collin County. Within Collin County, the Towns of Celina, Lavon, New Hope, and Prosper and the Cities of Blue Ridge, McKinney, Murphy, Weston, and Wylie all increased in population between 20 - 61 percent. The City of Heath also increased in over 20 percent, and the Counties of Grayson and Kaufman increased by about 45 percent.

Since 2016, most communities within the East Fork Trinity Watershed have experienced population growth. However, six communities (Cities of Fate, Leonard, Mobile City, Melissa, the Town of Sunnyvale,

and the unincorporated areas of Fannin and Collin Counties) have declined in population since 2010, with Fannin County serving 59 percent fewer people.

Excluding the combined areas of previously developed land and open water, roughly 900 sq. mi. of the watershed still has the potential for new construction. Using the average annual growth rate for the cities and unincorporated county areas in the project area, the total population within the watershed has the potential to substantially rise by 2022. Therefore, the probability is high that populated areas will expand and rural land will be developed.

To help mitigate the flood risk to areas where increased population and development are expected, communities can adopt (or exceed) the minimum standards of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). This is recommended as a proactive strategy to manage construction within the floodplain and avoid negative impacts to existing and future development.

#### Watershed Land Use

East Fork Trinity Watershed is urban on the eastern half of the watershed, with several cities of populations between under 100 to over 1,200,000. On the western and northern portions of the watershed, the land is mainly rural with land uses including agriculture, livestock farming, and hunting tourism. Collin, Dallas, Kaufman, and Rockwall Counties provides water sports and tourism on Lake Ray Hubbard and Lavon Lake. Oil and gas exploration sites are plentiful throughout the watershed with the largest concentration in Navarro County. Light manufacturing is a prominent industry in Kaufman County. Although most of the watershed is undeveloped at present time, it will likely have steady growth due to lower housing costs in the Dallas-Fort Worth (DFW) metroplex compared to other areas in the nation. The communities in Collin and Dallas counties are commercial and industrial centers, with many residents of the rural areas of the watershed commuting in for employment.

Risk MAP Project	Total Population in Study Area	Average % Population Growth/Yr (2010-2040)	Predicted Population (by 2040)	Land Area	Developed Area	Open Water
East Fork Trinity HUC-8 Watershed (HUC8 12030106)	1,273,869	64.3%	2,092,479	1,303 <sup>*</sup> sq. mi.	376 sq. mi.	73 sq. mi.

#### Table 1: Population and Area Characteristics <sup>1</sup>

\*Total Land Area includes land and water.

#### National Flood Insurance Program Status and Regulation

To be a participant in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), all interested communities must adopt and submit floodplain management ordinances that meet or exceed the minimum NFIP regulations. These regulations can be found in the Code of Federal Regulations and most of the community ordinance requirements are in Parts 59 and 60. The level of regulation depends on the level of information available and the flood hazards in the area. The levels are as follows:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Data obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau; ESRI Demographic 5-year Projections; and National Land Cover Database

- A: The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) has not provided any maps or data 60.3(a)
- B: Community has maps with approximate A zones 60.3(b)
- C: Community has a Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) with Base Flood Elevations (BFE) 60.3(c)
- D: Community has a FIRM with BFEs and floodways 60.3(d)
- E: Community has a FIRM that shows coastal high hazard areas (V zones) 60.3(e)

To help mitigate the risk to areas where increased population and development are expected, communities can adopt (or exceed) the minimum standards of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). This is recommended as a proactive strategy to manage construction within the floodplain and avoid negative impacts to existing and future development.

To increase mitigation efforts and community flood awareness through potentially discounted premium rates, an NFIP community that has adopted more stringent ordinances or is actively completing mitigation and outreach activities is encouraged to consider joining the Community Rating System (CRS). The CRS is a voluntary incentive-based program that recognizes and encourages community floodplain management activities that exceed the minimum NFIP requirements. Flood insurance premium rates are discounted to reflect the reduced flood risk resulting from the community actions.

All communities within the project area, except for the Cities of Combine, Dorchester, Lucas, Mobile City, Nevada, and Tom Bean have a level of regulation suitable for managing floodplains with mapped regulatory floodways and Base (1-percent-annual-chance) Flood Elevations (44 CFR 60.3(d)). The Cities of Lucas, Nevada, Dorchester, Tom Bean, Combine, and Mobile City do not participate in the NFIP and, therefore, do not have any regulation for managing floodplains with mapped regulatory floodways and Base (1-percent-annual floodplains with mapped regulatory floodways and Base (1-percent-annual floodplains with mapped regulatory floodways and Base (1-percent-annual floodplains with mapped regulatory floodways and Base (1-percent-annual-chane) Flood Elevations (44 CFR 60.3(d)).

Communities can review their current ordinances and reflect potential flood hazard changes by adopting updated ordinances early. This action can reduce future flood losses by affecting how substantial improvements or new construction are regulated.

#### Hazard Mitigation Plan

State and local governments must develop and adopt Hazard Mitigation Plans (HMP) to be eligible for certain types of funding. To remain eligible, communities need to update and resubmit their plans every five years for FEMA approval. Hazard mitigation plans are created to increase education and awareness, identify strategies for risk reduction, and identify other ways to develop long-term strategies to reduce risk and protect people and property.

As of July 2019, the Cities of Nevada and Weston do not have hazard mitigation plans. Grayson County and its municipalities; Cities of Dorchester, Gunter, Plano and Van Alstyne, and Towns of Howe, Talty and Tom Bean have expired hazard mitigation plans. The unincorporated areas of Rockwall County and the Cities of Fate, Heath, McLendon-Chisholm, Mobile City, and Rockwall participate in the Rockwall County Hazard Mitigation Plan, which is set to expire in 2022. Kaufman County and the Cities of Combine, Crandall, and Forney participate in the Kaufman County Hazard Mitigation Plan. The Cities of Leonard and Trenton participate in the Fannin County Hazard Mitigation Plan. The Cities of Garland, McKinney, and Mesquite each have a hazard mitigation plan separate from their respective counties' plans. The Dallas County Hazard Mitigation Plan includes the Cities of Balch Springs, Richardson, Rowlett, Sachse and Seagoville, and the Town of Sunnyvale. The Collin County Hazard Mitigation Plan is also adopted by the Cities of Allen, Anna, Blue Ridge, Celina, Farmersville, Frisco, Lavon, Lowry Crossing, Lucas, Melissa, Murphy, Parker, Princeton, and Wylie, and the Towns of Fairview, New Hope, Prosper, and Saint Paul.

Hazard Mitigation Plans effectively allow for FEMA to assess hazards identified through local, state, and federal partnerships and mitigation action items that communities have identified. These HMPs were used in the compilation and preparation of this report.

#### Community Rating System

The Community Rating System (CRS) is a voluntary incentive-based program that recognizes and encourages community floodplain management activities that communities undertake in addition to the minimum requirements they must meet when joining the NFIP. Individuals that carry flood insurance in a community that participates in the CRS program can receive a discount on their flood insurance premium. Discounts can range from 5 to 45 percent. The Cities of Dallas, Garland, Plano, and Richardson participate in CRS. Table 2 depicts NFIP and CRS participation status and provides an overview of the effective flood data availability.

Risk MAP Project	Participating NFIP Communities/ Total Communities	Number of CRS Communities	CRS Rating Class Range	Average Years since FIRM Update	Level of Regulations (44 CFR 60.3)
East Fork Trinity HUC-8 Watershed (HUC8 12030106)	48/54	4	5 - 8	7	44 CFR 60.3(d)

#### Table 2: NFIP and CRS Participation<sup>2</sup>

### Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs)

The average age of the effective FIRMs within the East Fork Trinity HUC-8 Watershed is seven years. The oldest effective maps are for Rockwall County, which are 11 years old and have an effective date of September 26, 2008. The newest FIRMs are dated June 7, 2018 and are for Collin County within the study watershed. Though Dallas County has FIRMs effective March 2019, these FIRMs fall outside of the East Fork Trinity HUC-8 Watershed. As of 2019, all communities in the watershed have modernized digital county-wide Effective DFIRMs.

#### Dams

The East Fork Trinity Watershed has abundant water resources. Several dams along the numerous streams in the watershed are used to maintain water storage and to control or divert flow. As recorded by the USACE in the National Inventory of Dams and the FEMA DFIRM databases, there are approximately 273 dams within the watershed, with 101 of these dams classified as high-hazard dams. For these high-hazard dams, the owners and operators are required to develop and maintain Emergency Action Plans (EAP) to reduce the risk of loss of life and property if the dam fails.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Data obtained from FEMA Community Information Systems.



Figure 2: Dam Location Map for East Fork Trinity Watershed

Table 3 provides the characteristics of the dams identified in the project area. Lavon Lake Dam is the largest dam in the watershed, storing 1,020,500 acre-ft of water.

Table 3: Risk I	MAP Project Dam	Characteristics <sup>3</sup>
-----------------	-----------------	------------------------------

Risk MAP Project	Total Number of Identified Dams	Number of Dams Requiring EAP	Percentage of Dams without EAP	Average Years since Inspection	Average Storage (acre-feet)
East Fork Trinity HUC-8 Watershed (HUC8 12030106)	273	101	63%	9	15,104

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Data obtained from USACE National Inventory of Dams

## **Project Phases and Map Maintenance**

## Background

FEMA manages several risk analysis programs, including Flood Hazard Mapping, National Dam Safety, the Earthquake Safety Program, Multi-Hazard Mitigation Planning, and the Risk Assessment Program, all of which assess the impact of natural hazards and lead to effective strategies for reducing risk. These programs support the Department of Homeland Security's objective to "strengthen nationwide preparedness and mitigation against natural disasters."

FEMA manages the NFIP, which is the cornerstone of the national strategy for preparing American communities for flood hazards. In the nation's comprehensive emergency management framework, the analysis and awareness of natural hazard risk remains challenging. A consistent risk-based assessment approach and a robust communication system are critical tools to ensure a community's ability to make

informed risk management decisions and take mitigation actions. Flood hazard mapping is a basic and vital component for a prepared and resilient nation.

In Fiscal Year 2009, FEMA's Risk MAP program began to synergize the efforts of Federal, state, and local partners to create timely, viable, and credible information identifying natural hazard risks. The intent of the Risk MAP program is to share resources to identify Flood-related damage between 1980 and 2013 totaled \$260 billion, but the total impact to our Nation was far greater—more people lose their lives annually from flooding than any other natural hazard.

FEMA, "Federal Flood Risk Management Standard (FFRMS)" (2015)

the natural hazard risks a community faces and ascertain possible approaches to minimizing them. Risk MAP aims to provide technically sound flood hazard information to be used in the following ways:

- To update the regulatory flood hazard inventory depicted on FIRMs and the National Flood Hazard Layer
- To provide broad releases of data to expand the identification of flood risk (flood depth grids, water-surface elevation grids, etc.)
- To support sound local floodplain management decisions
- To identify opportunities to mitigate long-term risk across the nation's watersheds

## How are FEMA's Flood Hazard Maps Maintained?

FEMA's flood hazard inventory is updated through several types of revisions.

**Community-submitted Letters of Map Change.** First and foremost, FEMA relies heavily on the local communities that participate in the NFIP to carry out the program's minimum requirements. These requirements include the obligation for communities to notify FEMA of changing flood hazard information and to submit the technical supporting data needed to update the FIRMs.

Although revisions may be requested at any time to change information on a FIRM, FEMA generally will not revise an effective map unless the changes involve modifications to SFHAs. Be aware that the best floodplain management practices and proper assessments of risk result when the flood hazard maps present information that accurately reflects current conditions.

Under the current minimum NFIP regulations, a participating community commits to notifying FEMA if changes take place that will affect an effective FIRM no later than 6 months after project completion.

Section 65.3, Code of Federal Regulations

Letters of Map Amendment (LOMAs). The scale of an effective FIRM does not always provide the information required for a site-specific analysis of a property's flood risk. FEMA's LOMA process provides homeowners with an official determination on the relation of their lot or structure to the SFHA. Requesting a LOMA may require a homeowner to work with a surveyor or engineering professional to collect site-specific information related to the structure's elevation; it may also require the determination of a site-specific BFE. Fees are associated with collecting the survey data and developing a site-specific BFE. Local surveying and engineering professionals usually provide an Elevation Certificate to the homeowner, who can use it to request a LOMA. A successful LOMA may remove the Federal mandatory purchase requirement for flood insurance, but lending companies may still require flood insurance if they believe the structure is at risk.

**FEMA-Initiated Flood Risk Project.** Each year, FEMA initiates a number of Flood Risk Projects to create or revise flood hazard maps. Because of funding constraints, FEMA can study or restudy only a limited number of communities, counties, or watersheds each year. As a result, FEMA prioritizes study needs based on a cost-benefit approach whereby the highest priority is given to studies of areas where development has increased and the existing flood hazard data has been superseded by information based on newer technology or changes to the flooding extent. FEMA understands communities require products that reflect current flood hazard conditions to best communicate risk and implement effective floodplain management.

Flood Risk Projects may be delivered by FEMA or one of its Cooperating Technical Partners (CTPs). The CTP initiative is an innovative program created to foster partnerships between FEMA and participating NFIP communities, as well as regional and state agencies. Qualified partners collaborate in maintaining up-to-date flood maps. In FEMA Region 6, which includes the State of Texas, CTPs are generally statewide agencies that house the State Floodplain Administrator. However, some Region 6 CTPs are also large River Authorities or Flood Control Districts. They provide enhanced coordination with local, state, and Federal entities, engage community officials and technical staff, and provide updated technical information that informs the national flood hazard inventory.

Risk MAP has modified FEMA's project investment strategy from a single investment by fiscal year to a multi-year phased investment, which allows the FEMA to be more flexible and responsive to the findings of the project as it moves through the project lifecycle. Flood Risk Projects are funded and completed in phases.

## General Flood Risk Project Phases

Each phase of the Flood Risk Project provides both FEMA and its partner communities with an opportunity to discuss the data that has been collected and to determine a path forward. Local engagement throughout each phase enhances the opportunities for partnership, furthers the discussion on current and future risk, and helps identify local projects and activities to reduce long-term natural hazard risk.

Flood Risk Projects may be funded for one or more of the following phases:

- Phase Zero Investment
- Phase One Discovery
- Phase Two Risk Identification and Assessment
- Phase Three Regulatory Product Update

Local input is critical throughout each phase of a Flood Risk Project. More details about the tasks and objectives of each phase are included below.

### Phase Zero: Investment

Phase Zero of a Flood Risk Project initiates FEMA's review and assessment of the inventories of flood hazards and other natural hazards within a watershed area. During the Investment Phase, FEMA reviews the availability of information to assess the current floodplain inventory. FEMA maintains several data systems to perform watershed assessments and selects watersheds for a deeper review of available data and potential investment tasks based on the following factors:

**Availability of High-Quality Ground Elevation Data.** FEMA reviews readily available and recently acquired ground elevation data. This information helps identify development and earth-moving activities near streams and rivers. Where necessary, FEMA may partner with local, state, and other federal entities to collect necessary ground elevation information within a watershed.



If <u>high-quality ground elevation data</u> is both available for a watershed area and compliant with FEMA's quality requirements, FEMA and its mapping partners may prepare engineering data to assess, revise, replace, or add to the current flood hazard inventory.

**Mile Validation Status within Coordinated Needs Management Strategy (CNMS).** FEMA uses the CNMS database to track the validity of the flood hazard information prepared for the NFIP. The CNMS database reviews 17 criteria to determine whether the flood hazard information shown on the current FIRM is still valid.



Communities may also inform and request a review or update of the inventory through the CNMS website at <u>https://msc.fema.gov/cnms/</u>. The <u>CNMS Tool Tutorial</u> provides an overview of the online tool and explains how to submit requests.

Local Hazard Mitigation Plans. Reviewing current and historic hazard mitigation plans provides an understanding of a community's comprehension of its flood risk and other natural hazard risks. The

mitigation strategies within a local hazard mitigation plan provide a lens to local opportunities and underscore a potential for local adoption of higher standards related to development or other actions to reduce long-term risk.

**Cooperating Technical Partner State Business Plans.** In some states, a CTP generates an annual state business plan that identifies future Flood Risk Project areas that are of interest to the state. The Texas Water Development Board and the Texas Natural Resources Information System work to develop user-friendly data. In this project area, FEMA has worked closely with both entities to develop the project scope and determine the necessary project tasks.



Communities that have identified local issues are encouraged to indicate their data needs and revision requests to the State CTP so that they can be prioritized and included in the State Business Plans.

**Possible Investment Tasks.** After a review of the data available within a watershed, FEMA may choose to (1) purchase ground elevation data and/or (2) create some initial engineering modeling against which to compare the current inventory, also known as BLE modeling.

### Phase One: Discovery

Phase One, the Discovery Phase, provides opportunities both internally (between the state and FEMA) and externally (with communities and other partners interested in flood potential) to discuss local issues with flooding and examine possibilities for mitigation action. This effort is made to determine where communities currently are with their examination of natural hazard risk throughout their community and to identify how state and Federal support can assist communities in achieving their goals.

The Discovery process includes an opportunity for local communities to provide information about their concerns related to natural hazard risks. Communities may continue to inform the project identification effort by providing previously prepared survey data, as-built stream crossing information, and engineering information.

For a holistic community approach to risk identification and mapping, FEMA relies heavily on the information and data provided at the local level. Flood Risk Projects are focused on identifying (1) areas where the current flood hazard inventory does not provide adequate detail to support local floodplain management activities, (2) areas of mitigation interest that may require more detailed engineering information than is currently available, and (3) community intent to reduce the risk throughout the watershed to assist FEMA's future investment in these project areas. Watersheds are selected for Discovery based on these evaluations of flood risk, data needs, availability of elevation data, Regional knowledge of technical issues, identification of a community-supported mitigation project, and input from Federal, state, and local partners.

**Possible Discovery Tasks.** Discovery may include a mix of interactive webinar sessions, conference calls, informational tutorials, and in-person meetings to reach out to and engage with communities for input. Data collection, interviews, and interaction with community staff and data-mining activities provide the basis for watershed-, community-, and stream-level reviews to determine potential projects that may benefit the communities. A range of analysis approaches are available to determine the extent of flood risk along streams of concern. FEMA and its mapping partners will work closely with communities to determine the appropriate analysis approach, based on the data needs throughout the community.

These potential projects may include local training sessions, data development activities, outreach support to local communities wanting to step up their efforts, or the development of flood risk datasets within areas of concern to allow a more in-depth discussion of risk.

## Phase Two: Risk Identification and Assessment

Phase Two (Risk Identification and Assessment) continues the risk awareness discussion with communities through watershed analysis and assessment. Analyses are prepared to review the effects of physical and meteorological changes within the project watershed. The new or updated analysis provides an opportunity to identify how development has affected the amount of stormwater generated during a range of storm probabilities and shows how effectively stormwater is transported through communities in the watershed.



Coordination with a community's technical staff during engineering and model development allows FEMA and its mapping partners to include local knowledge, based on actual on-theground experience, when selecting modeling parameters.

The information prepared and released during Phase Two is intended to promote better local understanding of the existing flood risk by allowing community officials to review the variability of the risk throughout their community. As FEMA strives to support community-identified mitigation actions, it also looks to increase the effectiveness of community floodplain management and planning practices, including local hazard mitigation planning, participation in the NFIP, use of actions identified in the CRS Manual, risk reduction strategies for repetitive loss and severe repetitive loss properties, and the adoption of stricter standards and building codes.

FEMA is eager to work closely with communities and technical staff to determine the current flood risk in the watershed. During the Risk Identification and Assessment phase, FEMA would like to be alerted to any community concerns related to the floodplain mapping and analysis

approaches being taken. During this phase, FEMA can engage with communities and review the analysis and results in depth.

**Possible Risk Identification and Assessment Tasks.** Phase Two may include a mixture of interactive webinars, conference calls, informational tutorials, and in-person meetings to reach out to and engage with communities for input. Flood Risk Project tasks may include hydrologic or hydraulic engineering analysis and modeling, floodplain mapping, risk assessments using Hazus-Multi Hazard software, and preparation of flood risk datasets (water-surface elevation, flood depth, or other analysis grids). Additionally, projects may include local training sessions, data development activities, outreach support to local communities that want to step up their efforts, or the development of flood risk datasets within areas of concern to allow a more in-depth discussion of risk.

## Phase Three: Regulatory Products Update

If the analysis prepared in the previous Flood Risk Project phases indicates that physical or meteorological changes in the watershed have significantly changed the flood risk since the last FIRM was printed, FEMA will initiate the update of the regulatory products that communities use for local floodplain management and NFIP activities.

Delivery of the preliminary FIRM and Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report begins another period of coordination between community officials and FEMA to discuss the required statutory and regulatory

steps both parties will perform before the preliminary FIRM and FIS report can become effective. As in the previous phases, FEMA and its mapping partners will engage with communities through a variety of conference calls, webinars, and in-person meetings.

Once the preliminary FIRMs are prepared and released to communities, FEMA will initiate the statutory portions of the regulatory product update. FEMA will coordinate a Consultation Coordination Officer meeting and initiate a 90-day comment and appeal period. During this appeal period, local developers and residents may coordinate the submittal of their comments and appeals through their community officials to FEMA for review and consideration.

FEMA welcomes this information because additional proven scientific and technical information increases the accuracy of the mapping products and better reflects the community's flood hazards identified on the FIRMs.

Communities may host or hold Open House meetings for the public. The Open House layout allows attendees to move at their own pace through several stations, collecting information in their own time. This format allows residents to receive one-on-one assistance and ask questions pertinent to their situations or their interests in risk or flood insurance information.

All appeals and comments received during the statutory 90-day appeal period, including the community's written opinion, will be reviewed by FEMA to determine the validity of the appeal. Once FEMA issues the appeal resolution, the associated community and all appellants will receive an appeal resolution letter and FEMA will revise the preliminary FIRM, if warranted. A 30-day period is provided for review and comment on successful appeals. Once all appeals and comments are resolved, the flood map is ready to be finalized.

After the appeal period, FEMA will send community leaders a Letter of Final Determination stating that the preliminary FIRM will become effective in 6 months. The letter also discusses the actions each affected community participating in the NFIP must take to remain in good the NFIP.

standing in the NFIP.

After the preceding steps are complete and the 6-month compliance period ends, the FIRMs are considered effective maps and new building and flood insurance requirements become effective.

That is a brief general overview of a Flood Risk Project. The Flood Risk Report, which is described in the next section, will provide details on the efforts in the East Fork Trinity Watershed.

## Phase Zero: Investment – FY16 East Fork Trinity Watershed Risk MAP Project

The East Fork Trinity Watershed represents one of the dominant flooding sources in North Texas and lies in the "flash-flood alley" of Texas. Figure 3 shows the number of flash floods per county in Texas. The watershed impacts over 50 communities which includes approximately 1.2 million people. The subject communities cover more than 1,300 sq. mi. with over 250 sq. mi. of mapped floodplain. Figure 3 shows an overview of flash flood risk in the East Fork Trinity Watershed. Much of the floodplain in the East Fork Trinity Watershed is in the unincorporated areas of Collin County, followed by the City of Dallas, and the unincorporated areas of Kaufman County. See Appendix III for figures showing floodplain mapping in the East Fork Trinity Watershed.



Figure 3: Flash Flood Incidents

All streams in the watershed are either direct or indirect tributaries to the East Fork Trinity River. These streams drain 36 HUC-12 watersheds comprising 1,300 sq. mi. of land. Flooding is highly dependent on rainfall and often follows tropical thunderstorm events hitting the watershed.

Throughout the watershed, annual rainfall totals exceed the Texas average annual precipitation rate of 34 inches. There is an increase in rainfall from the southwestern counties to the northeastern counties, with an average rainfall of 37.6 inches in Dallas County to 46.1 inches in Fannin County. Both the main stem of East Fork Trinity River and its many tributaries have several dams along their lengths, including

the Rockwall-Forney Dam on Lake Ray Hubbard, located in the counties of Collin, Dallas, Kaufman, and Rockwall.

All FEMA Risk MAP Project life cycles begins with Phase Zero (Investment/Discovery), and the 2019 East Fork Trinity Watershed project paves the way for the local communities to move towards resilience. FEMA selected and prioritized the watershed for BLE Investment and Discovery for the watershed with the overall goal of assisting the local governments in identifying flood risks and strengthening their ability to make informed decisions about reducing these risks. Figure 4 shows communities within the East Fork Trinity Watershed.



Figure 4: Overview of communities located within the East Fork Trinity Watershed.

## Watershed Selection Factors

Many factors and criteria are reviewed for watershed selection: flood risk, the age of the current flood hazard data, population growth trends and potential for growth, recent flood claims, and disaster declaration history. The availability of local data and high-quality ground elevation data is reviewed for use in preparing flood hazard data. The CNMS database is reviewed to identify large areas of unknown or unverified data for streams. FEMA consults the State of Texas CTP, the State NFIP Coordinator, and the State Hazard Mitigation Officer when watersheds are identified for study.

**Flood Risk.** People who live along the East Fork Trinity River and its tributaries are not strangers to flood events, and numerous flooding events are listed in the historical record. Buffalo Creek in Rockwall County puts several homes in danger of flooding, and due to the increased urbanization the area's potential for flood damage may rise. Once in the past ten years, counties in the East Fork Trinity Watershed were

declared major federal disaster areas due to damaging floods. This major disaster occurred in 2015 over Memorial Day weekend in Dallas, Kaufman, Grayson, and Fannin Counties.

As recently as October 2018, the City of Forney in Kaufman County experienced a flooding event which overtopped highways in multiple locations. Road closures are common in Collin County, where streams such as Elm Creek Tributary 4 and Cottonwood Creek No. 1 spill onto roadways. Though Grayson County has historical flooding issues, many of the modern flooding risks occur outside of the East Fork Trinity Watershed to the northwest.

Many additional flood related damages have been recorded in the various communities in the watershed. These flood events cause extensive damage to local infrastructure and illustrate the ongoing threat in the East Fork Trinity Watershed. Increased development, especially in the northern Dallas suburbs and east of Lake Ray Hubbard, will result in increased runoff and require improved drainage system and mitigation activities.

**Growth Potential.** Fannin, Grayson, Hunt, and Kaufman Counties are mostly rural, while Dallas and Rockwall Counties are urban within the East Fork Trinity Watershed. Collin County is mostly urban in the western half of the county, and rural in the eastern half. Most of the urbanization from 2010 through 2016 occurred in Rockwall County and western Collin County, and these areas increased in both impervious surfaces and population density. The Cities of Anna, Celina, Fate, Frisco, and McKinney and the Town of Prosper had some of the highest population growth during the last decade. Over the next twenty years, the Counties of Collin, Kaufman, Rockwall, and the Cities of Forney, Frisco, McKinney, Parker, Rowlett, Seagoville, and Wylie will experience the largest growth in population.

**Age of Current Flood Information.** All counties in the East Fork Trinity Watershed have been updated to countywide DFIRMs and FIS reports as part of FEMA's Map Modernization (Map Mod) program that began in 2004. Some studies in the Cities of Garland, Mesquite, and Rowlett went effective as recently as 2014. However, many of the hydrology and hydraulic models supporting the mapping currently shown in the FIRMs in these counties in the watershed have not been updated since the late 1970s or 1980s. Over half of the mapping shown on these FIRMs are also Zone A floodplains with no readily available Base Flood Elevations (BFEs).

The combination of related severe floods, outdated flood information, and increasing development indicate that this watershed needs updated flood hazard information to support floodplain management activities, especially outside of the inner ring of cities in the DFW region.

**Availability of High-Quality Ground Elevation Data.** FEMA's data availability review indicated that highquality ground elevation data was available for most of the basin. This data provides a great basis for preparing hydrologic and hydraulic modeling and helps identify development and earth-moving activities near the streams and creeks. The source and date of the Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) topographic data as of July 2018 in the East Fork Trinity Watershed coverage is shown in Figure 5. The available LiDAR data was collected by TWDB and NCTCOG between 2009 and 2017.

The TWDB also collected elevation data for Lavon Lake and Lake Ray Hubbard in 2018. The basin data was collected between 2009 and 2011. The United States Geological Survey 10ft contours data was used in areas of Fannin county where no LiDAR is available. Figure 5 below shows the elevation sources and their extents within the East Fork Trinity Watershed.



Figure 5: Availability of LiDAR data.

**Coordinated Needs Management Strategy Database Review.** The CNMS database indicates the validity of FEMA's flood hazard inventory. CNMS reviews 17 criteria to determine whether flood hazard information shown on the current FIRMs is still valid. Streams that are indicated as *Unverified* or *Unknown* in the database indicate that the information used to map the floodplains currently shown on the FIRM is inaccessible or that a complete evaluation of the critical and secondary CNMS elements could not be performed.

**Unmapped Stream Coverage.** FEMA also reviewed the current stream coverage and reviewed the areas against the <u>National Hydrography Dataset (NHD)</u>. The NHD medium-resolution data inventoried by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) maps created at a 1:100,000 scale was used to review the watercourses within the East Fork Trinity HUC-8 Watershed. Population centers of 1,000 or more were reviewed for additional mileage against the high-resolution data inventoried by the USGS Quadrangle maps created at a 1:24,000 scale. The intent of this review was to identify streams and watercourses and create a complete stream network for preparing Base Level Engineering data.



Figure 6: Overview of CNMS streams.

## Base Level Engineering – East Fork Trinity Watershed (2018)

In 2018, FEMA through NCTCOG invested in BLE data development for the East Fork Trinity HUC-8 Watershed in Texas. This approach prepares multi-profile hydrologic (how much water) and hydraulic (how is water conveyed in existing drainage) data for a large stream network or river basin to generate floodplain and other flood risk information for the basin area. BLE utilizes USGS regional regression equations with gage analysis to calculate flows. A full report of Base Level Engineering for the East Fork Trinity Watershed is provided in Appendix II.

Base Level Engineering provides an opportunity for FEMA to produce and provide non-regulatory flood risk information for a large watershed area in a much shorter time. The data prepared through Base Level Engineering provides planning-level data that is prepared to meet FEMA's Standards for Floodplain Mapping. Base level Engineering is scalable and can be updated for use as regulatory and non-regulatory products. Communities could choose to adopt the Base Level engineering as approximate, model-backed mapping in locations without model-backed Zone A mapping. Detailed studies can add structures to the BLE modeling for further refinement into Limited Detail studies or Detailed studies with or without floodway.

Figure 7 shows the network of streams analyzed using the BLE approach.



Figure 7: Overview BLE streams.

**FEMA Investment (2016).** The Base Level Engineering will provide the following items for use in the East Fork Trinity Watershed:

- Hydrology modeling (regression) flow values for the 10%, 4%, 2%, 1%, 1%+ and 0.2%, and 1%frequencies
- Hydraulic (HEC-RAS) modeling for all study streams (for the same frequencies listed above)
- 10-, 1-, and 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundaries
- 1- and 0.2-percent-annual-chance Water Surface Elevation Grids
- 1- and 0.2-percent-annual-chance Flood Depth Grids
- HAZUS flood analysis for the watershed
- Point file indicating the location of culverts and inline structures that may be informed by local asbuilt information
- Flood Risk Map (See Appendix III)

The BLE approach will prepare flood hazard information for approximately 1,600 miles of stream, thus adding over 100 miles of supplementary flood hazard information for communities throughout the watershed. Once completed, the Base Level Engineering information is published on FEMA's Estimated BFE viewer (https://webapps.usgs.gov/infrm/estBFE/) to allow communities to use for planning, risk

communication, floodplain management, and permitting activities. The BLE data development for the East Fork Trinity HUC-8 Watershed was completed in September 2019.

**CNMS Validation and Assessment.** The Base Level Engineering results were compared to the current flood hazard inventory identified in the CNMS database. This assessment will allow FEMA and NCTCOG to compare this updated flood hazard information to the current effective floodplain mapping throughout the watershed. A key feature of this assessment also included the collection of Areas of Mitigation Interest layers containing suggested structure inventory for the Discovery collection efforts and flood hazard inventory assessments.

**Post-Discovery Webinar and Community Coordination.** FEMA and NCTCOG rolled out the BLE mapping and datasets to the communities in the Fall of 2019. The meeting was a one-hour webinar held on July 31, 2019. Communities were provided information and training to support the use of Base Level Engineering for planning, floodplain management, permitting, and risk communication activities. FEMA will work with communities to review, interpret and incorporate the Base Level Engineering information into their daily and future community management and planning activities.

**Follow-Up On Phase Project Decisions.** The Base Level Engineering results and the current inventory was compared to identify any areas of significant change. If the results show large areas of change (expansions and contractions of the floodplain, increases and decreases of the computed BFEs, and increases in expected flow values) FEMA will continue to coordinate with the communities to identify the streams that should be considered for FIRM updates. These updates could be Letter of Map Revisions for small project areas, or a Physical Map Revision for large areas with mapping changes.

To identify other streams for future refinement, community growth patterns and potential growth corridors should be discussed with FEMA. These areas of expected community growth and development may benefit from updated flood hazard information. Base Level Engineering can be further refined to provide detailed study information for a Flood Risk Identification Study and a FIRM update.

Areas of communities that were developed prior to 1970 (pre-FIRM areas) may include repetitive and severe repetitive loss properties. They may also be areas where re-development is likely to occur. Having updated flood hazard information before re-development and reconstruction activities take place may benefit communities by providing guidance to mitigate future risk.



FEMA will work with communities following the delivery of Base Level Engineering to identify a subset of stream studies to be updated and included on the FIRMs. Communities may wish to review these possible areas and provide feedback once the Base Level Engineering data has

been received. Local communities can also refine Base Level Engineering information and submit it through the Letter of Map Revision (LOMR) process to revise the existing flood hazard information and maintain the FIRMs throughout their community.

## Phase One – Discovery: FY16 East Fork Trinity Watershed

The 2016 NCTCOG Discovery project was about the "Discovery" of flood hazards and risks throughout the East Fork Trinity Watershed. Through the Discovery process, FEMA can determine which areas of the watershed may/will be funded for further flood risk identification and assessment in a collaborative manner while taking into consideration the information collected from local communities. Discovery initiates open lines of communication and relies on local involvement for productive discussions about flood risk. The process provides a forum for a watershed-wide effort to understand the interrelationships between upstream and downstream community flood risk throughout the watershed.

The East Fork Trinity Watershed 2016 Discovery project was completed through the following activities:

- Pre-Discovery Engagement Efforts
- Data Gathering
- Discovery Meeting
- Watershed Findings and Prioritizations

All possible efforts were made to ensure that stakeholders understood Discovery and the Risk MAP process through emails, phone calls, newsletters, and a developed website created for this Discovery project.

## Pre-Discovery Engagement Efforts

A discovery newsletter was developed and distributed to all stakeholders to gain public awareness of the East Fork Trinity Discovery process. The newsletter contained information about FEMA's Risk MAP program, the discovery process, details of the upcoming Pre-Discovery webinar, the data collection process, and the Risk MAP process beyond discovery. A copy of the newsletter is included in Appendix III.

NCTCOG held two (2) informational webinars on June 26 and June 28, 2018 for stakeholders in the watershed. A copy of the presentation is available in Appendix III. The Pre-Discovery informational webinars were held to increase awareness of the Discovery process prior to the Discovery meeting so the stakeholders would be prepared to fully participate in the Discovery process. Six stakeholders participated in the webinars. The goals of the Pre-Discovery webinars were to:

- Explain the Discovery process
- Explain why the NCTCOG was conducting Discovery in the East Fork Trinity Watershed
- Explain FEMA's Risk MAP program and benefits
- To obtain information for Discovery in the watershed

## Data Gathering

Data was collected from State and Federal organizations. These data were used to generate "backgrounder" information about each watershed community, and included various population metrics, collections of high water marks and low water crossings, and historical flooding information. Table 4 below summarizes the geospatial data collected.

The Discovery engagement process also included the development of a website for data collection. The website allowed participating stakeholders to view and update flood-related information about their community, including local flood risk, flood hazards, mitigation plans, mitigation activities, flooding

history, development plans, and floodplain management activities. It also allowed stakeholders to input mitigation concerns, mapping needs and requests on a web map.

Data Type	Data Source	Data Description
HUC Watershed Boundaries	USGS	HUC boundaries clipped to the East Fork Trinity HUC-8. Also includes HUC-10 and HUC-12.
Roadways and Railroads	TNRIS Stratmap	Transportation Lines
Jurisdictional Boundaries	TNRIS	Data includes city and county Boundaries
Current Effective Floodplain Information	FEMA DFIRMs	Data includes Floodplains, BFEs, and Cross Sections
Stream Lines	FEMA DFIRMs	Stream Centerlines from DFIRM
Locations of Letters of Map Revision (LOMRs)	FEMA	LOMRs incorporated into Effective DFIRM databases and LOMRs filed after Effective DFIRM dates for watershed counties
Coordinated Needs Management Strategy	FEMA	CNMS database dated September 30, 2019
Topography	TNRIS	List of the most current ground surface topography
HAZUS-based Average Annualized Loss Estimates	FEMA	2015 HAZUS AAL per Census Tract
Coverage of Known Risk Assessment Data	Texas Hazard Mitigation Package	Based on 2000 Census: Population Vulnerability to 1% Flood and Property Value Vulnerability to 1% Flood
Location of Dams	National Inventory of Dams	Dam locations with Emergency Action Plan (EAP) status
Stream Gauges	USGS	Stream Gauge locations
Flood Claims	NFIP	Total claims per jurisdiction
Repetitive Loss or Severe Repetitive Loss Locations	FEMA	RL/SRL locations from 1979 to 2015
Land Use	National Land Cover Database 2006 from TNRIS	Land Use data as of 2006, developed by USGS
Urban Cover	National Land Cover Database 2006 from TNRIS	Urban Cover is a field located in the Land Use
Census Tract Population Data	US Census Bureau	Census Tract Population data based on 2010 Census Data
Population Density	US Census Bureau	Population density based on 2016 American Community Survey
Congressional Areas	US Census Bureau	Congressional District Boundaries
High Water Marks	TNRIS	Historical high water marks obtained by TNRIS from USACE, FEMA Mitigation Team, USGS, and TxDOT
Low Water Crossings	TNRIS	Identified low water crossings in Texas with flooding source and road name

#### Table 4: Geospatial Data Collection

## **Discovery Meeting**

One (1) in-person Discovery Meeting was held in the watershed in an open house (come and go) format. The Discovery Meeting occurred on March 1<sup>st</sup>, from 10:00am-2:00pm at the Dallas County District 1 Urban Road and Bridge Office in Garland, Texas. Hosts of this meeting included FEMA, TWDB, NCTCOG, Dallas County, North Texas Municipal Water District (NTMWD), Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT), and Halff Associates, Inc.

The main goals of the Discovery Meeting were to gather additional flood risk data; discuss the communities' flooding history, development plans, flood mapping needs, and flood risk concerns; discuss the vision for the watershed's future, and the importance of mitigation planning and community outreach.

The Discovery Meeting was held over a four hour period. Community stakeholders were able to participate in the meeting when most convenient to them. Discovery Ambassadors assisted stakeholder attendees through various stations in an "come and go" format. The stations included:

- Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) information about available Federal and State Grant programs, Hazard Mitigation Planning, Emergency Action plans, as well as implementation of projects
- *North Texas Municipal Water District (NTMWD)* discussion of current NTMWD projects in the region
- United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) discussion of current USACE projects in the region
- *NCTCOG Programs* information on NCTCOG programs available to stakeholders as well as answering NCTCOG questions from attendees
- *Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT)* information of current TxDOT projects in the region
- *Laptops* stakeholders were able to review, edit, or add information entered on the Discovery website.
- *Discovery Maps* data collection process to capture information on identifying flood risk locations and problems, areas of growth or planned development, answering floodplain questions, and identifying map need locations.

The 2016 East Fork Trinity Discovery project gathered 326 comments, including 50 new mapping requests.

## Watershed Findings and Prioritizations

#### Watershed Findings

Following the Discovery meeting, the gathered community comments were placed into categories by comment type and by HUC-12s sub watersheds, as shown in Table 5.

South Mesquite Creek had the highest number of comments with 69 comments submitted by the City of Mesquite. While many of these comments are roads or buildings in the 100-year floodplain that overtop or get flooded during storm events, several of the areas of flooding risk submitted are outside the mapped extents of the regulatory floodplain, such as along Stream 2B7, Stream 2B8, Stream 2B5, Stream 2B3, and an unnamed stream. There were 17 out of 36 HUC-12s which did not receive any comments, and these were mostly in northeastern and southern parts of the watershed. Of the 326 new mapping requests, some are located across multiple watersheds and are listed in each applicable HUC-12 in Table 5.

	Mapping Need Type							
HUC-12 Watershed	Flooding Risk	Mapping Concerns	Mapping Needs	Mitigation Actions- Identified	Mitigation Actions- Completed	Regulations	Total Number of Comments	
Anthony Branch- Buffalo Creek			No comm	ents receive	ed			
Arnold Creek			No comm	ents receive	ed			
Bear Creek-Indian Creek			No comm	ents receive	ed			
Brown Branch Rowlett Creek	3	25	6	4	2	0	40	
Camp Creek-Lake Ray Hubbard	11	3	1	1	0	0	16	
Clemons Creek-East Fork Trinity River	11	0	1	8	1	0	21	
Cottonwood Creek- East Fork Trinity River	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	
Desert Creek-Pilot Grove Creek			No	comments	received			
Duck Creek	4	2	5	0	0	0	11	
Elm Creek-Lavon Lake			No	comments	received			
Headwaters Pilot Grove Creek			No	comments	received			
Headwaters Rowlett Creek	12	7	17	3	0	0	39	
Headwaters Sister Grove Creek			No	comments	received			
Honey Creek	1	0	2	2	1	0	6	
Long Branch-Buffalo Creek	6	0	0	0	0	0	6	
Lower Wilson Creek	4	1	0	4	4	0	13	
Muddy Creek-Lake Ray Hubbard	18	5	0	1	0	0	24	
Mustang Creek-East Fork Trinity River	<b>No comments received</b>							

#### Table 5: East Fork Trinity Comment Distribution by HUC-12 Watershed

Table 5: East Fork Trinity Comment Distribution by HUC-12 Watershed (Continued)

HUC-12 Watershed

Mapping Need Type

Total

	Flooding Risk	Mapping Concerns	Mapping Needs	Mitigation Actions- Identified	Mitigation Actions- Completed	Regulations	Number of Comments	
North Mesquite Creek-East Fork Trinity River	21	0	12	0	0	0	33	
Pittman Creek-Spring Creek	3	2	3	12	0	0	20	
Pot Rack Creek-Indian Creek			No	comments rec	eived			
Price Creek-Lavon Lake	2	0	1	0	0	0	3	
Rowlett Creek-East Fork Trinity River	3	2	0	0	0	0	5	
Rowlett Creek-Lake Ray Hubbard	No comments received							
Sister Grove Creek- Pilot Grove Creek			No	comments rec	eived			
South Mesquite Creek	69	0	0	0	0	0	69	
Squirrel Creek-East Fork Trinity River			No	comments rec	eived			
Stiff Creek-Sister Grove Creek			No	comments rec	eived			
Throckmorton Creek- East Fork Trinity River	1	0	3	0	1	0	5	
Ticky Creek-Lavon Lake			No	comments rec	eived			
Town of Allen- Cottonwood Creek	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	
Upper Wilson Creek	5	5	2	0	8	1	21	
West Prong Sister Grove Creek	No comments received							
White House Ridge- East Fork Trinity River	No comments received							
White Rock Creek- Levon Lake	11	0	0	5	0	0	16	
Whites Creek-East Fork Trinity River	No comments received							



Figure 8: Stakeholder Comment Examples.

Figure 8 above shows a sample of the comments submitted by communities. There were 15 comments for key emergency routes overtopping during storms. There were 100 comments about roads overtopping or streets flooding during storm events. There were several comments related directly to structures, of which nine were specifically about culverts. There were 42 comments related to erosion, whether it was merely stream erosion or also structures impacted by erosion. Communities also submitted 16 comments related to studies that are not yet included on DFIRMs, and these do not include the 56 comments related to land use change that could have potential CLOMRs or LOMRs.

Figure 9 below shows the type and distribution of stakeholder comments across the watershed. Most comments were submitted in the central western portion of the watershed and taper off towards the east. Comments tended to be submitted by communities with higher relative population in the watershed.



Figure 9: Stakeholder Comment Distribution.

Figure 10 demonstrates the differences in numbers per comment type. One hundred and seventy-nine comments were submitted for studies needed due to Flooding Risk, such as roads overtopping during storm events or areas of erosion with no mitigation plans in place. Mapping Concerns include 38 comments related to needs for updated mapping, such as inconsistencies between the floodway and the stream centerline, or older effective maps which do not match the current drainage patterns.

There are 50 Mapping Needs comments for unmapped sections in the watershed, such as places with new commercial development which need a Hydrology & Hydraulics study. Comments related to mitigation projects (needed or planned but have not yet started) are identified mitigation actions. These 16 identified mitigation actions include bridges or culverts which are damaged or plan to be constructed. Forty comments are completed mitigation actions, where mitigation projects have begun or are completed, including successful culvert improvements. The two comments on Regulations pertain to places where the regulatory information is incorrect, such as new city boundaries or incorrect FIS 100-year discharges.


Figure 10: Stakeholder Comment Totals.

#### Watershed Prioritization

The community comments were one of fourteen criteria for prioritization of the HUC-12 subwatershed according to the 2009 NCTGOG Upper Trinity River Basin MNA standard of prioritization, described in Table 6. Criteria number 14, "Stakeholder Mapping Request" were documented from stakeholder comments listed in Table 5. These needs may come from outdated stream studies, large-scale development along a stream, or alterations to a stream itself to reduce flooding risk. An in-depth description of each field in Table 6 is available in the 2009 NCTCOG Upper Trinity River Basin MNA report.

#### Table 6: Prioritization Criteria

Criteria No.	Description	Weight
1	Population density	10
2	Population change	10
3	Predicted population growth	10
4	History of flood claims	10
5	History of flood events	10
6	Number of Letters of Map Change (LOMR/LOMA)	5
7	Available current topography	10
8	Age of technical data – hydrology	5
9	Age of technical data – hydraulics	5
10	Ability to leverage current studies	5
11	Potential for local funding	5
12	Potential for local "work in kind"	3
13	Previous contribution to a FEMA study	2
14	Stakeholder mapping request	10

The criteria in Table 6 were used to calculate a priority score for each HUC-12. The HUC-12s were ranked into three risk groups (moderate, elevated, and high) based on their scores, shown in Table 7.

HUC-8 Watershed	HUC-12 Sub Watershed Group	Rank
	Camp Creek-Lake Ray Hubbard	High
	Cottonwood Creek-East Fork Trinity River	High
	Duck Creek	High
	Headwaters Rowlett Creek	High
	Honey Creek	High
	Muddy Creek-Lake Ray Hubbard	High
	Pittman Creek-Spring Creek	High
	Rowlett Creek-Lake Ray Hubbard	High
	Upper Wilson Creek	High
	Anthony Branch-Buffalo Creek	Elevated
East Fork Trinity	Arnold Creek	Elevated
	Bear Creek-Indian Creek	Elevated
	Brown Branch Rowlett Creek	Elevated
	Clemons Creek-East Fork Trinity River	Elevated
	Headwaters Sister Grove Creek	Elevated
	Lower Wilson Creek	Elevated
	Mustang Creek-East Fork Trinity River	Elevated
	North Mesquite Creek-East Fork Trinity River	Elevated
	Pot Rack Creek-Indian Creek	Elevated
	Rowlett Creek-East Fork Trinity River	Elevated
	Sister Grove Creek-Pilot Grove Creek	Elevated

Table 7: East Fork Trinity Watershed Prioritization Rankings (HUC-12 Watersheds)

HUC-8 Watershed	HUC-12 Sub Watershed Group	Rank
East Fork Trinity	Stiff Creek-Sister Grove Creek	Elevated
	Throckmorton Creek-East Fork Trinity River	Elevated
	Ticky Creek-Lavon Lake	Elevated
	Town of Allen-Cottonwood Creek	Elevated
	Whites Creek-East Fork Trinity River	Elevated
	Brown Branch Rowlett Creek	Moderate
	Desert Creek-Pilot Grove Creek	Moderate
	Elm Creek-Lavon Lake	Moderate
	Headwaters Pilot Grove Creek	Moderate
	Long Branch-Buffalo Creek	Moderate
	Price Creek-Lavon Lake	Moderate
	Squirrel Creek-East Fork Trinity River	Moderate
	West Prong Sister Grove Creek	Moderate
	White House Ridge-East Fork Trinity River	Moderate
	White Rock Creek-Levon Lake	Moderate

The prioritization rankings listed in Table 7 will be used by FEMA to determine targeted action items, potential projects, and multi-year flood risk project plans within the East Fork Trinity HUC-8 Watershed. Other figures, including Figure 15 and Figure 21 in Appendix III display the watershed-based prioritization ranking.

Pursuing studies along the entirety of requested miles would be cost prohibitive, so it was necessary for NCTCOG to reduce the list of potential stream projects. The ten (10) Study Stream Requests, listed in Table 8, are possible project highlights based on stakeholder comments and the results of the HUC-12 subwatershed prioritization.

Communities	Stream	HUC-12s	HUC 12 Rank	
City of Allen	Cottonwood Creek	Town of Allen-Cottonwood Creek	Elevated	
City of Allen, City of		Brown Branch Rowlett Creek	Elevated	
McKinney, City of	Rowlett	Headwaters Rowlett Creek	High	
Plano	Creek	Rowlett Creek-Lake Ray Hubbard	High	
FIGILO		Rowlett Creek-East Fork Trinity River	Elevated	
City of Colina	Wilson Creek	Lower Wilson Creek	Elevated	
City of Celina	WIISON CIEEK	Upper Wilson Creek	High	
City of Lavon, Collin County	Bear Creek 2	Camp Creek-Lake Ray Hubbard	High	
City of McKinney, Collin County	Stover Creek	Upper Wilson Creek	High	
City of Plano	Brown	Brown Branch Rowlett Creek	Elevated	
	Branch	Brown Branch Rowlett Creek		
City of Plano	Bowman	Brown Branch Rowlett Creek	Elevated	
	Branch	Brown Branch Rowlett Creek	Lievaleu	
City of Plano	Prairie Creek	Brown Branch Rowlett Creek	Elevated	
City of Plano	Stream 2D8	Brown Branch Rowlett Creek	Elevated	
City of Plano	Stream 2D9	Brown Branch Rowlett Creek	Elevated	

#### Table 8: Stream Study Requests

#### **Potential Study Strems**

Table 8 lists the streams with comments related to requests for updated H&H studies along streams. Rowlett Creek has future and potential LOMRs, a request to update calibrations based on stream gages, differences between FEMA flows and McKinney's fully developed flow conditions, and an area of the channel with the potential to become a new stream gage location. Due to comments spread across multiple communities for Rowlett Creek, one cohesive connectivity model would benefit the Cities of Allen, McKinney, and Plano. Bowman Branch and Brown Branch need updated connectivity models, while Streams 2D8 and 2D9 have inconsistencies between the floodway and the stream centerline. Prairie Creek has discrepancies between flows and rainfall values, requests for new hydrology and hydraulic studies. Updating Prairie Creek could include coordination between the City of Plano and the City of Richardson.

The Cottonwood Creek 100-year discharge jumps from 7,475 to 14,000 cubic feet per second (cfs) near Greenville Ave and below confluence of Stream 2G2, though the drainage area only increases by approximately 1 sq. mi. in the Collin County FIS. In this area of Cottonwood Creek, it is likely that the discharges are incorrect either upstream or downstream. The discharge per square mile appears low based on the discharges in the 2017 FIS report and should be closer to 2000 cubic feet per second per square mile, rather than the 900 cubic feet per second per square mile currently in the report.

Breach area calculations for all NRCS dams and other dams could update the models and mapping of Stover Creek in areas downstream of Highland Lake. Downstream of County Road 124, the owner of Frozen Ropes Ballfields raised concerns over Stover Creek's flows through his on-site, large diameter culverts. Wilson Creek has new hydrology and hydraulic studies submitted to put in bridges at three locations with new planned development. There are also numerous drainage issues which do not match the older countywide effective studies. Wilson Creek also includes flooding of low-lying roads in this area, while US Highway 75 is elevated above floodplain despite the effective map not showing a structure or any floodplain containment. Updating the entire reach of both Wilson Creek and Stover Creek would tie in comments from multiple communities across the Cities of Celina, Prosper, and McKinney, as well as the unincorporated areas of Collin County.

Bear Creek 2 has many new subdivisions planned, which would likely need LOMRs to update the hydrology and hydraulics for the Creek. There are also comments about a culvert restricting flow, a low water crossing at County Road 484, and two ponds which frequently flood. Comments were submitted by the City of Lavon, but would benefit both the City of Lavon and the unincorporated areas of Collin County.

The HUC-12 sub watershed prioritizations and potential projects are shown in Figure 17 in Appendix III. FEMA's Hazards U.S. Multi-Hazard (HAZUS-MH) software was used to assess the consequences of flood events in the East Fork Trinity HUC-8 Watershed.

#### **Flood Risk Assessments Results**

HAZUS is a risk assessment software program for analyzing potential losses in dollars from floods, hurricane winds, and earthquakes. The BLE flood data developed for this project was used as input data for the HAZUS-based flood risk assessment. The East Fork Trinity HUC-8 Watershed has an estimated \$216 billion worth of vulnerable assets, including residential, commercial, and other asset types. If a 100-year storm event were to occur throughout the watershed, HAZUS estimated one percent of the assets will be damaged, with losses estimated at nearly \$1.26 billion dollars to physical assets. There will also be economic losses, including lost wages, inventory losses, losses in production, and economic opportunity losses, valued at \$1.2 billion. Figures 11 and 12 below identify the consequences of flooding and value the building and content damages aggregated from a census block level.

The HAZUS-based 100-year flood loss estimates were aggregated to the watershed communities to asses risk on a community level. When accounting for area, the Cities of Garland, Mesquite, Plano, Richardson, Rockwall and Rowlett have the highest potential losses due to flooding damage, ranging from 4.1 million to 7.2 million per sq. mi. in the watershed. Five communities (Mobile City, Tom Bean, Howe, Talty, and Nevada) have less than \$5,000 in potential total losses due to flooding within the East Fork Trinity Watershed.

#### Asset Inventory Values



\*Other: structure types include Industrial, Agricultural, Education, Religious, and Government structures.





#### 100-Year Flood Event Potential Losses

\*Business Losses are the sum of Inventory Loss, Relocation Costs, Income Loss, Rental Income Loss, Wage Loss, and Direct Output Loss.

Figure 12: 100-Year Flood Event Potential Loss Totals.

As 32 communities in the East Fork Trinity HUC-8 Watershed include land in at least one other HUC-8 watershed, these HAZUS-based 100-year flood loss estimates are not indicative of their total potential loss estimates. Hence, the losses shown in this report do not necessarily represent community-wide totals.

Aggregating the HAZUS-based 100-year flood loss estimates to HUC-12 subwatersheds provides another method to prioritize new studies and hazard mitigation projects in the watershed. Figure 13 below ranks the HUC-12s by estimated flood losses. Pittman Creek-Spring Creek has the highest potential loss, with \$280 million. There are 10 HUC-12 subwatersheds with elevated risks, and 15 HUC-12 subwatersheds with moderate risks based on the 100-year flood loss estimates.



Figure 13: HAZUS-based Average Annualized Loss Estimates by HUC-12s.

#### Post-Discovery Coordination Effort

NCTCOG held one informational webinar on July 31, 2019 for stakeholders in the watershed. A copy of the presentation is available in Appendix III.

The Post-Discovery informational webinar was held to discuss the results of the Discovery process and findings, including a review of comments received, preliminary HAZUS results, and BLE data. The preliminary BLE data was made available at <a href="http://nctcogeastforkble.halff.com/">http://nctcogeastforkble.halff.com/</a> for stakeholders until the data was finalized and becomes available on FEMA Estimated BFE viewer. The FEMA Estimated BFE viewer (<a href="http://webapps.usgs.gov/infrm/estBFE/">http://nctcogeastforkble.halff.com/</a> for stakeholders until the data was finalized and becomes available on FEMA Estimated BFE viewer. The FEMA Estimated BFE viewer (<a href="https://webapps.usgs.gov/infrm/estBFE/">https://webapps.usgs.gov/infrm/estBFE/</a>), which can be used for reporting and downloading data, was presented and demonstrated to community stakeholders. The goals of the Post-Discovery webinar were to:

- Recap the FEMA's Risk MAP program's benefits and the Discovery process
- Discuss comments received by stakeholders
- Explain watershed prioritization and stream study requests
- Review HAZUS results
- Demonstrate temporary website for East Fork Trinity BLE data, and the permanent FEMA BFE viewer
- Release a draft report to the communities prior to the release of the final report.

Appendix I: Community-Specific Reports

East Fork Trinity Watershed Community Overview Table

CID	Community	Total Community Population <sup>1</sup>	Percent of Population in Study Watershed	Total Community Land Area (sq. mi)	Percent of Land Area in Study Watershed	NFIP Participant
480130	Collin County	40,084	84.66%	488.3152	84.66%	Y
480131	City of Allen	94,710	100.00%	26.38398	100.00%	Y
480132	City of Anna	10,335	100.00%	14.96745	100.00%	Y
481628	City of Blue Ridge	1,005	100.00%	1.42571	100.00%	Y
480133	City of Celina	7,236	9.15%	21.19624	9.15%	Y
480171	City of Dallas	1,278,433	11.95%	385.3506	11.95%	Y
481069	Town of Fairview	8,235	100.00%	9.435036	100.00%	Y
481627	City of Farmersville	3,416	91.99%	4.238503	91.99%	Y
480134	City of Frisco	145,646	17.05%	66.7523	17.05%	Y
485471	City of Garland	234,810	98.53%	56.78026	98.53%	Y
481313	City of Lavon	2,663	100.00%	2.304465	100.00%	Y
481631	City of Lowry Crossing	1,584	100.00%	2.610185	100.00%	Y
481545	City of Lucas	6,585	100.00%	13.73145	100.00%	Ν
480135	City of McKinney	156,821	99.63%	63.94763	99.63%	Y
481626	City of Melissa	6,844	100.00%	10.25215	100.00%	Y
480137	City of Murphy	20,015	100.00%	5.825794	100.00%	Y
481657	City of Nevada	1,032	51.66%	0.884699	51.66%	Ν
480138	Town of New Hope	645	100.00%	1.432145	100.00%	Y
480139	City of Parker	4,241	100.00%	8.01574	100.00%	Y
480140	City of Plano	279,088	60.94%	72.22432	60.94%	Y
480757	City of Princeton	8,278	100.00%	7.949454	100.00%	Y
480141	Town of Prosper	15,236	29.98%	24.99863	29.98%	Y
480184	City of Richardson	108,350	66.19%	28.64608	66.19%	Y
480186	City of Sachse	23,329	100.00%	9.916376	100.00%	Y
481318	Town of Saint Paul	1,235	100.00%	1.66198	100.00%	Y
481620	City of Van Alstyne	3,265	100.00%	4.060715	100.00%	Y
481324	City of Weston	335	100.00%	5.110581	100.00%	Y
480759	City of Wylie	45,655	100.00%	36.6029	100.00%	Y
		1				
480165	Dallas County	7,614	5.75%	71.19446	5.75%	Y
480166	City of Balch Springs	25,043	25.08%	9.028463	25.08%	Y
485490	City of Mesquite	143,771	96.48%	47.51888	96.48%	Y
480185	City of Rowlett	59,203	100.00%	20.11374	100.00%	Y
480187	City of Seagoville	15,701	59.19%	18.62512	59.19%	Y
480188	Town of Sunnyvale	5,871	100.00%	16.73825	100.00%	Y

CID	Community	Total Community Population <sup>1</sup>	Percent of Population in Study Watershed	Total Community Land Area (sq. mi)	Percent of Land Area in Study Watershed	NFIP Participant
480807	Fannin County	14,874	4.65%	876.004	4.65%	Υ
480812	City of Leonard	2,125	97.32%	1.924941	97.32%	Y
480814	City of Trenton	768	78.17%	1.739038	78.17%	Y
480829	Grayson County	36,097	16.69%	879.6591	16.69%	Y
481309	City of Dorchester	93	73.56%	1.644105	73.56%	Ν
480832	City of Gunter	1,363	40.84%	6.340374	40.84%	Y
480833	Town of Howe	2,692	28.79%	4.172276	28.79%	Y
481621	City of Tom Bean	1,009	42.79%	1.554384	42.79%	Ν
480363	Hunt County	44,965	3.57%	822.5619	3.57%	Υ
480411	Kaufman County	54,508	10.65%	725.6471	10.65%	Y
480408	City of Combine	2,008	25.53%	6.593561	25.53%	Ν
480409	City of Crandall	3,126	100.00%	3.198382	100.00%	Y
480410	City of Forney	16,842	89.51%	14.16756	89.51%	Y
480545	City of Heath	7,992	100.00%	12.42992	100.00%	Y
480388	Town of Talty	1,479	4.63%	1.811535	4.63%	Y
480543	Rockwall County	3,963	21.44%	58.98913	21.44%	Y
480544	City of Fate	9,234	21.52%	7.516458	21.52%	Y
480546	City of McLendon-Chisholm	2,005	17.05%	10.22673	17.05%	Y
480074	City of Mobile City	224	100.00%	0.014262	100.00%	Ν
480547	City of Rockwall	41,672	83.20%	29.74956	83.20%	Y
<sup>1</sup> 2010 Un	ited States Census Bureau Popu	lation Estimate		-		

<sup>1</sup>US Census (2010)

## EAST FORK WATERSHED

### **KNOW YOUR RISK**





221

Total claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood



in total severe repetitive loss

# **COLLIN COUNTY**

### **KNOW YOUR RISK**









coverage

3 claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



## **COLLIN COUNTY**

### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire December 28, 2021.

### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Join Community Rating System (CRS) program
- Further research dam safety and inundation data
- Create and implement buyout program for structures within the 100 year floodplain
- Implement public awareness program
- Increase the early warning system and distribute NOAA radios to vulnerable residents

1. https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance. 2. https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants https://www.fema.gov/community-rating-system

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove costeffectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. Information about FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup> can be found on our website, as well as on the Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup> website. Participation in FEMA's Community Rating System<sup>3</sup> (CRS) reduces insurance premiums up to 45%, and FEMA will provide free technical assistance in designing and implementing programs designed to reduce flood damage. The State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

#### 

Texas Water Development Board's<sup>4</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning, Emergency Action plans for High Hazard dams, and other planning studies. Both CWSRF and DFund are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or floodproofing insured structures, building water quality and green infrastructure.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

4. https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/

# **CITY OF ALLEN**

**KNOW YOUR RISK** 





of the community is in the watershed



94,710

Population based

on 2016 ACS in the

watershed

Stream Miles

Detailed Study in

the watershed

84.5%

A STATE AND A STATE

2.7% expected population growth from 2010-2021 in the watershed



**Flood-related** presidential disaster declarations in your county

## 100%

Of the community's flood-prone areas during a 1%-annual- chance storm event are located in East Fork watershed



Participating in the National Flood **Insurance Program** 



**CNMS Stream Miles** in the watershed

32.7







## 156

policies totaling approximately \$51,125,400 in coverage

claim for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



# **CITY OF ALLEN**

### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire December 28, 2021.

### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Further research dam safety and inundation data
- Create and implement buyout program for structures within the 100 year floodplain
- Implement public awareness program
- Increase the early warning system and distribute NOAA radios to vulnerable residents

1. https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance. 2. https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove costeffectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. Information about <u>FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup></u> can be found on our website, as well as on the Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup> website. The State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

### 

Texas Water Development Board's<sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning, Emergency Action plans for High Hazard dams, and other planning studies. Both CWSRF and DFund are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or floodproofing insured structures, building water quality and green infrastructure.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

## **CITY OF ANNA**

**KNOW YOUR RISK** 



## 100%

Of the community's flood-prone areas during a 1%-annual- chance storm event are located in East Fork watershed



Participating in the National Flood **Insurance Program** 



**CNMS Stream Miles** in the watershed

12.3



### 10,335

Population based on 2016 ACS in the watershed

### A STATE AND A STATE

Stream Miles Detailed Study in the watershed



**Flood-related** presidential disaster declarations in your





2.7% expected population growth from 2010-2021 in the watershed



county

# 

policy totaling approximately \$49,800 in coverage

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



# **CITY OF ANNA**

### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire December 28, 2021.

### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Further research dam safety and inundation data
- Create and implement buyout program for structures within the 100 year floodplain
- Implement public awareness program
- Increase the early warning system and distribute NOAA radios to vulnerable residents

1. https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance. 2. https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove costeffectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. Information about <u>FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup></u> can be found on our website, as well as on the Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup> website. The State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

#### 

Texas Water Development Board's<sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning, Emergency Action plans for High Hazard dams, and other planning studies. Both CWSRF and DFund are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or floodproofing insured structures, building water quality and green infrastructure.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

## CITY OF BLUE RIDGE

**KNOW YOUR RISK** 









1,005

Population based on 2016 ACS in the watershed

### A CARLENS

Stream Miles Detailed Study in the watershed





**Flood-related** presidential disaster declarations in your county

100%

Of the community's flood-prone areas during a 1%-annual- chance storm event are located in East Fork watershed



Participating in the National Flood **Insurance Program** 



**CNMS Stream Miles** in the watershed

1.2







watershed



# 



policies totaling approximately \$0 in coverage

### claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



## **CITY OF BLUE RIDGE**

### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire December 28, 2021.

### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Further research dam safety and inundation data
- Create and implement buyout program for structures within the 100 year floodplain
- Implement public awareness program
- Increase the early warning system and distribute NOAA radios to vulnerable residents

1. https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance. 2. https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove costeffectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. Information about <u>FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup></u> can be found on our website, as well as on the Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup> website. The State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

### 

Texas Water Development Board's<sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning, Emergency Action plans for High Hazard dams, and other planning studies. Both CWSRF and DFund are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or floodproofing insured structures, building water quality and green infrastructure.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

## **CITY OF CELINA**

### **KNOW YOUR RISK**









policies totaling approximately \$840,600 in coverage

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



# CITY OF CELINA

### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire **December 28, 2021.** 

### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Purchase mobile back-up generator
- Further research dam safety and inundation data
- Create and implement buyout program for structures within the 100 year floodplain
- Implement public awareness program
- Increase the early warning system and distribute NOAA radios to vulnerable residents

https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance.
https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants
https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/.

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects. HMGP and PDM allow for the funding of generators at critical facilities. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. Information about <u>FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup></u> can be found on our website, as well as on the <u>Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup></u> website. The State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

### nt, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water

<u>Texas Water Development Board's</u><sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning, Emergency Action plans for High Hazard dams, and other planning studies. Both CWSRF and DFund are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or flood-proofing insured structures, building water quality and green infrastructure.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

## **CITY OF DALLAS**

### **KNOW YOUR RISK**





# 

### 152,778

Population based on 2016 ACS in the watershed

### 

Stream Miles Detailed Study in the watershed





2.7% expected population growth from 2010-2021 in the watershed



**Flood-related** presidential disaster declarations in your county

## 2.6%

Of the community's flood-prone areas during a 1%-annual- chance storm event are located in East Fork watershed



Participating in the National Flood **Insurance Program** 



**CNMS Stream Miles** in the watershed

77.9







## 4,364

policies totaling approximately \$1,166,151,400 in coverage

### 81

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed

## \$2,081,283

## CITY OF DALLAS

### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is awaiting adoption.

## The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Further research dam safety and inundation data
- Improve levee system
- Land buyouts and wetland restoration
- Install early warning system
- Stream bank erosion reduction

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects. HMGP and PDM allow for the funding of structural hazards control, such as gabion walls and levees. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. PDM grants also are able to fund stream restoration projects. Information about <u>FEMA's HMA</u> <u>grants<sup>1</sup> can be found on our website, as well as on the Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and</u> <u>Publications<sup>2</sup> website</u>. The State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

<u>Texas Water Development Board's</u><sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning, Emergency Action plans for High Hazard dams, and other planning studies.

I STATE

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance.
https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants
https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/.

## **CITY OF FAIRVIEW**

**KNOW YOUR RISK** 

East Fork Trinity Study Watershed C Other Watershed Base Level Engine

Watershed



of the community is in the watershed



8,235

Population based

on 2016 ACS in the

watershed

Stream Miles

Detailed Study in

the watershed

41.0%

2.7% expected population growth from 2010-2021 in the watershed



**Flood-related** presidential disaster declarations in your county

## 100%

Of the community's flood-prone areas during a 1%-annual- chance storm event are located in East Fork watershed



Participating in the National Flood **Insurance Program** 



**CNMS Stream Miles** in the watershed

14.9











policies totaling approximately \$20,240,700 in coverage

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed

### \$48,910

## **CITY OF FAIRVIEW**

### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire December 28, 2021.

The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Further research dam safety and inundation data
- Implement public awareness program
- Install an early warning system

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove costeffectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. Information about <u>FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup></u> can be found on our website, as well as on the Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup> website. The State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

### - I AND THE FULL OF THE SECOND

Texas Water Development Board's<sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning, Emergency Action plans for High Hazard dams, and other planning studies. Both CWSRF and DFund are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or floodproofing insured structures, building water quality and green infrastructure.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

1. https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance. 2. https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants

https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs

## CITY OF FARMERSVILLE

### **KNOW YOUR RISK**









policies totaling approximately \$610,300 in coverage

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



## **CITY OF FARMERSVILLE**

### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire December 28, 2021.

### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Further research dam safety and inundation data
- Create and implement buyout program for structures within the 100 year floodplain
- Implement public awareness program
- Increase the early warning system and distribute NOAA radios to vulnerable residents

1. https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance. 2. https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove costeffectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. Information about <u>FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup></u> can be found on our website, as well as on the Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup> website. The State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

#### 

Texas Water Development Board's<sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning, Emergency Action plans for High Hazard dams, and other planning studies. Both CWSRF and DFund are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or floodproofing insured structures, building water quality and green infrastructure.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

# **CITY OF FRISCO**

**KNOW YOUR RISK** 











approximately \$107,452,000 in coverage

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



# **CITY OF FRISCO**

### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire December 28, 2021.

### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Further research dam safety and inundation data
- Create and implement buyout program for structures within the 100 year floodplain
- Implement public awareness program
- Install an early warning system

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove costeffectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. Information about <u>FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup></u> can be found on our website, as well as on the Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup> website. The State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

#### 

Texas Water Development Board's<sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning, Emergency Action plans for High Hazard dams, and other planning studies. Both CWSRF and DFund are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or floodproofing insured structures, building water quality and green infrastructure.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

1. https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance. 2. https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs

## **CITY OF GARLAND**

**KNOW YOUR RISK** 



flood-prone areas during a 1%-annual- chance storm event are located in East Fork watershed

Participating in the National Flood **Insurance Program** 

in the watershed

62.5



**Flood-related** presidential disaster





4.0% expected population growth from 2010-2021 in the watershed



declarations in your county





### 60

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed

## \$4,067,051

## CITY OF GARLAND

### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire on October 15, 2022.

The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Finalize and adopt hazard mitigation plan
- Installation of a warning system
- Study and improve drainage utility infrastructure to minimize the impact of stormwater
- Channelize or widen streams

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects including reconstruction of drainage channels to limit the impact of stormwater on existing infrastructure. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, sirens, or barricades at low-water crossings. The HMGP also provides financial assistance for flood reduction projects including stream channelization and restoration. Information about <u>FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup></u> can be found on our website, as well as on the <u>Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup></u> website. County emergency managers or the State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

<u>Texas Water Development Board's</u><sup>4</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning, Emergency Action plans for High Hazard dams, and other planning studies. Both CWSRF and DFund are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or flood-proofing insured structures, building water quality and green infrastructure.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

1 https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance. 2 https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants 3. https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/.

Mise

## **CITY OF LAVON**

### **KNOW YOUR RISK**









policies totaling approximately \$1,614,700 in coverage

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



# **CITY OF LAVON**

### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire December 28, 2021.

### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Further research inundation data
- Create and implement buyout program for structures within the 100 year floodplain
- Create and implement master stormwater drainage plan
- Implement public awareness program
- Increase the early warning system, including an AM radio station for emergency notification information

 https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance. 2. https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects Projects including reconstruction of culverts and drainage channels to limit the impact of stormwater on existing infrastructure. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. Information about FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup> can be found on our website, as well as on the Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup> website. The State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

#### A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT.

Texas Water Development Board's<sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning, Emergency Action plans for High Hazard dams, and other planning studies. Both CWSRF and DFund are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or floodproofing insured structures, building water quality and green infrastructure.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

## CITY OF LOWRY CROSSING

**KNOW YOUR RISK** 











policies totaling approximately \$4,761,200 in coverage

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed


## **CITY OF LOWRY CROSSING**

#### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire December 28, 2021.

#### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Further research inundation data
- Create and implement buyout program for structures within the 100 year floodplain
- Implement public awareness program
- Increase the early warning system and distribute NOAA radios to vulnerable residents

1. https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance. 2. https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove costeffectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. Information about <u>FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup></u> can be found on our website, as well as on the Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup> website. The State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

#### 

Texas Water Development Board's<sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning, Emergency Action plans for High Hazard dams, and other planning studies. Both CWSRF and DFund are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or floodproofing insured structures, building water quality and green infrastructure.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

# CITY OF LUCAS

**KNOW YOUR RISK** 



13.7 Sq. Miles of the community is in

the watershed

6,585

Population based on 2016 ACS in the watershed

> A STATE AND A STATE Stream Miles

Detailed Study in the watershed

42.0%



2.7% expected population growth from 2010-2021 in the watershed



**Flood-related** presidential disaster declarations in your county

## 100%

Of the community's flood-prone areas during a 1%-annual- chance storm event are located in East Fork watershed



Not participating in the National **Flood Insurance** Program



**CNMS Stream Miles** in the watershed

19.0











policies totaling approximately \$13,027,300 in coverage

claim for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



## CITY OF LUCAS

#### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire **December 28, 2021.** 

## The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Join National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)
- Further research inundation data
- Create and implement buyout program for structures within the 100 year floodplain
- Implement public awareness program
- Increase the early warning system
- Design, engineering, and installation of drainage utility infrastructure to minimize or reduce the impact of stormwater
- https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance.
  https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants.
  https://www.fema.gov/media-library/assets/documents/13610.

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects including reconstruction of culverts and drainage channels to limit the impact of stormwater on existing infrastructure. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. Information about <u>FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup></u> can be found on our website, as well as on the <u>Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup></u> website. The National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) insures structures within the Special Flood Hazard Area, provides post-disaster assistance, and encourages local community regulation. More information about joining the NFIP<sup>3</sup> can be found on our website.

<u>Texas Water Development Board's</u><sup>4</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning, Emergency Action plans for High Hazard dams, and other planning studies. Both CWSRF and DFund are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or flood-proofing insured structures, building water quality and green infrastructure.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

4. https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/.

# **CITY OF MCKINNEY**

### **KNOW YOUR RISK**

Elm Fork Trinit Study Watershed **East Fork Trinity** Watershed Base Level Engin



the watershed



156,242

Population based on 2016 ACS in the watershed

> Stream Miles

Detailed Study in the watershed

52.6%



**Flood-related** presidential disaster declarations in your county

## 100%

Of the community's flood-prone areas during a 1%-annual- chance storm event are located in East Fork watershed



Participating in the National Flood **Insurance Program** 



**CNMS Stream Miles** in the watershed

86.1







2.7% expected population growth from 2010-2021 in the watershed



policies totaling approximately \$70,945,800 in coverage

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



## CITY OF MCKINNEY

### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire April 15, 2020.

## The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Rehabilitate NRCS High Hazard Dams
- Design, engineering, and installation, or updating of drainage utility infrastructure to minimize or reduce the impact of stormwater
- Finalize Master Plan for all major creeks
- Assess structures within the 100 year floodplain

https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance.
 https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants
 https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/.

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all allow for acquisition, demolition, relocation, or retrofits to existing structures and infrastructure. Additionally, these programs fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects including reconstruction of culverts and drainage channels to limit the impact of stormwater on existing infrastructure. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. Information about <u>FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup></u> can be found on our website, as well as on the <u>Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup></u> website. The State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

<u>Texas Water Development Board's</u><sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning, Emergency Action plans for High Hazard dams, and other planning studies. Both CWSRF and DFund are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or flood-proofing insured structures, building water quality and green infrastructure.



The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

## CITY OF MELISSA

### **KNOW YOUR RISK**

Study Watershed Other Watershed Base Level Engineering



of the community is in the watershed

# 

6,844

Population based

on 2016 ACS in the

watershed

Stream Miles

Detailed Study in

the watershed

1.4%

2.7% expected population growth from 2010-2021 in the watershed



Flood-related presidential disaster declarations in your county

## 100%

Of the community's flood-prone areas during a 1%-annual- chance storm event are located in East Fork watershed



Participating in the National Flood Insurance Program



CNMS Stream Miles in the watershed

8.4











policies totaling approximately \$2,520,000 in coverage

O claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



## **CITY OF MELISSA**

#### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire December 28, 2021.

#### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Further research inundation data
- Create and implement buyout program for structures within the 100 year floodplain
- Implement public awareness program
- Increase the early warning system and distribute NOAA radios to vulnerable residents

1. https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance. 2. https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove costeffectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. Information about <u>FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup></u> can be found on our website, as well as on the Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup> website. The State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

#### 

Texas Water Development Board's<sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning, Emergency Action plans for High Hazard dams, and other planning studies. Both CWSRF and DFund are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or floodproofing insured structures, building water quality and green infrastructure.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

## CITY OF MURPHY

### **KNOW YOUR RISK**

East Fork Trinity Watershed

Study Watershed Other Watershed Base Level Engineer



of the community is in the watershed



Population based

on 2016 ACS in the

watershed

Stream Miles

Detailed Study in

the watershed

84.0%

20,015

2.7% expected population growth from 2010-2021 in the watershed



**Flood-related** presidential disaster declarations in your county

## 100%

Of the community's flood-prone areas during a 1%-annual- chance storm event are located in East Fork watershed



Participating in the National Flood **Insurance Program** 



**CNMS Stream Miles** in the watershed

7.1











policies totaling approximately \$14,494,400 in coverage

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed

## \$141,442

## **CITY OF MURPHY**

#### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire **December 28, 2021.** 

### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Purchase mobile back-up generator
- Create and implement buyout program for structures within the 100 year floodplain
- Floodproof Emergency Operations Center
- Implement public awareness program and distribute NOAA radios to vulnerable residents
- Improve drainage utility infrastructure

https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance.
 https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants.
 https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/.

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects including reconstruction of culverts and drainage channels to limit the impact of stormwater on existing infrastructure. HMGP and PDM allow for the funding of generators at critical facilities or retrofits to existing structures and infrastructure. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. Information about <u>FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup></u> can be found on our website, as well as on the <u>Texas</u> <u>Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup></u> website. The State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

<u>Texas Water Development Board's</u><sup>3</sup> Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or flood-proofing insured structures, and building water quality and green infrastructure. TWDB also funds the Severe Repetitive Loss (SRL) Grant, which can assist communities in engineering designs, acquisition or water-proofing of sever repetitive loss residential structures.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

## CITY OF NEVADA

### **KNOW YOUR RISK**











policies totaling approximately \$0 in coverage

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



## **CITY OF NEVADA**

#### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



You do not have a Hazard Mitigation Plan.

#### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Join National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)
- Create and implement a hazard mitigation plan

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects. HMGP and PDM allow for the funding of generators at critical facilities. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. The National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) insures structures within the Special Flood Hazard Area, provides post-disaster assistance, and encourages local community regulation. More information about and about joining the NFIP<sup>1</sup> can be found on our website. Grant information is available on the Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup> website. County emergency managers or the State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

#### 

Texas Water Development Board's<sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning, Emergency Action plans for High Hazard dams, and other planning studies. Both CWSRF and DFund are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or floodproofing insured structures, building water quality and green infrastructure.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

1. https://www.fema.gov/media-library/assets/documents/13610. 2. https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs

## TOWN OF NEW HOPE

**KNOW YOUR RISK** 









policies totaling approximately \$711,600 in coverage

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



## TOWN OF NEW HOPE

### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire December 28, 2021.

#### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Further research inundation data
- Create and implement buyout program for structures within the 100 year floodplain
- Implement public awareness program
- Increase early warning system and distribute NOAA radios to vulnerable residents

1. https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance. 2. https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove costeffectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. Information about <u>FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup></u> can be found on our website, as well as on the Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup> website. The State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

#### 

Texas Water Development Board's<sup>4</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning, Emergency Action plans for High Hazard dams, and other planning studies. Both CWSRF and DFund are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or floodproofing insured structures, building water quality and green infrastructure.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

## **CITY OF PARKER**

**KNOW YOUR RISK** 





of the community is in the watershed



4,241

Population based

on 2016 ACS in the

watershed

Stream Miles

Detailed Study in

the watershed

64.3%

A STATE AND A STATE

2.7% expected population growth from 2010-2021 in the watershed



**Flood-related** presidential disaster declarations in your county

## 100%

Of the community's flood-prone areas during a 1%-annual- chance storm event are located in East Fork watershed



Participating in the National Flood **Insurance Program** 



**CNMS Stream Miles** in the watershed

11.0







# 



policies totaling approximately \$11,068,100 in coverage

### 6

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



## **CITY OF PARKER**

#### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire December 28, 2021.

#### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Further research inundation data
- Create and implement buyout program for structures within the 100 year floodplain
- Implement public awareness program
- Increase early warning system and distribute NOAA radios to vulnerable residents

1. https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance. 2. https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove costeffectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. Information about <u>FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup></u> can be found on our website, as well as on the Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup> website. The State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

#### 

Texas Water Development Board's<sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning, Emergency Action plans for High Hazard dams, and other planning studies. Both CWSRF and DFund are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or floodproofing insured structures, building water quality and green infrastructure.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

## **CITY OF PLANO**

#### **KNOW YOUR RISK**





# 

### 170,065

Population based on 2016 ACS in the watershed

#### A STATE AND A STATE

Stream Miles Detailed Study in the watershed

89.4%



**Flood-related** presidential disaster declarations in your county

73.1%

Of the community's flood-prone areas during a 1%-annual- chance storm event are located in East Fork watershed



Participating in the National Flood **Insurance Program** 



**CNMS Stream Miles** in the watershed

58.3







from 2010-2021 in the watershed





policies totaling approximately \$248,138,000 in coverage

### 6

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



## **CITY OF PLANO**

#### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is expired.

#### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Adopt new Hazard Mitigation Plan
- Create Debris Management Plan
- Installation of permanent generators
- Stream bank erosion reduction
- Create and implement buyout program for structures within the 100 year floodplain
- Distribute NOAA radios to vulnerable residents

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. HMGP and PDM allow for the funding of generators at critical facilities. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. HMGP also offers funding for post disaster code enforcement, including debris removal strategies. PDM grants also are able to fund stream restoration projects. Information about FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup> can be found on our website, as well as on the Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup> website. The State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

#### 

Texas Water Development Board's<sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning, Emergency Action plans for High Hazard dams, and other planning studies. Both CWSRF and DFund are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or floodproofing insured structures, building water quality and green infrastructure.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

1. https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance. 2. https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs

## **CITY OF PRINCETON**

**KNOW YOUR RISK** 







8,278

Population based

on 2016 ACS in the

watershed

Stream Miles

Detailed Study in

the watershed

0.0%

A STATE AND A

2.7% expected population growth from 2010-2021 in the watershed



**Flood-related** presidential disaster declarations in your county

100%

Of the community's flood-prone areas during a 1%-annual- chance storm event are located in East Fork watershed



Participating in the National Flood **Insurance Program** 



**CNMS Stream Miles** in the watershed

8.6











policies totaling approximately \$1,024,000 in coverage

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



## **CITY OF PRINCETON**

#### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire December 28, 2021.

#### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Further research inundation data
- Create and implement buyout program for structures within the 100 year floodplain
- Implement public awareness program
- Increase early warning system and distribute NOAA radios to vulnerable residents

1. https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance. 2. https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove costeffectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. Information about <u>FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup></u> can be found on our website, as well as on the Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup> website. The State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

#### 

Texas Water Development Board's<sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning, Emergency Action plans for High Hazard dams, and other planning studies. Both CWSRF and DFund are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or floodproofing insured structures, building water quality and green infrastructure.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

## TOWN OF PROSPER

#### **KNOW YOUR RISK**









policies totaling approximately \$6,510,000 in coverage

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



## TOWN OF PROSPER

#### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**

A Startes



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire **December 28, 2021.** 

### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Further research inundation data
- Create and implement buyout program for structures within the 100 year floodplain
- Implement public awareness program
- Create and adopt construction requirements to minimize flood damage
- Increase early warning system and distribute NOAA radios to vulnerable residents

https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance.
 https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants
 https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/.

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all allow for acquisition, demolition, relocation, or retrofits to existing structures and infrastructure. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. Information about <u>FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup></u> can be found on our website, as well as on the <u>Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup></u> website. The State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

<u>Texas Water Development Board's</u><sup>3</sup> Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or flood-proofing insured structures, and building water quality and green infrastructure. TWDB also funds the Severe Repetitive Loss (SRL) Grant, which can assist communities in engineering designs, acquisition or water-proofing of sever repetitive loss residential structures.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

## **CITY OF RICHARDSON**

**KNOW YOUR RISK** 





of the community is in the watershed

## 71,713

Population based on 2016 ACS in the

#### watershed

Stream Miles Detailed Study in the watershed

89.5%



**Flood-related** presidential disaster declarations in your county

82.1%

Of the community's flood-prone areas during a 1%-annual- chance storm event are located in East Fork watershed



Participating in the National Flood **Insurance Program** 



**CNMS Stream Miles** in the watershed

23.2







2.7% expected population growth from 2010-2021 in the watershed

## J 280

policies totaling approximately \$84,820,400 in coverage

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



## **CITY OF RICHARDSON**

#### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire February 22, 2021.

#### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Purchase mobile back-up generator
- Floodproof critical facilities
- Stream bank erosion reduction
- Implement public awareness program
- Install early warning system
- Improve drainage utility infrastructure

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects including reconstruction of culverts and drainage channels to limit the impact of stormwater on existing infrastructure. HMGP and PDM allow for the funding of generators at critical facilities and retrofits to existing structures and infrastructure. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. PDM grants also are able to fund stream restoration projects. Information about FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup> can be found on our website, as well as on the Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup> website. The State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

#### Texas Water Development Board's<sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning, Emergency Action plans for High Hazard dams, and other planning studies. Both CWSRF and DFund are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or floodproofing insured structures, building water quality and green infrastructure.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

1. https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance. 2. https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs

- ILI AND A CARE TO SERVICE AND A CARE

## CITY OF SACHSE

**KNOW YOUR RISK** 







23,329

Population based

on 2016 ACS in the

watershed

Stream Miles

Detailed Study in

the watershed

91.6%



2.7% expected population growth from 2010-2021 in the watershed



Flood-related presidential disaster declarations in your county

## 100%

Of the community's flood-prone areas during a 1%-annual- chance storm event are located in East Fork watershed



Participating in the National Flood Insurance Program



CNMS Stream Miles in the watershed

14.0









policies totaling approximately \$17,029,700 in coverage

Claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



## CITY OF SACHSE

#### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire February 22, 2021.

### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Further research dam safety and inundation data
- Create and implement buyout program for structures within the 100 year floodplain and create parks in low-lying areas
- Stream bank erosion reduction
- Implement public awareness program
- Improve drainage utility infrastructure
- Installation of a warning system and permanent generators

https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance.
 https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants
 https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/.

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects including reconstruction of culverts and drainage channels to limit the impact of stormwater on existing infrastructure. HMGP and PDM allow for the funding of generators at critical facilities and retrofits to existing structures and infrastructure. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. PDM grants also are able to fund stream restoration projects. Information about FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup> can be found on our website, as well as on the Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup> website. The State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

#### 

<u>Texas Water Development Board's</u><sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning, Emergency Action plans for High Hazard dams, and other planning studies. Both CWSRF and DFund are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or flood-proofing insured structures, building water quality and green infrastructure.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

## TOWN OF ST. PAUL

### **KNOW YOUR RISK**







1,235

Population based on 2016 ACS in the watershed

#### A STATE AND A

Stream Miles Detailed Study in the watershed

0.0%



# 

**Flood-related** presidential disaster declarations in your county

## 100%

Of the community's flood-prone areas during a 1%-annual- chance storm event are located in East Fork watershed



Participating in the National Flood **Insurance Program** 



**CNMS Stream Miles** in the watershed

0.2







watershed



# 



policies totaling approximately \$0 in coverage

#### claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



## TOWN OF ST. PAUL

#### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire **December 28, 2021.** 

### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Create and implement buyout program for structures within the 100 year floodplain
- Implement public awareness program
- Create and adopt construction requirements to minimize flood damage
- Buy CASA (WX) Weather Radar system
- Improve drainage utility infrastructure
- Increase early warning system and distribute NOAA radios to vulnerable residents
- https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance.
  https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants.
  https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/.

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects including reconstruction of culverts and drainage channels to limit the impact of stormwater on existing infrastructure. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. Information about <u>FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup></u> can be found on our website, as well as on the <u>Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup></u> website. The State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

<u>Texas Water Development Board's</u><sup>3</sup> Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or flood-proofing insured structures, and building water quality and green infrastructure. TWDB also funds the Severe Repetitive Loss (SRL) Grant, which can assist communities in engineering designs, acquisition or water-proofing of sever repetitive loss residential structures.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

## CITY OF VAN ALSTYNE

### **KNOW YOUR RISK**







3,265

Population based

on 2016 ACS in the

watershed

Stream Miles

Detailed Study in

the watershed

0.0%

2.2% expected population growth from 2010-2021 in the watershed



**Flood-related** presidential disaster declarations in your county

## 100%

Of the community's flood-prone areas during a 1%-annual- chance storm event are located in East Fork watershed



Participating in the National Flood **Insurance Program** 



**CNMS Stream Miles** in the watershed

1.8











policies totaling approximately \$1,225,000 in coverage

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



## **CITY OF VAN ALSTYNE**

### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is expired.

#### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Public awareness programs
- Maintain NFIP participation and update city codes as needed

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. The National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) insures structures within the Special Flood Hazard Area, provides post-disaster assistance, and encourages local community regulation. More information about and about the NFIP<sup>1</sup> can be found on our website. . Grant information is available on the Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup> website. County emergency managers or the State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

#### Texas Water Development Board's<sup>2</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning, Emergency Action plans for High Hazard dams, and other planning studies. Both CWSRF and DFund are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or floodproofing insured structures, building water quality and green infrastructure.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

https://www.fema.gov/media-library/assets/documents/13610. https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/.

## **CITY OF WESTON**

#### **KNOW YOUR RISK**







## 



policies totaling approximately \$1,110,000 in coverage

### claims for structures repeatedly damaged by

flood in the watershed



## CITY OF WESTON

#### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



You **do not have** a Hazard Mitigation Plan.

### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

• Create and implement a hazard mitigation plan

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects. HMGP and PDM allow for the funding of generators at critical facilities. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. Grant information is available on the <u>Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications</u><sup>1</sup> website. County emergency managers or the State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

### t Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRE) and Texas Water

<u>Texas Water Development Board's</u><sup>2</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning, Emergency Action plans for High Hazard dams, and other planning studies. Both CWSRF and DFund are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or flood-proofing insured structures, building water quality and green infrastructure.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

1. https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants. 2. https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/.

# CITY OF WYLIE

### **KNOW YOUR RISK**









policies totaling approximately \$21,908,600 in coverage

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



# CITY OF WYLIE

#### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire December 28, 2021.

#### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Further research dam safety and inundation data
- Create and implement buyout program for structures within the 100 year floodplain
- Implement public awareness program
- Improve drainage utility infrastructure
- Increase the early warning system and distribute NOAA radios to vulnerable residents

1. https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance. 2. https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects including reconstruction of culverts and drainage channels to limit the impact of stormwater on existing infrastructure. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. Information about FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup> can be found on our website, as well as on the Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup> website. The State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

#### 

Texas Water Development Board's<sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning, Emergency Action plans for High Hazard dams, and other planning studies. Both CWSRF and DFund are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or floodproofing insured structures, building water quality and green infrastructure.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

## DALLAS COUNTY

**KNOW YOUR RISK** 









policies totaling approximately \$4,335,900 in coverage

### 3

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed

### \$108,502

## DALLAS COUNTY

#### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire February 22, 2021.

## The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Acquisition of flood prone structures
- Installation of permanent generators at critical facilities
- Implement public awareness program
- Adopt ordnances to manage floodplain above the minimum requirements, including building above required freeboard

1 https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance. 2 https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants 3. https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/. FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all allow for acquisition, demolition, relocation, or retrofits to existing structures and infrastructure. FEMA's HGMP and PDM also allow for the funding of generators at critical facilities. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove costeffectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. Information about <u>FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup> can be found on our</u> website, as well as on the <u>Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup></u> website. The State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

<u>Texas Water Development Board's</u><sup>3</sup> Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or flood-proofing insured structures, and building water quality and green infrastructure. TWDB also funds the Severe Repetitive Loss (SRL) Grant, which can assist communities in engineering designs, acquisition or water-proofing of sever repetitive loss residential structures.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

A CONTRACT OF A

## **CITY OF BALCH SPRINGS**

**KNOW YOUR RISK** 





the watershed



Population based on 2016 ACS in the watershed

A CARACTER

Stream Miles Detailed Study in the watershed

91.5%



**Flood-related** presidential disaster declarations in your county

10.6%

Of the community's flood-prone areas during a 1%-annual- chance storm event are located in East Fork watershed

Participating in the

National Flood **Insurance Program** 



in the watershed

1.0







1.6% expected population growth from 2010-2021 in the watershed





policies totaling approximately \$9,598,900 in coverage

### 18

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed


## **CITY OF BALCH SPRINGS**

#### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire February 22, 2021.

The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Create and implement buyout program for structures within the 100 year floodplain
- Implement public awareness program

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all allow for acquisition, demolition, relocation, or retrofits to existing structures and infrastructure. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. Information about <u>FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup> can be found on our website, as well as on the Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup> website. State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.</u>

<u>Texas Water Development Board's</u><sup>3</sup> Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or flood-proofing insured structures, and building water quality and green infrastructure. TWDB also funds the Severe Repetitive Loss (SRL) Grant, which can assist communities in engineering designs, acquisition or water-proofing of sever repetitive loss residential structures.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

ALT NR DIP

https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance.
 https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants
 https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/.

# CITY OF MESQUITE

#### **KNOW YOUR RISK**





of the community is in the watershed

### 100%

Of the community's flood-prone areas during a 1%-annual- chance storm event are located in East Fork watershed



Participating in the National Flood Insurance Program



CNMS Stream Miles in the watershed

53.0



### 138,707

Population based on 2016 ACS in the watershed

#### 

Stream Miles Detailed Study in the watershed





Flood-related presidential disaster declarations in your county





2.7% expected population growth from 2010-2021 in the watershed



# 167

policies totaling approximately \$44,694,700 in coverage

### 14

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed

### \$89,858

# CITY OF MESQUITE

#### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire February 2023.

### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

• Release a public version of the Hazard Mitigation Plan

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects. HMGP and PDM allow for the funding of generators at critical facilities. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. Grant information is available on the <u>Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications</u><sup>1</sup> website. County emergency managers or the State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

### unt Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRE) and Texas Water

<u>Texas Water Development Board's</u><sup>2</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning, Emergency Action plans for High Hazard dams, and other planning studies. Both CWSRF and DFund are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or flood-proofing insured structures, building water quality and green infrastructure.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

1. https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants. 2. https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/.

# CITY OF ROWLETT

#### **KNOW YOUR RISK**







59,203

**Population based** on 2016 ACS in the watershed

Stream Miles Detailed Study in the watershed

68.7%





**Flood-related** presidential disaster declarations in your county

### 100%

Of the community's flood-prone areas during a 1%-annual- chance storm event are located in East Fork watershed



Participating in the National Flood **Insurance Program** 



**CNMS Stream Miles** in the watershed

15.7











policies totaling approximately \$28,257,900 in coverage

claim for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



# **CITY OF ROWLETT**

#### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire February 22, 2021.

#### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Create city parks in flood prone areas near Lake Ray Hubbard
- Implement public awareness program
- Create or improve drainage utility infrastructure to minimize stormwater impact or prevent stream bank erosion
- Develop dam inundation maps

https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance. https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects including reconstruction of culverts and drainage channels to limit the impact of stormwater on existing infrastructure. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. PDM grants also are able to fund stream restoration projects. Information about FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup> can be found on our website, as well as on the Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup> website. State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

Texas Water Development Board's<sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning, Emergency Action plans for High Hazard dams, and other planning studies. Both CWSRF and DFund are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or floodproofing insured structures, building water quality and green infrastructure.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating

construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

# CITY OF SEAGOVILLE

**KNOW YOUR RISK** 





### 11

policies totaling approximately \$2,170,800 in coverage

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



# CITY OF SEAGOVILLE

#### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire February 22, 2021.

### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Reduce development in open spaces of floodplain
- Public awareness programs
- Installation of a warning system and generators at critical facilities
- Acquisition of flood prone structures

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP) and the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM) allow for the funding of generators at critical facilities and retrofits to existing structures and infrastructure. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. Information about <u>FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup></u> can be found on our website, as well as on the <u>Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup></u> website. County emergency managers or the State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

<u>Texas Water Development Board's</u><sup>3</sup> Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or flood-proofing insured structures, and building water quality and green infrastructure. TWDB also funds the Severe Repetitive Loss (SRL) Grant, which can assist communities in engineering designs, acquisition or water-proofing of sever repetitive loss residential structures.

CONTRACTOR OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIP

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

1 https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance. 2 https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants 3. https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/.



# CITY OF SUNNYVALE

#### **KNOW YOUR RISK**

East Fork Trinity Study Watershed Other Watershed Base Level Engineer

Watershed



# 

5,871

Population based on 2016 ACS in the watershed

#### 

Stream Miles Detailed Study in the watershed

85.2%



**Flood-related** presidential disaster declarations in your county

### 100%

Of the community's flood-prone areas during a 1%-annual- chance storm event are located in East Fork watershed



Participating in the National Flood **Insurance Program** 



**CNMS Stream Miles** in the watershed

15.4







4.8% expected population growth from 2010-2021 in the watershed





policies totaling approximately \$8,491,600 in coverage

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



# **CITY OF SUNNYVALE**

#### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire February 22, 2021.

### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Reduce development in open spaces of floodplain
- Public awareness programs
- Installation of a warning system and generators at critical facilities
- Floodproof the water treatment facility
- Study areas for stream bank erosion
- Develop dam inundation maps

1 https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance. 2 https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants 3. https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/. FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP) and the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM) allow for the funding of generators at critical facilities and retrofits to existing structures and infrastructure. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. PDM grants also are able to fund stream restoration projects. Information about <u>FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup> can be found on our website, as well as on the Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup> website. County emergency managers or the State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.</u>

<u>Texas Water Development Board's</u><sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning, Emergency Action plans for High Hazard dams, and other planning studies. Both CWSRF and DFund are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or flood-proofing insured structures, building water quality and green infrastructure.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

# FANNIN COUNTY

**KNOW YOUR RISK** 





### 108

policies totaling approximately \$18,515,000 in coverage

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



### FANNIN COUNTY

#### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire September 24, 2020.

### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Establish a certified FPA in the county
- Implement a debris removal plan
- Prohibit development in flood prone areas around Bois D' Arc Lake
- Implement public awareness program, including "Turn Around Don't Drown" campaign
- Further research dam safety and inundation data

https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance.
 https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants
 https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/.

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. HMGP also offers funding for post disaster code enforcement, including debris removal strategies. Information about <u>FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup></u> can be found on our website, as well as on the <u>Texas Department of Public</u> <u>Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup></u> website. The State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

<u>Texas Water Development Board's</u><sup>4</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning, Emergency Action plans for High Hazard dams, and other planning studies. Both CWSRF and DFund are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or flood-proofing insured structures, building water quality and green infrastructure.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

### **CITY OF LEONARD**

**KNOW YOUR RISK** 









policies totaling approximately \$909,700 in coverage

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



# CITY OF LEONARD

#### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire September 24, 2020.

### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Public awareness programs
- Design, engineering, and installation of drainage utility infrastructure to minimize or reduce the impact of stormwater

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all allow for acquisition, demolition, relocation, or retrofits to existing structures and infrastructure. Additionally, these programs fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects including reconstruction of culverts and drainage channels to limit the impact of stormwater on existing infrastructure. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. Information about <u>FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup> can be</u> found on our website, as well as on the <u>Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup></u> website. County emergency managers or the State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

<u>Texas Water Development Board's</u><sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning and engineering designs.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

1 https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance. 2 https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants 3. https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/.



# **CITY OF TRENTON**

**KNOW YOUR RISK** 







### 10

policies totaling approximately \$1,585,900 in coverage

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



# **CITY OF TRENTON**

#### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire September 24, 2020.

### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Public awareness programs
- Design, engineering, and installation of drainage utility infrastructure to minimize or reduce the impact of stormwater

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all allow for acquisition, demolition, relocation, or retrofits to existing structures and infrastructure. Additionally, these programs fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects including reconstruction of culverts and drainage channels to limit the impact of stormwater on existing infrastructure. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. Information about <u>FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup> can be</u> found on our website, as well as on the <u>Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup></u> website. County emergency managers or the State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

<u>Texas Water Development Board's</u><sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning and engineering designs.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

1 https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance. 2 https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants 3. https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/.



### **GRAYSON COUNTY**

**KNOW YOUR RISK** 









approximately \$53,691,300 in coverage

### 13

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed

### \$1,852,278

### **GRAYSON COUNTY**

#### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is expired.

### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Create low-water crossings
- Stream bank erosion reduction
- Create and implement buyout program for repetitive loss structures
- Implement public awareness program, including "Turn Around Don't Drown" campaign
- Further research dam safety and create Emergency Action Plan
- https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance.
  https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants.
  https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/.

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. HMGP also offers funding for post disaster code enforcement, including debris removal strategies. PDM grants also are able to fund stream restoration projects. Information about <u>FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup></u> can be found on our website, as well as on the <u>Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup></u> website. The State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

<u>Texas Water Development Board's</u><sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning, Emergency Action plans for High Hazard dams, and other planning studies. Both CWSRF and DFund are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or flood-proofing insured structures, building water quality and green infrastructure. TWDB also funds the Severe Repetitive Loss (SRL) Grant, which can assist communities in engineering designs, acquisition or water-proofing of sever repetitive loss residential structures.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

### CITY OF DORCHESTER

**KNOW YOUR RISK** 









policies totaling approximately \$0 in coverage

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



# CITY OF DORCHESTER

#### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is expired.

### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Join National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)
- Public awareness programs
- Implement a debris removal plan and Stream bank erosion reduction
- Create and adopt construction requirements to minimize flood damage

1. https://www.fema.gov/media-library/assets/documents/13610. 2. 2 https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants 3. https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/. FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. HMGP also offers funding for post disaster code enforcement, including debris removal strategies. PDM grants also are able to fund stream restoration projects. The National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) insures structures within the Special Flood Hazard Area, provides post-disaster assistance, and encourages local community regulation. More information about and about joining the NFIP<sup>1</sup> can be found on our website. Grant information is available on the <u>Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup></u> website. County emergency managers or the State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

#### 

<u>Texas Water Development Board's</u><sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning, Emergency Action plans for High Hazard dams, and other planning studies. Both CWSRF and DFund are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or flood-proofing insured structures, building water quality and green infrastructure.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

# **CITY OF GUNTER**

#### **KNOW YOUR RISK**











policies totaling approximately \$3,182,400 in coverage

claim for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



# CITY OF GUNTER

#### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is expired.

### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Public awareness programs
- Design, engineering, and installation of drainage utility infrastructure to minimize or reduce the impact of stormwater

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all allow for acquisition, demolition, relocation, or retrofits to existing structures and infrastructure. Additionally, these programs fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects including reconstruction of culverts and drainage channels to limit the impact of stormwater on existing infrastructure. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. Information about <u>FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup></u> can be found on our website, as well as on the <u>Texas</u> <u>Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup></u> website. County emergency managers or the State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

<u>Texas Water Development Board's</u><sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning and engineering designs.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

1 https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance. 2 https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants 3. https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/.



# TOWN OF HOWE

#### **KNOW YOUR RISK**







775

Population based

on 2016 ACS in the

watershed

Stream Miles

Detailed Study in

the watershed

0.0%



2.6% expected population growth from 2010-2021 in the watershed



**Flood-related** presidential disaster declarations in your county

5.0%

Of the community's flood-prone areas during a 1%-annual- chance storm event are located in East Fork watershed



Participating in the National Flood **Insurance Program** 



**CNMS Stream Miles** in the watershed

0.5









policies totaling approximately \$308,000 in coverage

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



# CITY OF HOWE

#### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is expired.

### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Public awareness programs
- Plant vegetation along public stream banks
- Record high water marks

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP) offers funding for post disaster code enforcement, including debris removal strategies. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. PDM grants also are able to fund stream restoration projects. Information about <u>FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup></u> can be found on our website, as well as on the <u>Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup></u> website. County emergency managers or the State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.



<u>Texas Water Development Board's</u><sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning and engineering designs.



NUMBER OF A CONTRACTOR

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

1 https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance. 2 https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants 3. https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/.



### **CITY OF TOM BEAN**

**KNOW YOUR RISK** 









policies totaling approximately \$0 in coverage

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



# CITY OF TOM BEAN

#### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is expired.

### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Join National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)
- Coordinate with all flooding programs for local activities
- Public awareness programs
- Prepare housing in event of flooddamaged homes

1. https://www.fema.gov/media-library/assets/documents/13610. 2. 2 https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants 3. https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/. FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. The National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) insures structures within the Special Flood Hazard Area, provides post-disaster assistance, and encourages local community regulation. More information about and about joining the NFIP<sup>1</sup> can be found on our website. Grant information is available on the Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup> website. County emergency managers or the State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.



<u>Texas Water Development Board's</u><sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning and engineering designs.



The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

# HUNT COUNTY

### **KNOW YOUR RISK**



# 2.0%

Of the community's flood-prone areas during a 1%-annual- chance storm event are located in East Fork watershed



Participating in the National Flood **Insurance Program** 



**CNMS Stream Miles** in the watershed

47.6



1,603

Population based on 2016 ACS in the watershed

#### 

Stream Miles Detailed Study in the watershed



**Flood-related** 

presidential disaster declarations in your county





2.7% expected population growth from 2010-2021 in the watershed



### 140

policies totaling approximately \$33,423,600 in coverage

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



# HUNT COUNTY

#### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire August 18, 2020.

### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Further research dam safety and inundation data
- Create and implement buyout program for structures within the 100 year floodplain
- Implement public awareness program
- Promote "Turn Around Don't Drown" campaign and signage at low-water crossings
- Increase early warning system and distribute NOAA radios to vulnerable residents

1. https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance. 2. https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants. 3. https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/. FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, sirens, or barricades at low-water crossings. Information about FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup> can be found on our website, as well as on the Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup> website. The State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

#### 

<u>Texas Water Development Board's</u><sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning, Emergency Action plans for High Hazard dams, and other planning studies. Both CWSRF and DFund are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or flood-proofing insured structures, building water quality and green infrastructure.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

### **KAUFMAN COUNTY**

**KNOW YOUR RISK** 





of the community is in the watershed



**Population based** 

on 2016 ACS in the watershed

Stream Miles

Detailed Study in

the watershed

23.4%

5,806

from 2010-2021 in the watershed



**Flood-related** presidential disaster declarations in your county

### 16.2%

Of the community's flood-prone areas during a 1%-annual- chance storm event are located in East Fork watershed



Participating in the National Flood **Insurance Program** 



**CNMS Stream Miles** in the watershed

75.9







1.6% expected population growth



policies totaling approximately \$49,576,400 in coverage

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



### **KAUFMAN COUNTY**

#### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is awaiting adoption.

### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Join Community Rating System (CRS) program
- Prohibit further development in open space floodprone areas
- Create pre-disaster debris removal contracts
- Installation of a warning system, barricades at low-water crossings, and permanent generators

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects. HMGP and PDM allow for the funding of generators at critical facilities. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. HMGP also offers funding for post disaster code enforcement, including debris removal strategies. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, sirens, or barricades at low-water crossings. Information about <u>FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup></u> can be found on our website, as well as on the <u>Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup></u> website. Participation in FEMA's <u>Community Rating System<sup>3</sup></u> (CRS) reduces insurance premiums up to 45%, and FEMA will provide free technical assistance in designing and implementing programs designed to reduce flood damage. The State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

<u>Texas Water Development Board's</u><sup>4</sup> Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or flood-proofing insured structures, building water quality and green infrastructure.

1 - IL TAKE FORME

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

4. https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/

https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance.
 https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants
 https://www.fema.gov/community-rating-system.

# CITY OF COMBINE

**KNOW YOUR RISK** 









policies totaling approximately \$0 in coverage

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



# CITY OF COMBINE

#### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is awaiting adoption.

### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Join National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)
- Installation of a warning system and permanent generators at critical facilities
- Design, engineering, and installation of drainage utility infrastructure to minimize or reduce the impact of stormwater

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects including reconstruction of culverts and drainage channels to limit the impact of stormwater on existing infrastructure. HMGP and PDM allow for the funding of generators at critical facilities. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. The National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) insures structures within the Special Flood Hazard Area, provides post-disaster assistance, and encourages local community regulation. More information about and about joining the NFIP<sup>1</sup> can be found on our website. Grant information is available on the Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup> website. County emergency managers or the State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

<u>Texas Water Development Board's</u><sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning and engineering designs.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

https://www.fema.gov/media-library/assets/documents/13610.
 2 https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants
 https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/.

### CITY OF CRANDALL

**KNOW YOUR RISK** 









policies totaling approximately \$7,221,700 in coverage

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



# **CITY OF CRANDALL**

#### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is awaiting adoption.

The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Public awareness programs
- Installation of a warning system and generators
- Study and improve drainage utility infrastructure to minimize the impact of stormwater

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects including reconstruction of culverts and drainage channels to limit the impact of stormwater on existing infrastructure. HMGP and PDM allow for the funding of generators at critical facilities. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. Information about <u>FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup></u> can be found on our website, as well as on the <u>Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms</u> <u>and Publications<sup>2</sup></u> website. County emergency managers or the State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.



<u>Texas Water Development Board's</u><sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning and engineering designs.



The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

1 https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance. 2 https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants 3. https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/.



# **CITY OF FORNEY**

#### **KNOW YOUR RISK**









policies totaling approximately \$6,137,200 in coverage

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



# **CITY OF FORNEY**

#### **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is awaiting adoption.

#### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Prohibit further development in open space flood-prone areas
- Installation of a warning system, barricades at low-water crossings, and permanent generators
- Public awareness programs

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP) and Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM) allow for the funding of generators at critical facilities. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, sirens, or barricades at low-water crossings. Information about FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup> can be found on our website, as well as on the Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup> website. County emergency managers or the State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

#### Texas Water Development Board's<sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning and engineering designs. Both CWSRF and DFund are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for building water quality and green infrastructure.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

1 https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance. 2 https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs



# CITY OF HEATH

#### **KNOW YOUR RISK**



### 100%

Of the community's flood-prone areas during a 1%-annual- chance storm event are located in East Fork watershed

Participating in the National Flood **Insurance Program** 



**CNMS Stream Miles** in the watershed

20.3



the watershed

54.0%



2.7% expected population growth from 2010-2021 in the watershed



**Flood-related** presidential disaster declarations in your county







policies totaling approximately \$13,677,000 in coverage

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed


# CITY OF HEATH

## **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is awaiting adoption.

The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Implement tree trimming program
- Floodproof electronic controls of city infrastructure
- Installation of a warning system, flood control structures, and permanent generators
- Public awareness programs

1 https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance. 2 https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants 3. https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/. FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP) and Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM) allow for the funding of generators at critical facilities or retrofits to existing structures and infrastructure. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. HMGP and PDM allow for the funding of structural hazards control, such as gabion walls and levees. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, sirens, or barricades at low-water crossings. HMGP also offers funding for post disaster code enforcement, including community-wide tree trimming strategies. Information about <u>FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup></u> can be found on our website, as well as on the <u>Texas</u> <u>Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup></u> website. County emergency managers or the State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

<u>Texas Water Development Board's</u><sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning and engineering designs.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

# TOWN OF TALTY

## **KNOW YOUR RISK**











policies totaling approximately \$1,050,000 in coverage

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



in severe repetitive loss in the watershed

# TOWN OF TALTY

## **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is awaiting adoption.

# The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Higher floodplain management standards
- Public awareness programs.
- Installation of a warning system and generators
- Acquisition of flood prone structures
- Design, engineering, and installation of drainage utility infrastructure to minimize or reduce the impact of stormwater

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all allow for acquisition, demolition, relocation, or retrofits to existing structures and infrastructure. Additionally, these programs fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects including reconstruction of culverts and drainage channels to limit the impact of stormwater on existing infrastructure. HMGP and PDM allow for the funding of generators at critical facilities. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. Information about <u>FEMA's HMA grants</u><sup>1</sup> can be found on our website, as well as on the <u>Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications</u><sup>2</sup> website. County emergency managers or the State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

<u>Texas Water Development Board's</u><sup>3</sup> Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for acquisition or flood-proofing insured structures, and building water quality and green infrastructure. TWDB also funds the Severe Repetitive Loss (SRL) Grant, which can assist communities in engineering designs, acquisition or water-proofing of sever repetitive loss residential structures.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

1 https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance. 2 https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants 3. https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/.



# **ROCKWALL COUNTY**

**KNOW YOUR RISK** 



in East Fork watershed







county





policies totaling approximately \$9,166,300 in coverage

claim for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



in severe repetitive loss in the watershed

# **ROCKWALL COUNTY**

## **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire on July 31, 2022.

## The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Create a "Continuity of Operations" plan to limit county service interruptions in a natural hazard event
- Implement a debris removal plan before and after flood events
- Public awareness programs
- Installation of a warning system and generators

https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance.
https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants
https://www.sorm.state.tx.us/coop/texas-coop

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM) allow for the funding of generators at critical facilities. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. HMGP also offers funding for post disaster code enforcement, including debris removal strategies. Information about <u>FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup></u> can be found on our website, as well as on the <u>Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and</u> <u>Publications<sup>2</sup></u> website. The State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

"Continuity of Operations" planning aims to limit interruptions to critical government functions during and following a natural hazard event. Resources for creating this plan are available on the <u>State Office of Risk Management's website<sup>3</sup></u>.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

# CITY OF FATE

## **KNOW YOUR RISK**









policies totaling approximately \$5,644,400 in coverage

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



in severe repetitive loss in the watershed

# CITY OF FATE

## **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire on July 31, 2022.

#### The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Reduce development in open spaces of floodplain
- Enforce dual entry points in new construction
- Public awareness programs
- Installation of a warning system and generators

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP) and the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM) allow for the funding of generators at critical facilities. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. Information about FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup> can be found on our website, as well as on the Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup> website. County emergency managers or the State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

Texas Water Development Board's<sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning and engineering designs. Both CWSRF and DFund are long term-fixed interest loans.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating

construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

1 https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance. 2 https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants. https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs



# CITY OF MCLENDON-CHISHOLM

### **KNOW YOUR RISK**









policies totaling approximately \$3,997,100 in coverage

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



in severe repetitive loss in the watershed

# **CITY OF MCLENDON-CHISHOLM**

## **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire on July 31, 2022.

# The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Public awareness programs
- Installation of a warning system and generators

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP) and the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM) allow for the funding of generators at critical facilities. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. Information about <u>FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup></u> can be found on our website, as well as on the <u>Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency</u> <u>Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup></u> website. County emergency managers or the State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

<u>Texas Water Development Board's</u><sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning and engineering designs. Both CWSRF and DFund are long term-fixed interest loans.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

1 https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance. 2 https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants 3. https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/.

# CITY OF MOBILE CITY

## **KNOW YOUR RISK**









policies totaling approximately \$0 in coverage

claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



in severe repetitive loss in the watershed

# CITY OF MOBILE CITY

## **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire on July 31, 2022.

# The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Join National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)
- Public awareness programs
- Implement a debris removal plan

FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. HMGP also offers funding for post disaster code enforcement, including debris removal strategies. The National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) insures structures within the Special Flood Hazard Area, provides post-disaster assistance, and encourages local community regulation. More information about and about joining the NFIP<sup>1</sup> can be found on our website. Grant information is available on the <u>Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and</u> <u>Publications<sup>2</sup></u> website. County emergency managers or the State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

<u>Texas Water Development Board's</u><sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for hazard mitigation planning and engineering designs. Both CWSRF and DFund are long term-fixed interest loans.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

https://www.fema.gov/media-library/assets/documents/13610.
2 https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants
https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/.

# CITY OF ROCKWALL

## **KNOW YOUR RISK**



# 16.6%

Of the community's flood-prone areas during a 1%-annual- chance storm event are located in East Fork watershed



Participating in the National Flood Insurance Program



CNMS Stream Miles in the watershed

50.4



## 31,193

Population based on 2016 ACS in the watershed

### 

Stream Miles Detailed Study in the watershed



Flood-related presidential disaster declarations in your county





4.0% expected population growth from 2010-2021 in the watershed



# **1**20

policies totaling approximately \$33,605,200 in coverage

O claims for structures repeatedly damaged by flood in the watershed



in severe repetitive loss in the watershed

# CITY OF ROCKWALL

## **TAKE ACTION: Potential Next Step**

S SREETE!



Your Hazard Mitigation Plan is set to expire on July 31, 2022.

## The hazard mitigation goals identified projects for:

- Public awareness programs
- Installation of a warning system and generators
- Study and improve drainage utility infrastructure to minimize the impact of stormwater
- Channelize or restore streams
- Achieve "Stormready" Community certification
- Implement tree trimming program

1 https://www.fema.gov/hazard-mitigation-assistance. 2 https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/downloadableforms.htm#hmgpgrants 3. https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/. FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HGMP), the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant (PDM), and TWDB's Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Grant Program all fund localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects including reconstruction of culverts and drainage channels to limit the impact of stormwater on existing infrastructure. HMGP and PDM allow for the funding of generators at critical facilities. There may be eligibility, benefit cost analysis, and cost-share requirements. The 5% Initiative in the HMGP is used for projects for which it may be difficult to conduct a standard BCA to prove cost-effectiveness, such as emergency notification, public awareness, or sirens. HMGP also offers funding for post disaster code enforcement, including community-wide tree trimming strategies. The HMGP also provides financial assistance for flood reduction projects including stream channelization and restoration. Information about <u>FEMA's HMA grants<sup>1</sup> can be</u> found on our website, as well as on the <u>Texas Department of Public Safety's Emergency Management Forms and Publications<sup>2</sup></u> website. County emergency managers or the State Hazard Mitigation Officer may be contacted for additional information.

<u>Texas Water Development Board's</u><sup>3</sup> Flood Protection (FP) Grant, Clean and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF), and Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) provide additional funding or loans for engineering designs. Both CWSRF and DFund are long term-fixed interest loans which can be used for building water quality and green infrastructure. CWSRF and Dfund, offers grant money for flood reduction projects including FIS updates.

The minimum requirements for floodplain regulations are outlined in 44 Code of Federal Regulations 60.3, and local communities may choose to adopt more restrictive codes. FEMA Regional Office VI offers assistance in developing stricter codes, such as regulating construction or elevational changes in the floodplain.

Appendix II: Base Level Engineering Report



## East Fork Trinity Watershed, TX Base Level Engineering (BLE) Results

June 2019

#### **Prepared for:**

North Central Texas Council of Governments 616 Six Flags Dr Arlington, TX 76011

#### Submitted by:

AECOM 9400 Amberglen Blvd Austin, Texas 78729



#### **DOCUMENT HISTORY**

#### **DOCUMENT LOCATION**

#### EAST FORK TRINITY WATERSHED

#### K:/FY2018/18-06-0014S

#### **REVISION HISTORY**

Version Number	Version Date	Summary Changes	Team/Author
1.0	June 2019	Initial Base Level Engineering	AECOM

#### APPROVALS

This document requires the approval of the following persons:

Role	Name	Phone Extension	Title (CLIN/RMC)	Review Date	Approved Date
Technical Lead	Luke Lunsford	512.684.1923	Engineer	June 5, 2019	June 6, 2019
Project Manager	April Smith	512.457.7818	Project Manager	June 6, 2019	June 7, 2019

#### **CLIENT DISTRIBUTION**

Name	Title/Organization	Location
North Central Texas Council of Governments	Director of Environment and Development	616 Six Flags Drive, Arlington, Texas, 76005-5888
Edith Marvin	Director	

### **Table of Contents**

<b>Executive Sur</b>	nmary	
Base Level En	gineering (BLE) Methodology	
1.1	Topographic Data	
	1.1.1 Source Terrain Data	4
	1.1.2 Terrain Data Processing	
1.2	Hydrology	
1.3	Hydraulics	
	1.3.1 Special Considerations	
1.4	Quality Control	
1.5	One-percent Special Flood Hazard Area Delineation	
Challenges		13
	ecommendations	
3.1	CNMS Validation of Effective Zone A SFHA	
3.2	Flood Risk Analysis	
References		23
Appendix A B	LE Maps	A-1

#### **Executive Summary**

FEMA Region VI contracted AECOM through Halff Associates, Inc.'s prime contract to complete a Base Level Engineering (BLE) analysis for one watershed, East Fork Trinity, in central Texas, to support FEMA's Discovery process and validation of effective Zone A Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHAs). The BLE process involves using best available data and incorporating automated techniques with traditional model development procedures to produce regulatory quality flood hazard boundaries for the 1-percent annual chance event as well as estimates of flood hazard boundaries for multiple recurrence intervals.

The source digital terrain data used for surface model development in support of hydrologic and hydraulic analysis as well as mapping activities were leveraged from various local, State and Federal partners. Details regarding the different datasets used are provided below in Section 1.1.

Flood discharges for this study were calculated using both United States Geological Survey (USGS) regression equations and gage analyses, where stream gages with sufficient records exist. Regression equations obtained from the USGS Scientific Investigations Report (SIR) 2009-5087, Regression Equations for Estimation of Annual Peak-Streamflow Frequency for Undeveloped Watersheds in Texas Using an L-moment-Based, Press-Minimized, Residual-Adjusted Approach. The United States Army Corp of Engineers (USACE) Hydrologic Engineering Center's Statistical Software Package (HEC-SSP) version 2.0 was used to perform Flood Frequency Analysis (FFA) for the three gages within in this study.

The Hydrologic Engineering Center's River Analysis System (HEC-RAS) program version 5.0.5 was used to compute water surface elevations on a stream by stream basis. All hydraulic models were computed using 1-D steady state analysis.

The stream mile network that was validated for this watershed was compiled using FEMA's Community Needs Management Strategy (CNMS) inventory. CNMS is an inventory of flood hazard studies and flood hazard mapping needs for areas where a study is needed. This data is helpful for community officials in analyzing and depicting flood hazards to enhance the understanding of flood risks. Communities may use this information to make informed decisions on their planning and flood mitigation efforts.

The inventory of Zone A studies in the East Fork Trinity Watershed includes 25.1 miles that are part of an ongoing detailed study that were not validated. It also includes 2.1 miles that were classified in CNMS based on recent Letters of Map Change (LOMR). Total miles validated in CNMS are summarized in Error! Reference source not found. and illustrated in Figure ES - 1 below.

Zone	Validation Status	Stream Miles
А	VALID	555.6
А	UNVERIFIED	414.1
AE	VALID	418.6
AE	UNKNOWN	0.3
AE	UNVERIFIED	51.7
AO	VALID	0.4
Х	ASSESSED	39.5
Total Miles		1,480.2

#### Table ES - 1: BLE Validation Results



Figure ES - 1: East Fork Trinity River Watershed CNMS Validation Results

An overall risk for the East Fork Trinity River Watershed was calculated using the National Flood Risk Percentages Dataset and its proportional area. The weighted risk was multiplied by the percentage of points in the watershed that failed the CNMS comparison to effective to determine the priority score. Figure ES - 2 below shows the range of the East Fork Trinity River HUC-8 priority scores which can be used to initiate discussions during the Discovery phase. The White House Ridge - East Fork Trinity River HUC-12 was determined to have the highest priority score of 62.6 and the most need while North Mesquite Creek, Rowlett Creek, and South Mesquite Creek all share the lowest score of 0.



Figure ES - 3: Ranking of East Fork Trinity River Watershed HUC-12s

#### **Base Level Engineering (BLE) Methodology**

Recent innovations and efficiencies in floodplain mapping have allowed the U.S. Department of Homeland Security's Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) to develop a process called Base Level Engineering (BLE), which can be used to address current program challenges, including the validation of Zone A studies and the availability of flood risk data in the early stages of a Flood Risk Project. The BLE process involves using best available data and incorporating automated techniques with traditional model development procedures to produce regulatory quality flood hazard boundaries for the 1-percent annual chance event as well as estimates of flood hazard boundaries for multiple recurrence intervals. The cost for developing the data and estimates resulting from the BLE process are lower than standard flood study production costs. The BLE results may be used for eventual production of regulatory and non-regulatory products.

As described in Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter III, Section 4101(e), once every five years, FEMA must evaluate whether the information on Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) reflects the current risks in floodprone areas. FEMA makes this determination of flood hazard data validity by examining flood study attributes and change characteristics, as specified in the Validation Checklist of the Coordinated Needs Management Strategy (CNMS) Technical Reference. The CNMS Validation Checklist provides a series of critical and secondary checks to determine the validity of flood hazard areas studied by detailed methods (e.g., Zone AE, AH, or AO). While the critical and secondary elements in CNMS provide a comprehensive method of evaluating the validity of Zone AE studies, a cost-effective approach for evaluating Zone A studies has been lacking.

In addition to the need for Zone A validation guidance, FEMA standards require flood risk data to be provided in the early stages of a Flood Risk Project. FEMA Program Standard SID #29 requires that during Discovery, data must be identified that illustrates potential changes in flood elevation and mapping that may result from the proposed project scope. If available data does not clearly illustrate the likely changes, an analysis is required that estimates the likely changes. This data and any associated analyses should be shared and results should be discussed with stakeholders.

An important goal of the BLE process is the scalability of the results. Scalability means that the results of a BLE analysis can not only be used for CNMS evaluations of Zone A studies, but can also be leveraged throughout the Risk MAP program. The data resulting from a BLE analysis can be updated as needed and used for the eventual production of regulatory and non-regulatory products, outreach and risk communication, and MT-1 processing. Leveraging this data outside the Risk MAP program may also be valuable to external stakeholders.

FEMA Region VI contracted AECOM through Halff Associates, Inc.'s prime contract to complete a BLE analysis for one HUC-8 watershed, East Fork Trinity River, in North Central Texas, to support FEMA's Discovery process and validation of effective Zone A Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA).

The East Fork Trinity Watershed study area consisted of five HUC-10 basins: Duck Creek, Lake Ray Hubbard, Lavon Lake, Indian Creek, and Pilot Grove Creek. Figure 1 shows the orientation of the East Fork Trinity Watershed HUC-10 basins with respect to the counties.





AECOM studied approximately 1,600 miles of stream reaches within the East Fork of the Trinity River Watershed with a minimum drainage area tolerance of one square mile outside of population centers and one half square mile inside of population centers. Population centers were identified as having a population of greater than 1,000 people. The selection and extent of stream reaches studied was based upon the number of stream miles with minimum drainage area of one square mile (or one half square mile) and not the number of effective Zone A stream miles. Study reaches were extended above this threshold as appropriate to ensure all effective Zone A floodplain received an updated analysis. Topographic data available from the United States Geologic Survey (USGS) was used to determine the hydrologic and hydraulic characteristics of the watershed. The following sections will summarize the BLE process and will discuss the results along with their recommended use.

#### 1.1 Topographic Data

Documentation regarding leverage data and process including coverage, accuracy, acquisition dates, and source contact/agency are presented in the figures, tables and text within this section. All vertical accuracy specifications were obtained from the metadata or survey reports provided with the leverage datasets. All available metadata, survey reports, and other leverage documentation are included in the FEMA Data Capture Technical Reference compliant submittal. Figure 2 shows the extents of the source Digital Terrain Model (DTM) data used for the HUC-8 watershed studied.





#### **1.1.1 Source Terrain Data**

#### 1.1.1.1 2009 StratMap Dallas LiDAR

This dataset was developed by Texas Natural Resource Information System (TNRIS) in the Spring of 2009 as part of the High Priority Imager and Data Sets (HPIDS) contract. It covers the Dallas metroplex at 1 meter spacing. The RMSEz reported for the dataset was 14.6 cm at the 95%

confidence level which meets project accuracy specifications of the National Standard for Spatial Data Accuracy (NSSDA).

#### 1.1.1.2 2010 StratMap Cooke

This dataset was developed by Texas Natural Resource Information System (TNRIS) Counties as part of the High Priority Imager and Data Sets (HPIDS) contract It was collected from May to August of 2010 and covers portions of Cooke, Grayson, and Wise at 4 points/meter. The RMSEz reported for the dataset was 8.1 cm at the 95% confidence level which meets project accuracy specifications of the National Standard for Spatial Data Accuracy (NSSDA).

#### 1.1.1.3 2011 TNRIS LiDAR: North Central Texas

This LiDAR was collected between January and April of 2011, and includes portions of Denton, Collin, Cooke, Grayson, and Kaufman Counties. The LiDAR acquisition area covers 2,373 square miles at 0.4m point spacing. The RMSEz reported for the dataset was 6 cm at the 95% confidence level which meets project accuracy specifications of the NSSDA.

#### 1.1.1.4 2017 TNRIS LiDAR: East Texas

This LiDAR was collected between December and March of 2017, and includes portions of Denton, Collin, Cooke, Grayson, and Kaufman Counties. The LiDAR acquisition area covers 2373 square miles at 0.4m point spacing. The RMSEz reported for the dataset was 6 cm at the 95% confidence level which meets project accuracy specifications of the NSSDA.

#### 1.1.2 Terrain Data Processing

The Watershed Information System (WISE) software platform was utilized in order to create a digital surface model for the East Fork Trinity project area. This module allows source data from a variety of sources to be prioritized based on level of accuracy or preference of the user, and these datasets were used as the data sources for creating the 10-foot and 50-ft DEMs.

The 10-foot DEMs created from the LiDAR datasets described above were compiled in order of vertical accuracy into a mosaic dataset using ArcMap. From this mosaic, a tile index was created for the project area and the mosaic was clipped into 50,000-foot tiles, converted to asciis and imported into Wise Terrain Analyst (WTA). Visual inspection of the 10-foot DEMs was performed to ensure no voids and/or artifacts were present. The DEM surface model was affirmed to be suitable for hydraulic takeoffs and supporting other hydraulic analyses.

Stream centerlines were manually digitized using the 10-foot DEMs as a source for horizontal alignment and vertical elevation. These stream centerlines are created for use in the hydraulic analysis and hydro-enforcement of the 50-foot DEMs. Several routines were then used to take localized elevations from the source topographic data and apply them to the streams. This gave the stream vertices elevation information along the Z axis. The resulting elevations ensure that the streams are lower in elevation than any overbank sumps. A separate routine was then used to ensure that the elevations of these vertices descend in height down to an outfall. The final streams file is then "burned" into the 50-foot DEMs to force flow through structures while preventing it from jumping out of the channel banks.

After the DEM was imported, an additional 50-foot DEM was created from the same mosaic and tile index used for the 10-foot DEM. This 50-foot DEM was used for hydro-enforcement of the project area. Proprietary software was used to identify natural sinks, peaks and flat areas in the 50-foot DEM surface. Elevations of the cells in the DEM were algorithmically calculated and the best path to route flow was determined without filling sinks in the DEM. Once all calculations were completed, the flow was checked confirming that all drainage flowed downstream correctly and routed to outside of the project area.

In addition to the quantitative assessment of the source digital terrain, a qualitative visual inspection of the composite DEM was performed using a hillshade derived from the 10-foot DEM. The visual inspection indicated no unusual or non-terrestrial features were observed in the composite DEM assuring the surface files used for hydrologic and hydraulic analyses and floodplain mapping activities are sufficient for BLE analysis.

#### 1.2 Hydrology

Flood discharges for this study were calculated using both USGS regression equations and gage analysis, where stream gages with sufficient records exist. Regression equations obtained from the USGS Scientific Investigations Report (SIR) 2009-5087 Regression Equations for Estimation of Annual Peak-Streamflow Frequency for Undeveloped Watersheds in Texas Using an L-moment-Based, PRESS-Minimized, Residual-Adjusted Approach (2009) were used for this study.

The WISE computer program was used to delineate drainage basins in shapefile format using the 50-foot DEM. WISE was also used to calculate the main-channel slope and main-channel length for each basin. The basin shapefile attribution was automated by WISE with drainage area, main-channel slope, and precipitation.

The USACE HEC-SSP version 2.0 was used to perform Flood Frequency Analysis (FFA) for three gages within the East Fork Trinity River Watershed.

Table 1 shows the published equations for unregulated streams. In these equations,  $Q_i$  represents peak streamflow for i-recurrence interval (annual chance exceedance (a.c.e.)) in cubic feet per second (cfs), CONTDA is the cumulative drainage area in square miles, S represents the main-channel slope measured between two points along the channel, one at 10 percent of the channel length and the other at 85 percent of the channel length, and PRECIP represents mean annual precipitation in inches.  $\Omega$  is a regression specific parameter that represents generalized terrain and climate indices.

The mean annual precipitation values for the Texas regression equations were determined based on gridded coverage obtained from the PRISM Climate Group, and available for download from the following location: http://www.prism.oregonstate.edu/explorer/

The annual precipitation values reflect data for the climatological period 1981-2000 as recorded in the USGS National Water Information System.

#### Table 1: Summary of Regression Equations in for Undeveloped Watersheds in Texas (SIR 2009-5087)

Recurrence Interval	Equation <sup>1</sup>				
Q10%	$PRECIP^{1.203}S^{0.403} * 10^{[0.908*\Omega + 13.62 - 11.97*CONTDA^{(-0.0289)]}}$				
Q <sub>4%</sub>	$PRECIP^{1.140}S^{0.446} * 10^{[0.945*\Omega + 11.79 - 9.819*CONTDA^{(-0.0374)]}}$				
Q2%	$PRECIP^{1.105}S^{0.476} * 10^{[0.961*\Omega + 11.17 - 8.997*CONTDA^{(-00424)}]}$				
Q1%	$PRECIP^{1.071}S^{0.507} * 10^{[0.969*\Omega + 10.82 - 8.448*CONTDA^{(-0.0467)]}}$				
Q0.2%	$PRECIP^{0.988}S^{0.569} * 10^{[0.976*\Omega + 10.40 - 7.605*CONTDA^{(-0.0554)]}}$				
<sup>1</sup> Variables:	<sup>1</sup> Variables:				
Q <sub>i</sub> peak flow f	or i recurrence interval (a.c.e.), in cubic feet per second;				
CONTDA, Contributing Drainage Area in square miles;					
S, Main-channel Slope (dimensionless);					
PRECIP, Mear	PRECIP, Mean Annual Precipitation in inches;				
Ω, OmegaEM p	arameter				

Discharges for the 1-percent plus and 1-percent minus a.c.e. were calculated as well. These values were computed by multiplying the  $Q_{1\%}$  discharges by 1.995 and 0.501 respectively, which account for the log10 format residual standard error of 0.30 asstociated with the  $Q_{1\%}$  regression equation.

Drainage area for each sub-basin was determined based on automated basin delineations performed in WISE. Basin break points were set by the user with a sub-basin target of one square mile (outside population centers) in size. This criterion was adjusted for streams with larger drainage areas in order to avoid excessive and unnecessary discharge breaks. Break points were also set just upstream of stream confluences. Cumulative drainage area was determined based on these automated delineations performed by WISE, in combination with a stream connectivity routine that defined the stream reach segments with upstream and downstream neighbors.

The sub-basin shapefile was attributed with the computed discharges as part of the automated script. From the sub-watershed basin shapefile the discharges were incorporated into the HEC-RAS models using an automated routine in WISE. Discharges, as well as water surface elevation results, were associated with the hydraulic cross sections prior to generation of floodplain boundaries and grid mapping. Those results are available in GIS format as part of this BLE submittal package.

The USGS stream gages in the East Fork of the Trinity River HUC-8 watershed listed in Table 2 and shown in Figure 3, were used to locally adjust regression flows.

HUC-8 Watershed	Gage ID	Flooding Source and Location	Computed Drainage Area (mi²)	Published Drainage Area (mi²)	Period of Record
East Fork of	08061540	Rowlett Ck nr Sachse	121.5	121	1969-2017
the Trinity	08061700	Duck Ck nr Garland	32.0	31.6	1958-1992
River 08059400		Sister Grove Ck nr Blue Ridge	83.0	83.1	1976-2017





Figure 3: USGS Gage Stations incorporate within the East Fork of the Trinity River Watershed

FFA was performed in accordance with Bulletin 17B guidelines for the gages on Rowlett Creek near Sachse (08061540), on Duck Creek near Garland (08061700) and on Sister Grove Creek near Blue Ridge (08059400). Similar to the regression analysis results, the discharges used on these streams are associated with the hydraulic cross sections in the GIS shapefiles.

#### **1.2.1 Special Considerations**

It is important to note the location of the two large reservoirs, Lavon Lake and Lake Ray Hubbard, on the main stem of the East Fork of the Trinity River. Not only do these flood control structures impact main stem flow rates, but their use of independent gates means that a general regression equation approximation of elevation discharge data cannot be used to accurately estimate discharges.

For the purpose of this study main stem flows in this area were provide by the USACE as shown in the table below:

Model XS		R6 FY17 RTO - East Fork - Preliminary Discharges						
Station	Stream Location	10YR	25YR	50YR	1p_minus*	100YR	1p_plus*	500YR
	Downstream of							
148476.629	Lake Ray Hubbard	26000	38000	48700	31012	61900	123491	107200
139368.038	At US-80	30100	43800	56200	35771	71400	142443	123400
	At Union Pacific							
125096.439	Railroad	28300	43100	57800	37525	74900	149426	131100
103269.765	At IH-20	25300	38100	52900	35721	71300	142244	126500
63355.408	At US-175	23500	33700	46900	31262	62400	124488	109200
20542.241	At Confluence with Trinity Main	20600	29100	39100	25902	51700	103142	80800
	Note: Discharges re	present	prelimina	ary HMS	results from <b>u</b>	iniform r	ainfall simu	ation
	from USACE.							

#### Table 3 - East Fork of the Trinity River Main Stem Flows

\* 1p\_minus and 1p\_plus flows were calculated from the provided 100YR recurrence interval using the 0.501/1.995 Standard Error ratios described in the previous section

#### **1.3 Hydraulics**

The hydraulic approach used for this BLE analysis for the East Fork of the Trinity River Watershed consisted of using the terrain model described in Section 1.1 in combination with the developed hydrologic inputs described in Section 1.2 to establish water surface elevations using 1-D steady state analysis. The Hydrologic Engineering Center's River Analysis System (HEC-RAS) program version 5.0.5 was selected to compute water surface elevations for each stream. The WISE computer program was used to establish model stream orientation, initial hydraulic cross section layout and stationing, assign n-values to cross sections, and to develop all input files for the HEC-RAS program. ESRI's ArcMap program was used to review and refine cross section layout orientation.

An initial cross section layout was performed using an automated routine in WISE based on the drainage area at the cross section location. A draft model was developed using this initial cross section layout and draft boundaries were developed. Next, cross sections were inspected and refined. To improve the hydraulic models, additional cross sections were added as needed to better define the BLE floodplain boundary and extended where overtopping occurred. Orientation of cross sections was also improved if parallel to flow. Additional cross sections were added at floodplain constrictions and at downstream portions of tributaries to ensure a proper tie-in with receiving streams. Cross sections were also adjusted to remove hydraulic crossings in the floodplain. It is estimated that 95 percent of cross-sections were adjusted in some work areas while other areas did not require as much editing.

Cross sections were not drawn on top of roadways or railroads but were placed at the upstream and downstream face of major roads and railroads. Ineffective flow stations were placed in the hydraulic models as appropriate to account for flow constrictions as well as at locations deemed by the engineer to be ineffective at conveying flow downstream.

Cross sections were drawn on dam tops for flood control identified dams in order to better represent ponded water upstream of the structures. It was assumed in doing this that the vast majority of the flow during a flood event would pass through the spillway and that the hydraulic model would reasonably estimate flow across the spillway as represented in the hydraulic cross section.

Significant effort was made to start all tributaries below the receiving water surface elevations but this was not always achieved, particularly in wide, flat floodplains where small tributaries ran parallel to large streams or where road crossings or dams interfered with cross section alignments. In some cases, tributary slopes or roughness coefficients were adjust to develop a tie in difference of less than 0.5 feet.

The relationship between drainage area and assigned channel geometry is shown in Table 4. These default values for dimensions and spacing are subject to change based on the details noted above as well as the judgment of the responsible engineer.

Drainage area (upper limit)	Channel Top Width	Channel Bottom Width	Channel Depth
1	9	7.2	0.5
2	10.2	8.4	0.5
4	12	10.2	0.5
8	13.8	12.6	0.5
10	15	13.2	0.5
15	16.4	14.6	0.5
20	19.2	17.4	0.5
25	21.2	19.5	0.5
30	24	22.3	0.5
40	26.5	24.9	0.5
50	29	25.7	1
75	31.4	27.9	1
100	34	31.1	1

Table 4: Cross Section Parameters for East Fork of the Trinity River

Drainage area (upper limit)	Channel Top Width	Channel Bottom Width	Channel Depth
150	42	39.0	1
250	58	54.5	2
500	66	62.4	2
1000	66	62.4	3
2000	150	140	3
5000	1575	1565	3
999999	2000	1990	4

Manning's roughness coefficients (n-values) were determined using the 2011 National Land Cover Data (NLCD) dataset in combination with n-values from Chow (1959) and Calenda, et al. (2005). The association between the n-values and the NLCD Classification is shown in Table 5. Manning's n-value takeoffs were performed by WISE and the n-values were adjusted in some locations based on engineering judgment. N-values within channel banks were limited by the automated routine to a range of 0.030 to 0.070.

NLCD Classification	Minimum	Normal	Maximum	Source
Open Water	0.025	0.03	0.033	Chow 1959
Developed, Open Space	0.01	0.013	0.016	Calenda, et al. 2005
Developed, Low Intensity	0.038	0.05	0.063	Calenda, et al. 2005
Developed, Medium Intensity	0.056	0.075	0.094	Calenda, et al. 2005
Developed, High Intensity	0.075	0.1	0.125	Calenda, et al. 2005
Barren Land	0.025	0.03	0.035	Chow 1959
Deciduous Forest	0.1	0.12	0.16	Chow 1959
Evergreen Forest	0.1	0.12	0.16	Chow 1959
Mixed Forest	0.1	0.12	0.16	Chow 1959
Scrub/Shrub	0.035	0.05	0.07	Chow 1959
Grassland/Herbaceous	0.025	0.03	0.035	Chow 1959
Pasture/Hay	0.03	0.04	0.05	Chow 1959
Cultivated Crops	0.025	0.035	0.045	Chow 1959
Woody Wetlands	0.08	0.1	0.12	Chow 1959
Emergent Herbaceous Wetland	0.075	0.1	0.15	Chow 1959

Table 5: Manning's "n" Roughness Based on 2011 NLCD Classification (Moore, 2011)

The boundary condition used for the majority of the study streams was normal depth with a default value of 0.005 ft/ft. For streams with large drainage areas (generally greater than 8 square miles), the normal depth slope was calculated based on the HEC-RAS profile invert.

#### **1.3.1 Special Considerations**

Using the above Manning's "n" values, gave exaggerated velocities for a number of streams in the East Fork of the Trinity River Watershed, which calculated the 10-percent water surface elevation (WSEL) to be higher than the 1-percent WSEL and/or the 1-percent WSEL to be higher than the 0.2-percent WSEL. To compensate for these inaccuracies, the Manning's "n" values were adjusted, as necessary, to ensure the 10-percent WSEL produced were less than the 1-percent WSEL and the 1-percent WSEL and the 1-percent WSEL were less than the 0.2-percent WSEL.

Rather than attempting to model the Lavon Lake and Lake Ray Hubbard structures hydraulically, or forcing models to match existing flood pool elevations, this study only incorporates the dam structures hydraulically with top of dam cross section approximations. At the time of writing, January of 2019, a detailed study is being developed of the main stem of the East Fork of the Trinity River downstream of Lake Ray Hubbard. This BLE study incorporated draft cross sections developed for that detailed study for the portion of the East Fork of the Trinity River downstream of Lake Ray Hubbard. This because the trinity River downstream of Lake Ray Hubbard. This because the trinity River downstream of Lake Ray Hubbard. This detailed study should also incorporate detailed structural information for both dams, and must be relied on for more accurate main stem water surface elevations.

#### 1.4 Quality Control

Initial mapping results were reviewed by separate consultants to identify areas of inconsistency or places where the models could be improved. In some cases this quality control (QC) review indicated models should be extended to cover the scope of effective flood hazard data. Those streams were extended farther upstream to match the extents of the SFHA data. Other potential improvements included adding cross-sections, adjusting orientation of cross sections, trimming cross sections and reduction of the default "V" angle of cross sections. Examples of default "V" angled cross sections are shown in Figure 4.

A major component of the QC process was an automated check that identified locations where the 1-percent a.c.e profile was crossed by another frequency or by the 1-percent plus or 1-percent minus profile. Significant effort was made to reasonably resolve these crossings. Another automated check identified locations with a 1-percent a.c.e. water surface profile drawdown of greater than 0.5 foot. An associated check was performed by isolated all areas of slope change in the 1-percent a.c.e. water surface profile greater than 5 percent. Again, significant effort was made to reasonably resolve these drawdown situations.



Figure 4: Default "V" angle cross-sections automated by WISE (left). Manually edited cross-sections to more accurately capture terrain (right). Resulting flood boundaries shown in gold (left) or purple (right) for clarity.



Figure 5: Manually added cross-sections (green) to improve accuracy of tie-ins at confluences.

#### 1.5 One-percent Special Flood Hazard Area Delineation

The 1-percent and 0.2-percent boundaries were mapped using a routine that develops water surface elevation grids based on the 10-foot cell size DEM developed from the LiDAR dataset used for this project (See Section 1.1). This product was converted to a polygon for cleaning. The cleaning routine involved manual inspection of the polygons to identify and remove areas of disconnected flooding. In general, areas with a size of less than 5,000 square feet were removed and all others were investigated to determine whether they should be considered as potentially part of the SFHA. This investigation was aided by the ground DEM and aerial imagery. Manual adjustments to the polygons were made to account for spillways on dams which could not be accurately modeled using HEC-RAS as well as disconnected areas along the flooding source that should reasonably be connected.

Following the removal of disconnected flooding areas and other boundary adjustments, the small islands in the floodplain were filled. Islands with a size between roughly 5,000 and 30,000 square feet were inspected and, in general, islands that were less than 10,000 square feet were filled.

Once the island filling process was complete, the water surface raster mapping routine was run and set to conform to the polygon boundary. This ensures that the water surface raster and the floodplain boundary are consistent with each other. The depth raster product was created at the end of the process by performing a raster subtraction with the water surface elevation raster and the ground DEM.

#### Challenges

Challenges encountered during BLE analyses will vary based on available data on which to run the analysis. The watershed analysis presented challenges as summarized in the following paragraphs.

As noted in Section 1.3 above, significant effort was made to start all tributaries below the receiving water surface elevations but this was not always achieved, particularly in wide, flat floodplains where small tributaries ran parallel to large streams or where road crossings or dams interfered with cross section alignments.

Parallel streams with shared floodplains were modeled by moving the combined discharge upstream to the cross section that begins the shared floodplain.

An effort was made to bend cross-sections to follow basin boundaries. Some areas around tributaries of the East Fork of the Trinity River appeared to overflow into other basins and indicate an overestimation of conveyance, the modeling of which is beyond the scope of this BLE project. Cross-sections for these areas were revised to avoid overflow and will require further analysis for more detailed studies.

Significant effort was made to contain the flooding events, but this was not always achieved due to particularly wide, flat floodplains and where small tributaries ran parallel to large streams or where road crossings interfered with cross section alignments. If cross sections were extended past the basin boundary in an attempt to contain the flooding, the flooding often shifted completely off of the streamline due to the flat terrain and/or parallel streams. Cross sections were drawn to basin boundaries in an effort to accurately map flooding.

#### **Results and Recommendations**

The BLE results for this study produced a SFHA that compares reasonably well with the effective SFHA. Differences are attributed to the updated topographic data used as well as the approximate methods used. BLE boundaries also provide an additional estimated SFHA in areas that do not currently have a SFHA mapped. These results provide context for flood risk communication as part of the Discovery process, and should be verified through community work map meetings before being applied to a regulatory product.

A map showing the BLE results is included as Appendix A.

#### 3.1 CNMS Validation of Effective Zone A SFHA

The majority of the inventory of Zone A studies (555.6 miles) in the East Fort Trinity HUC-8 was classified in CNMS with a validation status of "VALID" and status type of "BEING STUDIED." The following is a summary of the results of the CNMS validation assessment for the effective Zone A studies in the study area. Initial Assessment checks A1-A3 were evaluated for the CNMS inventory of Zone A studies.

#### **INITIAL ASSESSMENT A1 – SIGNIFICANT TOPOGRAPHY UPDATE CHECK**

This check involves determining whether a topographic data source is available that is significantly better than what was used for the effective Zone A modeling and mapping. For the study area in East Fork Trinity Watershed, the effective Zone A topographic data leveraged varied from county to county based upon when the effective study was performed. In most cases, the topography listed in Section 1.1 of this report represents a significant improvement from the assumed effective Zone A topographic source. Therefore, this element was set to FAIL for these reaches. However, the studies in the southern half of the watershed were set to PASS this element. The LiDAR available in this area is a significant improvement over the topography used in the effective studies, but it does not meet Quality Level 2 (QL2) standards.

#### **INITIAL ASSESSMENT A2 – CHECK FOR SIGNIFICANT HYDROLOGY CHANGES**

This check involves determining whether new regression equations have become available from the USGS since the date of the effective Zone A analysis. If newer regression equations exist for the area of interest, then an engineer must determine whether these regression equations would significantly affect the 1-percent annual chance flow.

Generally, the hydrologic methods used for the effective studies are not provided in the FIS Reports and are unknown. Therefore, this element was set to PASS for these reaches. In reaches included in LOMR updates where the effective hydrologic methods are known, none of the known methods included regression equations. Therefore, this element was set to PASS for these reaches as well.

#### **INITIAL ASSESSMENT A3 – CHECK FOR SIGNIFICANT DEVELOPMENT**

This check involves using the National Urban Change Indicator (NUCI) dataset to assess increased urbanization in the watershed of the Zone A study. If the percentage of urban area within the HUC-12 watershed containing the effective Zone A study is 15% or more, and has increased by 50% or more since the effective analysis, the study would fail this check. Although the NUCI data provide year-to-year changes in urbanization, the NLCD also is needed to establish a baseline of urban land cover for this analysis. The check for significant development in this watershed was completed by evaluating percentage of urban change at the HUC-12 level.

The HUC-12 watersheds in the study area are classified as rural and urban. For reaches located in rural HUC-12 watersheds, this element was set to PASS. The urban areas are generally located near Dallas, TX and are located in Collin, Dallas, Rockwall and Kauffman Counties. The percent increase of impervious area within the urban HUC-12 watersheds varied. For watersheds that increased by greater than 50%, this element was set to PASS.

Assessment Check	Pass / Fail	Notes
A1 – Topography	Pass/Fail	New LiDAR available does not meet QL2 standards/LiDAR available is a significant improvement from effective topo source
A2 – Hydrology	Pass	Not known if regression used in effective study or regression known not to be used in effective study
A3- Development	Pass/Fail	Rural or less than 50% IA increase HUC-12/Greater than 50% IA increase HUC-12

#### **Table 6: Zone A Initial Assessment Results**

#### VALIDATION CHECK A4 - CHECK OF STUDIES BACKED BY TECHNICAL DATA

Zone A studies that pass all initial assessment checks described above may be categorized as "Valid" in the CNMS Inventory only if the effective Zone A study is supported by modeling or sound engineering judgment and all regulatory products are in agreement. If the effective Zone A study passes all initial assessment checks, but is not supported by modeling or if the original engineering method used is unsupported or undocumented, the Refined Zone A Engineering comparison is performed.

The streams within Rockwall County (CO\_FIPS = 48397) were included in post-Map Modernization studies (2003 or newer) and are assumed to be backed by technical data. Therefore, this element was set to PASS for these reaches. The streams included in LOMR updates were included in post-Map Modernization studies (2003 or newer) and are known to be model-backed. Therefore, this element was set to PASS for these reaches as well. The streams that were not included in LOMR updates and fall within Grayson, Farrin, Collin, Dallas, or Kauffman Counties are not model-backed or supported by technical data. Therefore, this element was set to FAIL for these reaches.

#### VALIDATION CHECK A5 - COMPARISON OF BLE AND EFFECTIVE ZONE A

The BLE /effective Zone A comparison method leverages the existing Floodplain Boundary Standard (FBS) certification procedures described in FEMA SID #113, but with a slight
modification. This modified FBS comparison approach uses the 1-percent plus and 1-percent minus flood profiles and horizontal and vertical tolerances described in FEMA's Automated Engineering guidance document dated May 2016. For the comparison of BLE and effective Zone A in the Texas study area, the following vertical and horizontal tolerances were used to conduct the modified FBS procedure. One point was placed every 200 feet along the floodplain boundaries for comparison.

Vertical Tolerance: +/- 10 feet (one-half contour interval of assumed effective topographic source).

Horizontal Tolerance: +/- 75 feet (standard horizontal tolerance for BLE comparison testing).

Comparison results for these streams were grouped at the HUC-12 level and are summarized in Table 7 below to better understand the general health of the HUC-12 watershed, but the validation check was performed at the stream level. Streams where the percentage of passing FBS sample points is greater than or equal to 85% are marked as "Pass", otherwise marked as "Fail".

HUC-12 Watershed						BLE	
Watershed Name	Watershed Number	Total FBS points	Fail	Pass	%Pass	Comparison Pass? (>85%)	Priority Score
East Fork Trinity	All Streams	53,661	8,220	45,441	84.7%	Fail	
Anthony Branch-Buffalo Creek	120301060506	1652	471	1181	71.5%	Fail	20.5
Arnold Creek	120301060102	3404	624	2780	81.7%	Fail	10.7
Bear Creek-Indian Creek	120301060101	2996	363	2633	87.9%	Pass	8.8
Brown Branch Rowlett Creek	120301060406	60	6	54	90.0%	Pass	9.5
Camp Creek-Lake Ray Hubbard	120301060401	3627	662	2965	81.7%	Fail	16.6
Clemons Creek-East Fork Trinity River	120301060205	3155	536	2619	83.0%	Fail	15.0
Cottonwood Creek-East Fork Trinity River	120301060402	2127	365	1762	82.8%	Fail	15.3
Desert Creek-Pilot Grove Creek	120301060105	2161	195	1966	91.0%	Pass	6.0
Duck Creek	120301060501	12	0	12	100.0%	Pass	0.0
Elm Creek-Lavon Lake	120301060305	2595	755	1840	70.9%	Fail	26.2
Headwaters Pilot Grove Creek	120301060104	2920	564	2356	80.7%	Fail	9.7
Headwaters Rowlett Creek	120301060404	387	7	380	98.2%	Pass	7.9
Headwaters Sister Grove Creek	120301060302	2690	227	2463	91.6%	Pass	6.7
Honey Creek	120301060204	5520	525	4995	90.5%	Pass	8.3
Long Branch-Buffalo Creek	120301060502	3935	715	3220	81.8%	Fail	16.5

#### **Table 7: BLE Comparison Results**

HUC-12 Watershed						BLE	
Watershed Name	Watershed Number	Total FBS points	Fail	Pass	%Pass	Comparison Pass? (>85%)	Priority Score
Lower Wilson Creek	120301060207	1188	153	1035	87.1%	Pass	12.4
Muddy Creek-Lake Ray Hubbard	120301060403	1422	244	1178	82.8%	Fail	17.6
Mustang Creek-East Fork Trinity River	120301060505	1474	615	859	58.3%	Fail	36.5
North Mesquite Creek-East Fork Trinity River	120301060503	612	189	423	69.1%	Fail	27.2
Pittman Creek-Spring Creek	120301060407	124	5	119	96.0%	Pass	3.8
Pot Rack Creek-Indian Creek	120301060103	1999	112	1887	94.4%	Pass	4.9
Price Creek-Lavon Lake	120301060307	1399	549	850	60.8%	Fail	35.3
Rowlett Creek-East Fork Trinity River	120301060409	531	181	350	65.9%	Fail	27.8
Rowlett Creek-Lake Ray Hubbard	120301060408	70	0	70	100.0%	Pass	0.0
Sister Grove Creek-Pilot Grove Creek	120301060304	1839	199	1640	89.2%	Pass	9.7
South Mesquite Creek	120301060504	88	23	65	73.9%	Fail	24.8
Squirrel Creek-East Fork Trinity River	120301060201	3623	724	2899	80.0%	Fail	13.4
Stiff Creek-Sister Grove Creek	120301060303	1607	66	1541	95.9%	Pass	3.8
Throckmorton Creek-East Fork Trinity River	120301060203	1143	100	1043	91.3%	Pass	8.7
Ticky Creek-Lavon Lake	120301060306	922	125	797	86.4%	Pass	13.8
Town of Allen-Cottonwood Creek	120301060405	150	13	137	91.3%	Pass	8.3
Upper Wilson Creek	120301060206	2062	147	1915	92.9%	Pass	7.0
West Prong Sister Grove Creek	120301060301	2925	543	2382	81.4%	Fail	10.5
White House Ridge-East Fork Trinity River	120301060507	638	305	333	52.2%	Fail	29.9
White Rock Creek-Levon Lake	120301060208	3379	554	2825	83.6%	Fail	13.3
Whites Creek-East Fork Trinity River	120301060202	3835	516	3319	86.5%	Pass	10.3

#### **VALIDATION RESULTS**

Based on the validation assessments and BLE comparison results described above, the CNMS inventory of Zone A studies in the East Fork Trinity Watershed has been updated as summarized in Table 8 and illustrated in Figure 6: below.

Zone	Validation Status	Stream Miles	
А	VALID	555.6	
А	UNVERIFIED	414.1	
AE	VALID	418.6	
AE	UNKNOWN	0.3	
AE	UNVERIFIED	51.7	
AO	VALID	0.4	
Х	ASSESSED	39.5	
Total Miles		1,480.2	

#### **Table 8: BLE Validation Results**



Figure 6: East Fork Trinity Watershed CNMS Validation Results

An overall risk for the HUC-12 watershed was calculated using the National Flood Risk Percentages Dataset and its proportional area. The weighted risk was multiplied by the percentage of points in the watershed that failed the CNMS comparison to effective to determine the priority score. Figure 7: below shows the range of the East Fork Trinity HUC-12 priority scores which can be used to initiate discussions during the Discovery phase. The White House Ridge - East Fork Trinity River HUC-12 was determined to have the highest priority score of 62.6 and the most need while North Mesquite Creek, Rowlett Creek, and South Mesquite Creek all share the lowest score of 0.



Figure 7: Ranking of East Fork Trinity Watershed HUC-12s

#### 3.2 Flood Risk Analysis

An advanced flood risk analysis was performed using the updated 1-percent-annual-chance grid (known as 'refined' grid) created for this project. The loss analysis uses 2010 census data and the subsequent results are stored in the L\_RA\_Results table.

Hazus version 4.0 was used for the loss analysis.

The losses are reported via census blocks. It is important to note that Hazus version 4.0 uses dasymetric census blocks. Dasymetric mapping removes undeveloped areas (such as areas covered by other bodies of water, wetlands, or forests) from the Census blocks, changing their shape and reducing their size in these areas. For more information on dasymetric data visit FEMA's <u>Media Library</u> for the <u>Hazus-MH Data Inventories: Dasymetric vs. Homogenous</u>, or <u>Hazus 3.0 Dasymetric Data Overview</u>.

#### References

- 1. Watershed Concepts, a Division of Hayes, Seay, Mattern & Mattern. *Watershed Information System (WISE,)* Version.4.1.0. 2008
- 2. USGS, "Estimating Magnitude and Frequency of Floods Using PeakFQ Program: USGS Fact Sheet", 2006.
- 3. USGS, "Regression equations for estimation of annual peak-streamflow frequency for undeveloped watersheds in Texas using an L-moment-based, PRESS-minimized, residual-adjusted approach", Scientific Investigations Report 2009-5087, 2009
- 4. USGS. Multi-Resolution Land Characteristics Consortium. *National Land Cover Database* 2011. (http://www.mrlc.gov/nlcd2011.php).
- 5. Chow, Ven T. "Development of Uniform Flow and It Formulas." *Open Channel Hydraulics*. Caldwell, NJ: Blackburn, 1959. 109-113. Print.
- 6. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Hydrologic Engineering Center. (January 2010). <u>HEC-RAS River</u> <u>Analysis System</u>, Version 4.1.0. Davis, California.
- FEMA, "Guidance for Flood Risk Analysis and Mapping Automated Engineering", May 2016. (<u>https://www.fema.gov/media-library-data/1469144112748-</u> <u>f3c4ecd90cb927cd200b6a3e9da80d8a/Automated\_Engineering\_Guidance\_May\_2016.pdf</u>).
- 8. USGS, Interagency Advisory Committee on Water Data. "Guidelines for determining Flood Flow Frequency", Bulletin #17B of the Hydrology Subcommittee, Revised September 1981, Editorial Corrections March 1982. Reston, VA.
- USGS, USACE, Bureau of Reclamation, Advisory Committee on Water Information.
   "Guidelines for determining Flood Flow Frequency", Bulletin #17C, Techniques and Methods 4-BXX, DRAFT: December 29, 2015.
- 10. Calenda, G.C., C.P. Mancini, and E. Volpi. "Distribution of Extreme Peak Floods of the Tiber River from the XV Century." *Advances in Water Resources*. 2005: 615-625.

# Appendix A BLE Maps



Appendix III: Additional Data

# **Discovery Figures**

Figure 01: HUC Locator Map Figure 02: Federal House Congressional Districts Figure 03: State House Congressional Districts Figure 04: State Senate Congressional Districts Figure 05: Population Density Figure 06: Land Use Figure 07: Urban Cover Figure 08: Population Change Figure 09: Flood Hazard Map Figure 10: Topographic Data Figure 11: High Water Marks and Low Water Crossings Figure 12: Repetitive Loss (RL) and Severe Repetitive Loss (SRL) Claims Figure 13: Flood Risk – Potential Losses Figure 14: Population Vulnerability Figure 15: HUC-12 Watershed Prioritizations Figure 16: Community Rating System (CRS) Eligible Communities Map Figure 17: Stream Study Request Figure 18: Pre-Discovery Map Figure 19: Discovery Map Figure 20: Post-Discovery Map Figure 21: HUC-12 Watershed Prioritizations and Potential Projects









**County Seat** ۲

----- East Fork Trinity River

Other Streams

Major Highways

Watershed Boundary: HUC-8

Lakes

ረገ **Discovery Counties** 

**County Boundaries** ፈገ

# State Senate District (2018)

District 2

**District 8** 

District 16

District 23

District 30



**State Senate** 

**Congressional Districts** 





Cities ۲

East Fork Trinity River

- Other Streams
  - Major Highways

### Lakes

**Discovery Counties** 

Watershed Boundary: HUC-8

**County Boundaries** ፈገ











\*Source: 2010 U.S. Census, 2016 American Community Survey



# **Population Density**

EAST FORK TRINITY WATERSHED

September 30, 2019



 $\mathbb{A}$ 





<ul> <li>Watershed Boundary: HUC-8</li> <li>NCTCOG Boundary</li> <li>Discovery Counties</li> </ul>	Ellis County	[175]	
Map Symbology		Figure 7:	
Cities     Urb	an Cover (2011 NLCD)		

# **Urban Cover**



East Fork Trinity River

Other Streams

Major Highways

Watershed Boundary: HUC-8

Lakes

ረጋ **Discovery Counties County Boundaries** ረገ

Urban Cover (2011 NLCD)

- 1 Undeveloped
- 2 Farmland
- 3 Developed, Low Intensity
- 4 Developed, Medium Intensity
- 5 Developed, High Intensity

\*NLCD is the National Land Cover Dataset created by the U.S. Geological Survey.





Watershed Boundary: HUC-8
NCTCOG Boundary
Discovery Counties

- Cities
- ----- East Fork Trinity River
- ·── Other Streams
  - Major Highways



- Watershed Boundary: HUC-8
- Lakes



County Boundaries

#### Effective FEMA Floodplains (2019)

Zone A (100-Year, Approximate)

Ellis County

- Zone AE (100-Year, Detailed)
- Zone AO (100-Year, Detailed)
- Zone X (500-Year, Detailed)



# Figure 9:

[175]

# **Flood Hazard Map**







Watershed Boundary: HUC-8
NCTCOG Boundary
Discovery Counties

- Cities
- ----- East Fork Trinity River
  - Other Streams
    - Major Highways
- 3
- Watershed Boundary: HUC-8
- Lakes



County Boundaries

#### Available Topography Data

- 2009 TNRIS Dallas County LiDAR
- 2010 TRNIS Cooke, Grayson, Montague, & Wise County LiDAR
- 2011 TRNIS Collin, Denton, & Kaufman County LiDAR

**Ellis County** 

- 2017 TNRIS East Texas
- USGS Topo
- 2018 Texas Water Development Board

# Figure 10:Dependent of the second s

[175]















Lakes



ረጋ

ረገ

Watershed Boundary: HUC-8

**Discovery Counties** 

**County Boundaries** 

**RL/SRL Claims (2018 FEMA)** 

1 2 - 4

5 - 6 7 - 18

0

74 - 100

**Repetitive Loss (RL) & Severe Repetitive Loss (SRL) Claims** EAST FORK TRINITY WATERSHED September 30, 2019 FEMA **North Central Texas** 

6

0

**Council of Governments** 

12 Miles

Ν

 $\mathbb{A}$ 





Watershed Boundary: HUC-8
NCTCOG Boundary
Discovery Counties

#### Ellis County

# Map Symbology

- Cities
- ----- East Fork Trinity River
- Other Streams
  - Major Highways



- Watershed Boundary: HUC-8
- Lakes
- Discovery Counties
- County Boundaries

•	Ilation Vulnerability to 1% Flood Texas Hazard Mitigation Package)
	Very Low
	Low
	Medium
	High
	Very High



[175]



	Watershed Boundary: HUC-8	
C	NCTCOG Boundary	~~~1
	Discovery Counties	

Cities

East Fork Trinity River

·── Other Streams

Major Highways



ረጉ

Watershed Boundary: HUC-8



**Discovery Counties** 

County Boundaries



Ellis County







\*Based on TWDB MNA Criteria.



[175]









ረጋ

Watershed Boundary: HUC-8

Lakes





**FEMA** North Central Texas Council of Governments



# Figure 18: Pre-Discovery Map



Beast Available Topography 2018		Lake Ray			City of Blue Ridge	481628 0.2	0.1%	
Cooke Grayson		Hubbard Heath			City of Celina City of Dallas Town of Fairview City of Farmersville City of Frisco	4801330.048017138.54810691.44816270.24801340.3	0.0% 15.2% 0.5% 0.1%	
					City of Garland City of Lavon City of Lowry Crossing	485471       6.7         481313       0.1         481631       0.4	2.6% 0.1% 0.1%	
Denton		Sunnyvale			City of Lucas City of McKinney	481545     0.9       480135     7.6       481626     0.2	0.4%	
Hunt					City of Melissa City of Murphy City of Nevada	481626       0.3         480137       0.4         481657       0.0	0.1% 0.2% 0.0%	
					Town of New Hope	480138     0.1       480139     1.0	0.0%	
	X				City of Plano City of Princeton Town of Prosper	480140     4.4       480757     0.6       480141     0.6	0.2% 0.2%	
Tarrant Delles Rockwall Rains Dallas		Mesquite Formey			City of Richardson	480184     1.3       480186     1.5	0.5%	
Dallas			Kauf	man	own of Saint Paul City of Van Alstyne City of Weston	481318       0.0         481620       0.0         481324       0.3	0.0% 0.0% 0.1%	
Kaufman Van Zandt					City of Wylie Dallas County	480759       18.7         480165       1.1	7.3% 0.4%	
Johnson Ellie		Talty			City of Balch Springs	480166     0.1       485490     7.3	0.0%	
Johnson Ellis		Seanoville			City of Rowlett City of Seagoville Town of Sunnyvale	480185       1.6         480187       3.2         480188       3.3	0.6%	
Best Available Topography-As of June 2018			ζ		annin County	480807         3.5           480812         0.1	1.3% 1.4% 0.1%	
Elevation Data County/Counties					City of Trenton Grayson County	480814         0.1           480829         14.6	0.0%	
TNRIS 2009 Dallas		Crandall	>		City of Dorchester City of Gunter Town of Howe	481309       0.0         480832       0.1         480833       0.0	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	
TNRIS 2010       Cooke, Grayson, Montague, Wise				(	City of Tom Bean	480833     0.0       481621     0.0       480363     3.5	0.0%	
TNRIS 2011     Collin, Denton, Kaufman       TNRIS 2017     Fast Taxas		Combine		1	Caufman County	480411         31.3           480408         0.2	12.3% 0.1%	
TNRIS 2017East TexasUSGS TOPOFannin					City of Crandall City of Forney	480409       0.6         480410       1.3	0.2% 0.5%	
2018 Texas Water Development Board Collin, Dallas		<u> </u>		1	City of Heath Town of Talty	480545       1.4         480388       N/A         480543       1.6	0.5% N/A	
TNRIS- Texas Natural Resources Information System				(	Rockwall County City of Fate City of McLendon-Chisholm	480543     1.6       480544     0.1       480546     0.2	0.0%	
USGS- United States Geological Survey		37			City of Mobile City City of Rockwall	480074         N/A           480547         2.9	N/A 1.1%	
	Ellis			L	*Floo	dplain area in East Fork Trinity Wate		
							Van Z	a ndt
Miles           0         2.5         5         10								
Map Symbology		WATERSHED LOCATOR						
<ul> <li>USGS Gages</li> <li>Effective FEMA Floodplains*</li> </ul>			m					
LOMC Floodway		Bois D'arc-Isla	and				ANCE PRO	GRAIN
<ul> <li>High Water Marks</li> <li>Zone AE (100-Year, Detailed)</li> </ul>		S S	فمحمه	Disco	verv N	Jap		
Low Water Marks Zone A (100-Year, Approximate)			Sulphur Headwaters					
× Dams		Elm Fork Trinity East Fork Trinity 12030106					RSHED, TEX	AS
Lake Effective Streams Study Type*			Junto	Stream Miles: 1, Zone AE Miles: 49				
City Boundaries Zone AE (New/Revised Detailed, SFHA w/			Lake	Zone A Miles: 10				
County Boundaries ~~ Zone AO (New/Revised Detailed, SFHA w/ Katershed Boundary ~~ Zone A (Approximate, SFHA w/ Low Risk)	<b>-</b> <i>i</i>	Denton Upper S	Sabine	Zone X Miles: 15				
<b>Transportation</b> Zone X (Unshaded, X-Zones, Areas of Min			La contra c	Population: 1,	256,757	DEPARTMEN		
/// Interstate Highway	$\frac{1}{1000}$		<b>Ab</b> 2			5-2 3 50		
US Highway		Lower West Fork Trinity	June	HUC-8 Code 12030106			HHN	
State Highway		Upper Trinity Cedar	ır 🗸	12030100		OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE RO		
	of September 2018	Chambers				AND SECU		
			5~					

Figure 19: Discovery Map



N     Collin, Dento   TNRIS 2011   Collin, Dento   TNRIS 2017   East Texas   USGS TOPO   Fannin   2018 Texas Water Development Board   Collin, Dallas   TNRIS- Texas Natural Resources Information System   USGS- United States Geological Survey	nties son, Montague, Wise on, Kaufman	Image: window wi Window window wind	Henderson         480130         78,532         71         52         1           Kaufman         480411         103,350         44         32         0           Navarro         480175         47,735         100         83         1           Rockwall         480543         78,337         34         21         0           Van Zandt         481040         52,579         24         18         0 <sup>1</sup> 2010 Census Data         -         -         -         -         - <sup>2</sup> FEM A Loss Statistics from 1978 to present (http://bsa.nfipstat.fema.gov/reports/1040.htm)         -         -         -           Total Losses-Losses that have been paid.         Open losses-Losses that have been paid.         -         -           Open losses-Losses that have been closed without pay ment.         -         -         -         -           Total payments-Total amount paid on losses.         -         -         -         -         -	Percent of East Fork Trinity Floodplain within Community         2       34 7%         7       0.7%         7       0.3%         2       0.1%         0       0.0%         5       15.2%         4       0.5%         2       0.1%         3       0.1%         7       2.6%         1       0.1%         9       0.4%         6       3.0%         3       0.1%         9       0.4%         4       0.2%         0       0.0%         1       0.0%         2       0.1%         7       7.3%         6       0.2%         3       0.1%         7       7.3%         1       0.0%         3       1.3%         5       1.4%         1       0.0%         2       0.1%         3       1.23%         6       0.2%         3       1.3%         5       1.4%         3       1.23%         6       0.5%         7 <td< th=""></td<>
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				
County Boundaries Zone AO (New/Re Watershed Boundary Zone A (Approximation)	r, Detailed) Approximate) ear, Detailed) I <b>y Type*</b> /ised Detailed, SFHA w/ High Risk) vised Detailed, SFHA w/ High Risk)	WATERSHED LOCATOR	Antional Flood insult   Discovery Dap   Discovery Dap </td <td></td>	

# Figure 20: Post-Discovery Map



	Ellis		
N         Miles           0         2.5         5         10			
Map Symbology		WATERSHED LOCATOR	
<ul> <li>▲ USGS Gages</li> <li>■ LOMC</li> <li>➡ High Water Marks</li> <li>➡ Low Water Marks</li> <li>➡ Low Water Marks</li> <li>➡ Low Water Marks</li> <li>➡ Zone AE (100-Year, Detail</li> <li>➡ Zone A (100-Year, Approxi</li> <li>Zone X500 (500-Year, Detail</li> <li>➡ Lake</li> <li>➡ City Boundaries</li> <li>➡ County Boundaries</li> <li>➡ Watershed Boundary</li> <li>➡ Transportation</li> <li>➡ HH</li> <li>➡ SH</li> <li>➡ US</li> </ul>			<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text></text></text></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>

# Figure 21: HUC-12 Watershed Prioritizations and Potential Projects



Elli	is 2
N 0 2.5 5 10	
Map Symbology	WATERSHED LOCATOR
<ul> <li>Potential Study Stream</li> <li>HUC-12s Prioritization Ranking</li> <li>Lakes</li> <li>Moderate Risk</li> </ul>	Bois D'arc-Island Anno Bois D'arc-Island Annn
City Boundary Elevated Risk	Watershed Prioritizations and Potential Projects Map
County Boundary High Risk HUC-8 Watershed Study Boundary	Elm Fork Trinity East Fork Trinity East Fork Trinity
Transportation  Interstate Highway  US Highway  State Highway	Denton       12030106         Upper Sabine       Total Watershed Area: 1,302.9 mi <sup>2</sup> High Risk Area:       401.3 mi <sup>2</sup> Elevated Risk Area:       582.0 mi <sup>2</sup> Moderate Risk Area:       319.6 mi <sup>2</sup>
	Lower West Fork Trinity Cedar Chambers Chambers
Pre-Discovery Webinar Slides







North Central Texas Council of Governments

# North Texas Discovery

"Capturing a More Complete Picture of Your Watershed"

Pre-Discovery Webinars June 26, 2018 June 28, 2018



## Introduction

- NCTCOG:
  - Edith Marvin <u>EMarvin@nctcog.org</u>
  - Mia Brown <u>MBBrown@nctcog.org</u>
- Halff Associates:
  - Jarred Overbey <u>JOverbey@halff.com</u>
  - Samuel Amoako-Atta <u>SAmoak-Atta@halff.com</u>
  - Catherine Rowley <u>CRowley@halff.com</u>
  - Alison Hanson–<u>AHanson@halff.com</u>
- FEMA:
  - Alan Johnson <u>Alan.Johnson@fema.dhs.gov</u>
- TWDB / TNRIS:
  - Manuel Razo <u>Manuel.Razo@twdb.texas.gov</u>
  - Michael Segner <u>Michael.Segner@twdb.texas.gov</u>











# AGENDA

- Overview of Risk MAP
- NCTCOG Discovery Activities
- Discovery Overview
- 2018 NCTCOG Discovery Watershed
  - East Fork Trinity Watersheds
  - Pre-Discovery Activities
  - Discovery Activities
  - Post-Discovery Activities
- Data Gathering Website and Walk-through











# FEMA's Risk MAP Program

- Risk Mapping, Assessment, and Planning
  - Provides communities with flood information and tools they can use to enhance their mitigation plans and take action to better protect their citizens.
  - Risk MAP Vision
    - ACTION-driven, not MAP-driven through local understanding and ownership of risk













# FEMA's Risk MAP Program

- Risk MAP offers opportunities to change the way FEMA and Local communities interact
- Empowering communities
  - Reduce Future Losses
    - Implementing Mitigation Actions
  - Reduce Your Risks
    - All Hazard Mitigation Planning
    - Look for Grant Opportunities
  - Insure Your Risks
    - The National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)
  - Communicate Effectively about Risk











HALFF

# • Capture a more complete picture of your watershed by working closely with local communities...

Watershed Selected for Discovery	Community Engagement / Data Collection	Discovery Meeting	Post-Meeting Coordination / Scope Refinement
<ul> <li>Selection Criteria:</li> <li>Risk</li> <li>Need</li> <li>Elevation data availability</li> <li>Regional knowledge</li> <li>CTP/State input</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Develop watershed partnerships</li> <li>Discovery Newsletter</li> <li>Pre-Discovery community visits</li> <li>Gather all available data</li> <li>Data needs</li> <li>Issues / Concerns</li> <li>Areas of Mitigation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Review / validate watershed for project areas</li> <li>Provide information <ul> <li>Mapping</li> <li>Mitigation Planning</li> <li>Grants</li> <li>NFIP Compliance</li> </ul> </li> <li>Comprehensive understanding of risk in the watershed</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Once data is collected</li> <li>FEMA will coordinate with State/NCTCOG on proposed scope refinement</li> <li>Selected Projects – move toward Kick off meeting</li> <li>Non-Selected Projects – engaged for potential mitigation actions, mitigation plan updates, and/or mitigation technical assistance</li> </ul>







North Central Texas Council of Governments





Discovery

**Overview** 

# **Discovery Community Engagement**

### **Overview**

### What information are we interested in?

#### FEMA ENGAGEMENT WITH STAKEHOLDERS AND DATA COLLECTION Review of all available data begins the process...

#### Risk

#### Identification and Communication

Mitigation

Mitigation

Actions

Planning and

- Low water crossings? Large areas of fill placement?
- Future development areas?
- Capital improvement projects?
- Channelization projects? Large reservoirs? 0&M plan?
- Flood risk reduction projects?
- Digital stream inventory?
- Digital building stock?
- High water marks from recent flooding event?
- Elevation data? LiDAR?
- Local flood studies?

#### Approved hazard mitigation plan?

- Local evacuation plans?
- Current land use plan?
- Future land use plan?
- Drainage master plan(s)?
- Flood reduction projects?
- Culvert enlargement projects?
- Areas of evacuation during high water? Local HAZUS runs?
- Digital parcel boundaries?

#### Engage:

- U.S. Geological Service
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
- State NFIP coordinator
- State Hazard Mitigation Officer
- State floodplain management associations
- State emergency management associations
- Local elected officials
- Regional authorities
- Local floodplain administrators
- Local emergency management officials
- Local levee districts
- Watershed groups
- Special interest groups
- · Local business and commerce entities
- CTPs



NFIP Community Actions

- Participating in the NFIP?
- Community assistance meetings?
- Community Rating System (CRS)?
- Repetitive loss properties?
- Areas of insurance claims?
- Community assistance visits?
- Community assistance calls? Active Letters of Map Change (LOMCs)? Recent disaster? Declared? Data from PDAs?

Community Benefits and Grant **Opportunities** 

- Grant administration plan?
- Ongoing grant projects?
- Hard projects? (infrastructure)
- Soft projects? (outreach/education)
- Targeted buy-out areas?
- Elevation projects planned?
- Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM) grants?
- Severe Repetitive Loss (SRL) grants?
- Grants in need of engineering info?
- Post-disaster 404 projects?
- Post-disaster 406 projects?













# **Discovery Steps**

### Overview













# **Discovery Steps**

### Overview













### **Overview**













Large Scale Automated Engineering (LSAE) Process

- BLE is best used at a larger scale (HUC8)
- LiDAR must be Available
- Model Review and Adjustments
- Gage Review included in hydrology











North Central Texas Council of Governments





**Overview** 





#### **Overview**

Mapping

### OUTPUTS

- Hydrology modeling (Regression) flows w/gage analysis
- Hydraulic modeling (HEC-RAS) for 10%, 4%, 2%, 1% and 0.2% storm events
- 10%, 1% and 0.2% floodplain boundaries
- Areas of Expanded Flood Risk
- Depth and Analysis Grids
- Flood Risk Assessment



**Overview** 

Building Block for Future
 Model Refinement



• Creates a data-based starting point for conversations about existing flood risk

Increasing Resilience Together



# Activities Within the East Fork Trinity Watershed

- USACE Study
  - Hydrology study on USACE reservoirs and Dallas Floodway
  - September 2019
- FEMA RTO Study
  - East Fork Trinity River
  - Trinity River
  - Summer 2019















# **NCTCOG Discovery Activities**









North Central Texas Council of Governments



# **Example Post-Discovery Projects**

2013 Village Creek Study – Kennedale

- New H&H and Mapping for 13 streams
- Flood Risk Products including Flood Risk Assessment











North Central Texas Council of Governments





2013

# **Example Post-Discovery Projects**

2014 Bear Creek Study – Southlake and Colleyville

- New H&H and Mapping for 19 streams (Colleyville) and 8 streams (Southlake)
- Flood Risk Products including Flood **Risk Assessment**





Major Highways

HUC - 8 Boundaries

County Boundary

Other Cities

Study Watershed Boundary





Colleyville

Southlake





# **Example Post-Discovery Projects**



Major Highways HUC - 8 Boundaries Study Watershed Boundary County Boundary Other Cities



2015 Study – Lynchburg Creek (Shady Shores) and West Irving Creek (Irving)

- New H&H and Mapping for a total of 10 streams
- Flood Risk Products including Flood Risk Assessment











### **North Central Texas Council of Governments**

NCTCOG Leading East Fork Trinity Watershed Discovery

- Goals:
  - Provide information
    - Mitigation planning and actions
    - Risk Communication
  - Gather information
    - Local flood risks and hazards
    - Current mitigation









### 2018 North Texas Discovery





# **Discover the Data**

### **Pre-Discovery Activities**

- Watershed
- Communities
- Geospatial Data

### Examples of data to collect:

- Base map: Boundaries, Hydrography, Transportation
- Flood study needs, risk, elevation data
- Flooding issues, historical flooding, disasters
- Mitigation activities, grant projects, plans
- CRS, CAVs
- Local development, floodplain management plans
- Regional watershed plans
- Infrastructure: culverts, dams, bridges, levees
- Building footprints or parcel data













### **Discovery Newsletter**

### **Pre-Discovery Activities**

Risk

### Pre-Discovery Newsletter East Fork Watershed

#### "Capturing a More Complete Picture of Your Community and Your Watershed" June 2018

#### **Risk MAP Process and Discovery**

Risk Mapping, Assessment, and Planning (Risk MAP) is the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Program that assists communities with flood information and tools they can use to enhance their mitigation plans and better protect their citizens. Discovery is the first phase of an overall process to achieve mitigation actions for reducing risks. The North Central Texas Council of Governments (NCTCOG) has been awarded a FEMA grant to conduct Discovery in the East Fork Watershed in 2018.



North Central Texas Council of Governmen











# **Discovery Meetings Coming ...**

#### **Pre-Discovery Activities**

- Discovery
   Meetings in Fall
- All community stakeholders are encouraged to attend





### **Discovery Meetings - Open House**

### **Discovery Activities**



# **Discovery Meetings – What to Expect**

### **Discovery Activities**













## Who Should Come?

### **Discovery Activities**

• Community Officials Including:

 Leaders, Floodplain Administrators, City Engineers, Watershed Organizations, Planners, Emergency Managers, and GIS specialists

- Federal, State, and Regional Agencies
- Other locally identified stakeholders concerned with flood risks or hazard mitigation











### What Do I Bring? Discovery Activities

- Knowledge of Flood Risks and Past Flooding in your Community
- Hazard Mitigation Projects Identified, In Progress, or Complete?
- Master Drainage Plan(s), floodplain studies completed or identified as needs
- Questions or Concerns regarding your current Digital Flood Insurance Rate Maps – Flood Study Needs
- Current Flood Risk Communication Process
- Dams and Levees Questions or Concerns
- GIS data













### **Post-Discovery Actions**

### **Post-Discovery Activities**

- Post-Discovery Actions
  - Analyze data collected
  - Review findings with NCTCOG
  - Preliminary project selections provided to communities
  - Evaluate community input
  - Discovery Report



# **Meeting Info**

- Discovery Meeting- Fall 2018
- Findings Meeting- Winter 2018











# **Pre-Discovery Data Gathering**

https://nctcogdiscovery.halff.com

Login:EMAIL ADDRESSPassword:NCTCOG\_2018!













### Questions













## **Contact Information**

- NCTCOG:
  - Edith Marvin <u>EMarvin@nctcog.org</u>
  - Mia Brown <u>MBBrown@nctcog.org</u>
- Halff Associates:
  - Jarred Overbey <u>JOverbey@halff.com</u>
  - Samuel Amoako-Atta <u>SAmoak-Atta@halff.com</u>
  - Catherine Rowley <u>CRowley@halff.com</u>
  - Alison Hanson–<u>AHanson@halff.com</u>
- FEMA:
  - Alan Johnson <u>Alan.Johnson@fema.dhs.gov</u>
- TWDB / TNRIS:
  - Manuel Razo <u>Manuel.Razo@twdb.texas.gov</u>
  - Michael Segner <u>Michael.Segner@twdb.texas.gov</u>











**Discovery Findings Webinar Slides** 



### NORTH CENTRAL TEXAS COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS EAST FORK TRINITY DISCOVERY FINDINGS MEETING

JULY 31, 2019







### **DISCOVERY | CONTACT**

### NCTCOG:

- Edith Marvin EMarvin@nctcog.org
- Mia Brown MBBrown@nctcog.org



### TWDB:

- Manuel Razo Manuel.Razo@twdb.texas.gov
- Paul Gutierrez paul.gutierrez@twdb.texas.gov



### Halff Associates:

- Jarred Overbey jOverbey@halff.com
- Samuel Amoako-Atta sAmoako-Atta@halff.com
- Alison Hanson aHanson@halff.com
- Katy Onley kOnley@halff.com



### FEMA:

Alan Johnson– alan.johnson@fema.dhs.gov









### NCTCOG Overview

- Risk MAP Overview
- East Fork Trinity Discovery
  - Activities
  - Findings
- Base Level Engineering
- Post Meeting Coordination








# VOLUNTARY ASSOCIATION OF, BY, AND FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENTS, ESTABLISHED IN 1966, TO HELP THEM:

- Plan for common needs
- Strengthen their individual and collective power
- Recognize regional opportunities
- Resolve regional problems
- Make joint decisions/cooperate for mutual benefit

- 230+ Member Governments
  - Cities
  - Counties









#### NCTCOG ENVIRONMENT AND DEVELOPMENT WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PROGRAM:

Focus on water quality, stormwater, and floodplain topics/issues.

Floodplain

- NCT region does not have a flood control district. Lots of local/regional entities working in their own jurisdictions.
- NCTCOG will never replace a flood control district, but as an agency, we work toward regional cooperation on flooding issues to help everyone accomplish common goals together.









## **NCTCOG | WHAT IS NCTCOG'S ROLE?**









## **NCTCOG | WHAT IS NCTCOG'S ROLE?**

#### NORTH CENTRAL TEXAS 1950-2040 GROWTH









## **NCTCOG | WHAT IS NCTCOG'S ROLE?**

#### NCTCOG GOALS AS A COOPERATING TECHNICAL PARTNER

Direct Goals:

- Better data for better decision making
- Coordination between communities and local/regional/state/federal organizations (what COGs do best!)
- Partnerships
- Indirect Goals:
  - Higher Standards







# **DISCOVERY | OVERVIEW**

#### FEMA'S RISK MAPPING, ASSESSMENT, AND PLANNING (MAP) PROGRAM

- Provide flood information and tools for better protection
- Action-Driven through local understanding and ownership of risk









# **DISCOVERY | OVERVIEW**

#### FEMA'S RISK MAPPING, ASSESSMENT, AND PLANNING (MAP) PROGRAM

- Provide flood information and tools for better protection
- Action-Driven through local understanding and ownership of risk









# **DISCOVERY | GOALS**

#### **NCTCOG LEADING EAST FORK**

#### **TRINITY DISCOVERY**

- Gather Information
  - Local flood risks and hazards
  - Current mitigation efforts
- Provide Information
  - Mitigation planning and actions
  - -Risk communication









#### **DISCOVERY | DISCOVERY PROCESS**









## **DISCOVERY | ACTIVITIES**

#### **PRE-DISCOVERY WEBINARS**

■ Inform communities of process and timeline











# **DISCOVERY | ACTIVITIES**

#### **COMMUNITIES SUBMITTED FLOOD RISKS ONLINE**

- Low Water Crossings
- Flooding Concerns
- Significant Land Use Change
- Issues with Effective Mapping











## **DISCOVERY | ACTIVITIES**









#### **326 STAKEHOLDER COMMENTS SUBMITTED**



Number of Comments	Community
3	Allen
4	Anna
5	Celina
24	Collin County
1	Dallas County
25	Frisco
7	Garland
2	Kaufman County
1	Heath
13	Lavon
15	Lowry Crossing
21	Lucas
41	McKinney
79	Mesquite
1	Parker
54	Plano
1	Prosper
5	Richardson
9	Rockwall
1	Rowlett
16	Sachse
28	Sunnyvale
4	Wylie







#### **STAKEHOLDER COMMENTS BY TYPE**











#### **SAMPLE COMMENTS SUBMITTED**









#### **HUC-12 WATERSHED PRIORITIZATION**

Criteria No.	Description	Max Weight
1	Population density (whole number)	10
2	Population change (decimal)	10
3	Predicted population growth (whole number)	10
4	History of flood claims (whole number)	10
5	History of flood events (whole number)	10
6	Number of Letters of Map Change (LOMR/LOMA) (whole number)	5
7	Available current topography (Y/N for LiDAR)	10
8	Age of technical data – hydrology (num. of years)	5
9	Age of technical data – hydraulics (num. of years)	5
10	Ability to leverage current studies (Y/N)	5
11	Potential for local funding (Y/N)	5
12	Potential for local "work in kind" (Y/N)	3
13	Previous contribution to a FEMA study (Y/N)	2
14	Stakeholder mapping request (number)	10









#### **BASE LEVEL ENGINEERING**

- Requires LiDAR
- Automated hydraulic modeling
   Model Review and Adjustments
   Gage Review included in hydrology









#### **BASE LEVEL ENGINEERING**

- Hydraulic modeling
- 10%, 4%, 2%, 1% and 0.2% storm events
- Floodplain Boundaries
- 10%, 1% and 0.2%









#### **BASE LEVEL ENGINEERING**

- Depth and Analysis Grids
- Areas of Expanded Flood Risk
- Flood Risk Assessment









## **AREAS OF MITIGATION INTEREST (AOMI)**

- Structure inventory for future Discovery/Mitigation efforts
- Places with unknown or increased flood risk
- Identified by communities







Key to Features

**AOMI** Points

Model Stream





#### HAZUS-BASED AVERAGE ANNUALIZED LOSS ESTIMATES

- Identify flooding consequences in damages and other losses
- Based on 100 Year Depth Grids and at-risk assets
- Can be further narrowed down









#### HAZUS-BASED AVERAGE ANNUALIZED LOSS ESTIMATES



\*Other: structure types include Industrial, Agricultural, Education, Religious, and Government structures. \*Business Losses are the sum of Inventory Loss, Relocation Costs, Income Loss, Rental Income Loss, Wage Loss, and Direct Output Loss.







#### HAZUS-BASED AVERAGE ANNUALIZED LOSS ESTIMATES









## **DISCOVERY | POST MEETING COORDINATION**

#### **FLOOD RISK REPORT**

- Prioritization Results
- Figures and Maps
- Summary of Discovery Activities
- BLE Report



Flood Risk Report East Fork Trinity Watershed HUC8 12030106

September 2019









## **DISCOVERY | POST MEETING COORDINATION**

#### **FLOOD RISK REPORT**

Community Snapshots
 Historical Flooding

Stakeholder Comments









## **DISCOVERY | POST MEETING COORDINATION**

**BLE DATASET** 



#### **FLOOD RISK REPORT**



Flood Risk Report East Fork Trinity Watershed HUC8 12030106

September 2019



#### **FLOOD RISK MAP**









## **BLE OVERVIEW | NCTCOG EAST FORK BLE VIEWER**

### https://nctcogeastforkble.halff.com/











U.S. Department of the Interior | DOI Inspector General | White House | E-gov | Open Government | No Fear Act | FOIA







## https://webapps.usgs.gov/infrm/estBFE/

#### Welcome to the

Base Level Engineering assessments are produced using high resolution ground data to create technically creditable flood hazard information that may be used to expand and modernize FEMA's current flood hazard inventory.



#### View Base Level Engineering Data

Access all available Base Level Engineering data without GIS software.

- Click the DATA LAYERS button to add or remove map layers.
- Click the LEGEND tab to view an explanation of all data shown.
- Click the MAP VIEW button to open or close a second viewing window for side-by-side comparisons.

#### Estimated Base Flood Elevation Viewer



#### Download Datasets & Models

Download the Base Level Engineering data presented in the viewer.

- Click the DATA LAYERS button and add the DOWNLOADABLE DATA layer.
- Click shaded areas in the map to open a dialog for choosing datasets to download.



#### Property Look Up

Where data is available, produce a property-specific report with estimated base flood information.

Click the REPORT tab to create a flood risk report for a specific location.

Click a topic to get started!























#### https://webapps.usgs.gov/infrm/estBFE/

#### Estimated Base Flood Elevation (estBFE)

#### 😵 FEMA

#### Flood Risk Information Report

FEMA is providing a look at flood data availability and relative Base Level Engineering analysis through the Estimated Base Flood Elevation Viewer (Estimated BFE Viewer). Base Level Engineering uses high resolution ground elevation data, flood flow calculations, and fundamental engineering modeling techniques to define flood extents for streams. The viewer is an effective tool for property owners, community officials, and land developers to identify flood risk, estimated flood elevations, and flood depths for watersheds where Base Level Engineering has been prepared.











#### https://webapps.usgs.gov/infrm/estBFE/

🛞 FEMA's Estimated Base Flood Ele 🗙 🚳 FEMA's Estimated Base Flood Ele 🗴 🕂

C Attractional Heating Content of the Content of

Print
Tip: The map's zoon

level can be adjusted by using the +/- zoom buttons. Users should zoom in and verify the location of the marker prior to printing.

be used to share or bookmark the report for this location.

#### Estimated Base Flood Elevation (estBFE)

#### Flood Risk Information Report

FEMA is providing a look at flood data availability and relative Base Level Engineering analysis through the Estimated Base Flood Elevation Viewer (Estimated BFE Viewer). Base Level Engineering uses high resolution ground elevation data, flood flow calculations, and fundamental engineering modeling techniques to define flood extents for streams. The viewer is an effective tool for property owners, community officials, and land developers to identify flood risk, estimated flood elevations, and flood depths for watersheds where Base Level Engineering has been prepared.



#### 😵 FEMA

#### Using This Data

🛞 FEMA

Consult the local floodplain manager and building department in your community before making any building or land modifications. Local officies may use this information to regulate development new flooding sources to create more flood-realient communities. Local building and permitting requirements vary by community and are based on local decisions and ordinances.

Everyone is a triak. The charces of experiencing a flood can key due to unexelvated conditions, such as the unstudied effects of community growth and development or intense storms underacertatic to historical tends. Maintaining or obtaining a flood insurance policy is essential to ensure a property owner is covered if a flood occurs. Visit http://FloodSmarg.yow frome information on the costs of flooding and to locate an insurance agent jour area.

Base Level Engineering and the Estimated BFE Viewer tool help identify the BFE in effective Zone As. If a property owner believes that a structure is above or outside of the base flood externl in an effective Zone A, a LOMA request may be submitted and the flood risk report from the Estimated BFE Viewer should be included. To complete an application, use the online web-state boar or owning and the paper forms (functionary Jones Team Provide Sectionary Jones Team Provide Sectionary Jones Team Provide Sectionary Jones Team Provide Sectionary (functionary Sectionary Sectionary

Copy of a plat map that identifies the property and includes the locality's recording information

Copy of the property deed with both locality's recording information and the property's written legal description and a parcel or tax map identifying the location.

 Elevation information indicating the lowes adjacent grade to the building certified by a licensed land surveyor or registered professional engineer, except for buildings clearly shown outside the STHA. If built recently, building permit files may contain this information. Note the professional may use the estimated BF (estBF) results for the BF stude on the elevation from or enfiniste.

- The Estimated BFE flood risk information report relative to the property indicating the estimated flood level and model.
- A letter of acceptance and support from your local floodplain administrator for the Estimated BFE information included in your report.

the other types of development may require additional documentation and possibly an application fee. A LDNA may result in removal of the SFHA designation and the equimement for flood insurance. However, maintaining a flood policy may still be required by the lender. Rood insurance coverage to repair damage caused by flooding lote for areas outcide the SFHA.

#### king Action

dds can happen anywhere at any time, which is why it is important to be prepared and to take steps before a flood event to protect your property from costly damage. Egation measures to consider include the following:

- Elevating. Elevating the lowest floor of new or existing buildings above the BFE reduces risk and may lower flood insurance premiums.
- Interior Modification. Raising the equipment servicing the building or infilling basements susceptible to flooding.
- Dry Hoodproofing. Sealing your structure to prevent floodwaters from entering. Residential property insurance is not reduced if dry floodproofing is used. Only commercial properties receive reduced flood insurance when dry floodproofing is used.
- Wet Floodproofing and Flood Vents. Making portion of a building more resistant to flood damage or, in some cases, allowing water to enter during a flood to prevent damages by equalizing pressure on walls and foundations.

iding on the right method to mitigate future damage and loss requires an assessment of various factors: the hazards to your home, permit requirements, the technical lations of the methods, and cost.

uss the potential mitigation options with your local floodplain administrator and building department to determine the next appropriate steps.

#### **Using This Data**

Consult the local floodplain manager and building department in your community before making any building or land modifications. Local officials may use this information to regulate development near flooding sources to create more flood-resilient communities. Local building and permitting requirements vary by community and are based on local decisions and ordinances.

Everyone is at risk. The chances of experiencing a flood can vary due to unevaluated conditions, such as the unstudied effects of community growth and development or intense storms uncharacteristic to historical trends. Maintaining or obtaining a flood insurance policy is essential to ensure a property owner is covered if a flood occurs. Visit http://FloodSmart.gov for more information on the costs of flooding and to locate an insurance agent in your area.

Base Level Engineering and the Estimated BFE Viewer tool help identify the BFE in effective Zone As. If a property owner believes that a structure is above or outside of the base flood extent in an effective Zone A, a LOMA request may be submitted and the flood risk report from the Estimated BFE Viewer should be included. To complete an application, use the online web-based tool or download the paper forms (https://www.fema.gov/letter-map-changes). Items needed to apply include the following:

- Copy of a **plat map** that identifies the property and includes the locality's recording information
- OR

Copy of the property deed with both locality's recording information and the property's written legal description and a parcel or tax map identifying the location.

- Elevation information indicating the lowest adjacent grade to the building certified by a licensed land surveyor or registered professional engineer, except for buildings clearly shown outside the SFHA. If built recently, building permit files may contain this information. Note the professional may use the estimated BFE (estBFE) results for the BFE value on the elevation form or certificate.
- The Estimated BFE flood risk information report relative to the property indicating the estimated flood level and model.
- A letter of acceptance and support from your local floodplain administrator for the Estimated BFE information included in your report.

Please note other types of development may require additional documentation and possibly an application fee. A LOMA may result in removal of the SFHA designation and the Federal requirement for flood insurance. However, maintaining a flood policy may still be required by the lender. Flood insurance coverage to repair damage caused by flooding is available for areas outside the SFHA.






























## **BLE OVERVIEW | BFE VIEWER**

### https://webapps.usgs.gov/infrm/estBFE/

AutoSave 💽 01	Đ⊟ り· ୯· ▼			12030107_Cedar - Protected View - Excel	🛕 Overbey, Jarred	o) 🌾 🖬 🕐	- @//×
File Home	Insert Page Layout	Formulas D	ata Review View Help PDF-X	Change 🔎 Search		🖻 Share	Comments
	PROTECTED VIEW Be careful—files from the Internet can contain viruses. Unless you need to edit, it's safer to stay in Protected View. Enable Editing						
PROTECTED	view becaleral—nies non the	internet can contain	TVITUSES. OTHESS you need to early it's safer to stay	in Florected view. Ensure conting			~
A1 -	$x_1$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $x_2$ $\cdot$ $x_3$ $\cdot$ $x_4$ Download $\cdot$						
Α	В	с	D	E	F G H	L	ĸ
1 Download	FileName	FileSize	DataSet	Description			
2 Download	12030107 Models.zip	145.70 MB	HECRAS models	A folder containing HECRAS models for streams.			
3 Download	12030107 Depth01.zip	209.63 MB	1% event depths, raster	A raster representing the estimated depth of floodwaters from a 1% event.			
4 Download	12030107_Depth002.zip	229.76 MB	0.2% event depths, raster	A raster representing the estimated depth of floodwaters from a 0.2% event.			
5 Download	12030107_Elev01.zip	134.65 MB	1% event elevations, raster	A raster representing the estimated elevation of floodwaters from a 1% event.			
6 Download	12030107_Elev002.zip	144.44 MB	0.2% event elevations, raster	A raster representing the estimated elevation of floodwaters from a 0.2% event.			
7 Download	12030107_VectorData.zip	45.19 MB	Vector spatial data, file geodatabase	A file geodatabase containing vector spatial data representing stream centerlines, study areas, cross sections, flood hazard areas, and mor	e.		
8 Download	12030107 Documents.zip	2.70 MB	Reports and documents	A folder containing the Base Level Engineering report, and other documents.			
9							
10							
10 11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
22							
23							
24							
25							
20							
27							
28							
29							
30							
31							
32							
33							
34							
35							
36							
37							
38							
39							
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 20 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41							
<b>A</b> →	AvailableData (+)			: [4]			•
Ready	U					▣ ─	







## **DISCOVERY | POST MEETING COORDINATION**

### HTTPS://APPS.FEMADATA.COM/ESTBFE









## **DISCOVERY | RISK MAP PROJECT RECOMMENDATIONS TO FEMA**

## RECOMMENDED STUDIES FROM DISCOVERY BECOME NEW PROJECTS

- 2013 Village Creek (Kennedale)
- 2014 Bear Creek (Southlake and Colleyville)
- 2015 Lynchburg Creek (Shady Shores and Corinth)
- 2015 West Irving Creek (Irving)
- 2016 McAnear Creek (Cleburne)
- 2016 Silver Creek (Tarrant County)
- 2017 Town Creek (Weatherford)
- 2017 Clear Fork Tributary 5 (Benbrook)
- 2018 Mary's Creek (Parker County)









## **DISCOVERY | OVERVIEW**

FEMA'S RISK MAPPING, ASSESSMENT, AND PLANNING (MAP) PROGRAM









## **DISCOVERY | OVERVIEW**

## **QUESTIONS?**









# **DISCOVERY | CONTACT**

# NCTCOG:

- Edith Marvin EMarvin@nctcog.org
- Mia Brown MBBrown@nctcog.org



## TWDB:

- Manuel Razo Manuel.Razo@twdb.texas.gov
- Paul Gutierrez paul.gutierrez@twdb.texas.gov



## Halff Associates:

- Jarred Overbey jOverbey@halff.com
- Samuel Amoako-Atta sAmoako-

Atta@halff.com

Alison Hanson– aHanson@halff.com



## FEMA:

Alan Johnson– alan.johnson@fema.dhs.gov









Appendix IV: Resources

### Watershed Follow-up Points of Contact

Subject/Topic of Interest	Name	Contact Information
FEMA Region 6 Risk MAP Lead Project Outreach	Alan Johnson Risk Analysis Branch FEMA Region 6	Phone: 940-898-5171 Email: <u>alan.johnson@fema.dhs.gov</u>
FEMA Technical Monitor	Jennifer Knecht Risk Analysis Branch FEMA Region 6	Phone: (940) 898-5553 Email: <u>jennifer.knecht@fema.dhs.gov</u>
<ul> <li>Floodplain Management</li> <li>Floodplain Ordinance</li> <li>Community Assistance Visits</li> <li>Higher Standards</li> </ul>	John Bowman	Phone: 840-297-0185 Email: john.bowman@fema.dhs.gov
<ul><li>Community Rating System</li><li>Flood Insurance</li></ul>	Diedra Mares	Phone: 830-832-3506 Email: <u>dmares@iso.com</u>
<ul> <li>How to find and read FIRMs</li> <li>Letters of Map Change and Elevation Certificates</li> <li>Flood zone disputes</li> <li>Mandatory insurance purchase guidelines</li> <li>Map Service Center (MSC) and National Food Hazard Layer</li> </ul>	FEMA Map Information eXchange (FMIX)	Phone: 877-FEMA-MAP (336-2627) Email: <u>FEMAMapSpecialist@riskmapcds.com</u> Live Chat: <u>https://www.floodmaps.fema.gov/fhm/fmx_main.html</u>

### State Partners

Organization/Title	Name	Partner Location	Contact Information
Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) State NFIP Coordinator (Interim)	Manuel Razo, CFM	P.O. Box 13231 Austin, TX 78711	Phone: 512-475-1850 Email: <u>manuel.razo@twdb.texas.gov</u> Web Page: <u>https://www.twdb.texas.gov</u>
Texas Division of Emergency Management (TDEM) State Hazard Mitigation Officer	Dave Jackson, CEM	P.O. Box 4087 Austin, TX 78773	Phone: 512-424-7820 Email: <u>Dave.Jackson@dps.texas.gov</u> Web Page: <u>https://www.dps.texas.gov/dem/</u>
North Central Texas Council of Governments (NCTCOG) Environment & Development Director	Edith Marvin, P.E., CFM	616 Six Flags Drive Arlington, TX 76005	Phone: 817-695-9211 Email: <u>emarvin@nctcog.org</u> Web Page: <u>https://www.nctcog.org/envir/index.asp</u>
North Central Texas Council of Governments (NCTCOG) Environment & Development Planner	Mia Brown, CFM	616 Six Flags Drive Arlington, TX 76005	Phone: 817-695-9227 Email: <u>mbbrown@nctcog.org</u> Web Page: <u>https://www.nctcog.org/envir/index.asp</u>

### throughout the emergency management cycle to prepare for, prevent where possible, respond to, recover from, and mitigate against hazards

http://www.twdb.texas.gov/

**Texas Water Development Board** 

to lessen the effects of man-made or natural disasters that threaten the state. GOHSEP can save lives and reduce property damage by understanding risks and taking action to address those risks, as well as

Texas is a high-risk state for emergency events and disasters. The

#### minimizing disaster impacts and increasing the resiliency in our communities, environment, and economy.

### North Central Texas Council of Governments http://nctcog.org/

The North Central Texas Council of Governments (NCTCOG) is a voluntary association of, by and for local governments, established to assist local governments in planning for common needs, cooperating for mutual benefit, and coordinating sound regional development. Serving a 16-county region of North Central Texas, NCTCOG is centered around the two urban centers of

Dallas and Fort Worth. NCTCOG has over 230 member governments including 16 counties, numerous cities, school districts, and special districts. NCTCOG has been a Cooperating Technical Partner (CTP) with FEMA since 2004. From providing critical Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) data for Map Modernization (Map Mod) activities to offering up-to-date floodplain management training for floodplain managers and community leaders in the region, NCTCOG has served as a key stakeholder for risk reduction in North Texas.

### NCTCOG FLOOD INFORMATION AND RESOURCES

NCTCOG is a proactive agency that has a long history of supporting floodplain management activities in the region. NCTCOG led and implemented new strategies over the past decades such as the Corridor Development Certificate for local floodplain permit decision making along the Trinity River Corridor since 1993. NCTCOG has been a Cooperating Technical Partner (CTP) with FEMA since 2004. From providing critical LiDAR data for map modernization activities to offering up-to-date floodplain management training for floodplain managers and community leaders in the region, NCTCOG has served as a key stakeholder for risk reduction in North Texas.

NCTCOG and TWDB worked hard to integrate our efforts with FEMA's Coordinated Needs Management Strategy (CNMS) to ensure that the work aligned with FEMA's Risk MAP goals and procedures.







### **POINTS OF CONTACT:**

Edith Marvin Director of Environment & Development Phone: (817) 695-9211 Fax: (817) 640-7806 Email: <u>emarvin@nctcog.org</u>

Mia Brown Planner II Phone: (817) 695-9227 Email: <u>mbbrown@nctcog.org</u>

### Texas Floodplain Management Association (TFMA)

The Texas Floodplain Management Association (TFMA) is an organization of professionals involved in floodplain management, flood hazard mitigation, the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), flood preparedness, warning and disaster recovery. The Association has become a respected voice in floodplain management practice and policy in Texas. The Association includes flood hazard specialists from local, state, and Federal government; the mortgage, insurance and research communities; and the associated fields of flood zone determination, engineering, hydraulic forecasting, emergency response, water resources, geographic information systems, and others.

Organization	Contact Information	Website	
Texas Floodplain Management Association	Phone: 512-260-1366	https://www.tfma.org	

### Certified Floodplain Manager (CFM) Certification

The Association of State Floodplain Managers (ASFPM) established a national program for certifying floodplain managers. This program recognizes continuing education and professional development that enhances the knowledge and performance of local, state, Federal, and private-sector floodplain management professionals.

The role of the nation's floodplain managers is expanding due to increases in disaster losses, the emphasis on mitigation to alleviate the cycle of damage-rebuild-damage, and a recognized need for professionals to adequately address these issues. This certification program will lay the foundation for ensuring that highly qualified individuals are available to meet the challenge of breaking the damage cycle and stopping its negative drain on the nation's human, financial, and natural resources.

CFM<sup>®</sup> is a registered trademark and available only to individuals certified and in good standing under the ASFPM Certified Floodplain Manager Program.

For more information, you may want to review these available CFM Awareness Videos:

- <u>What is the CFM Program?</u>
- Who can be a CFM?
- What are the Benefits of a CFM?

Study materials for those interested in applying for the CFM certification can be found on the ASFPM Website at: <u>http://www.floods.org/index.asp?menuID=215</u>

Check the <u>calendar on TFMA's website</u> for in-person training sessions near you.

For information on becoming a member and the exam application process in the State of Texas visit <u>http://www.tfma.org/?page=Renewal</u>.

## Interactive Preliminary Data Viewer (maps.riskmap6.com)

To support community review of the study information and promote risk communication efforts, FEMA launched an interactive web tool accessible on-line at <u>http://maps.RiskMAP6.com</u> for the project areas.

For more information on the Interactive Preliminary Data Viewer, refer to the Region 6 Fact sheet: <u>What</u> is your Flood Risk?

### Estimated Base Flood Elevation (BFE) Viewer

As a part of the Risk MAP process, FEMA is completing **Base Level Engineering (BLE)** to provide a complete picture of flood hazard throughout a watershed. The BLE analysis uses high resolution ground elevation data, flood flow calculations, and fundamental engineering modeling techniques to define flood extents for streams.

To provide a look at BLE data availability and relative engineering analysis, FEMA developed the through the **Estimated BFE Viewer** for community officials, property owners, and land developers to identify the flood risk (high, moderate, low), expected flood elevation, and estimated flood depth near any property or structure within watersheds where BLE has been prepared.

Visit the Estimated BFE Viewer (<u>https://apps.femadata.com/estbfe</u>) application to learn the status of BLE in your area of interest or surrounding communities, to view the flood hazard data developed, or to utilize the tool's flood risk reporting features for a location where BLE has been made available.

### Map Service Center – Available Map Data

The <u>FEMA Flood Map Service Center (MSC)</u> is the official public source for flood hazard information produced in support of the NFIP. Use the MSC to find your official effective flood map, preliminary flood maps, and access a range of other flood hazard products.

FEMA flood maps are continually updated through a variety of processes. Effective information that you download or print from this site may change or become superseded by new maps over time. For additional information, please see the <u>Flood Hazard Mapping Updates Overview Fact Sheet</u>.

At the MSC, there are two ways to locate flood maps in your vicinity.

1. Enter an address, place name, or latitude/longitude coordinates and click search. This will provide the current effective FIRM panel where the location is shown.

2. Or Search All Products, which will provide access to the full range of flood risk information available.



By using the more advanced search option, "Search All Products," users may access current, preliminary, pending, and historic flood maps. Additionally, GIS data and flood risk products may be accessed through the site with these few steps.

Sector FEMA	FEMA Flood Map Service Center : Search All Products			
Navigation	Choose one of the three search options below and optionally enter a posting date range.			
Q Search	Jurisdiction		Jurisdiction Name	Product ID 😢
	State		Jurisdiction Name or FEMA ID	Product ID
🔇 Languages	TEXAS	~		
MSC Home	County		(Ex. Fairfax County-wide or 51059C)	(Ex. Panel Number, LOMC Case Number)
MSC Search by Address	HAYS COUNTY	~		
MSC Search All Products ~ MSC Products and Tools Hazus	Community HAYS COUNTY ALL JURISDI			
LOMC Batch Files Product Availability	> Filter By Posting Date Range (Optional)			
MSC Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) MSC Email Subscriptions	Search Clear All Fields	Search Clear All Fields		
Contact MSC Help				

Using the pull down menus, select your state, county, and community of interest. For this example, we selected Hays County - All Jurisdictions. After the search button is selected, the MSC will return all items in the area. There are five types of data available.

**Effective Products.** The current effective FIS, FIRM, and DFIRM database (if available) is available through the MSC. If users click on the available effective products, they are presented a breakdown of the available products. FIRM panels, FIS reports, LOMRs, statewide National Flood Hazard Layer (NFHL) data, and countywide NFHL data may be available, as indicated in the breakdown on the right of the page.

<ul> <li>FIRM Panels (88)</li> <li>GDL ALL</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>FIS Reports (4)</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>LOMC (155)</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>NFHL Data-State (1)</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>NFHL Data-County (2)</li> </ul>				

**Historic Products.** A range of historic flood hazard maps, FIS texts, and Letters of Map Change are available through the MSC.

🛅 Hist	📂 Historic Products (136) 😢					
•	FIRM Panels (101)					
•	FIS Reports (1 )	DL ALL				
•	LOMC (34)					

Flood Risk Products. The Flood Risk Report, Flood Risk Map, and Flood

Risk Database will be made available through the MSC once they have been compiled and completed. These products are made available after the flood study analysis and mapping have been reviewed and community comments incorporated.