BALANCING REVENUE CONCERNS WITH DISPOSITION CONCERNS IN MUNICIPAL COURT

A Post-Ferguson Discussion

Corey Fickes, Capital Partner Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson, LLP

Overview

- Basics of the Court process
- Background on Department Of Justice Report
- Why are you talking about non-monetary issues with Finance Directors?
- Conclusion

- The Basics
 - Judicial Process
 - Neutral Arbiter of Justice
 - Adversarial
 - Defendant
 - Court
 - State
 - Class C Misdemeanors
 - Traffic Offenses
 - Minor Offenses?
 - Teenager DUI; Speeding in a school zone; Failing to restrain a child while operating a motor vehicle; Selling cigarettes to children; Public intoxication; Assault

- Three types of punishment:
 - Fine, Incarceration, and death penalty

Fine Onlysort of

■ Fine

Court costs

- Citation is issued
- Appearance is required
- Non-appearance may trigger warrant
- What is a Warrant?
 - Capias
 - Alias

Receiption .	\sim	\sim	\sim	\sim	226985		31067	269 8-8	
	- 1 - •	1.2	1	7.)	식		2		
E9	ė:	OVINK IN GA	THOMPSON, F	P.O. BOX 72	0254, DALLAS	TEXAS 75	372	TX17-4R (VCR)
		UNIFOR	M TRAFF	IC TIC	KET AN	D CON	PLAI	NT	
CASE	ło	BY THE AUTH	DOCK	ET No		PA	GE No		
IN THE STATE	NAME ANI OF TEXAS	D BY THE AUTH	IORITY OF TH	E					
					COME			FIDAVI	T
	F۷	A GRE	GOR	1	COMI		- An	I DAVI	•
IN THE	THE	UNDERSIGNE	D, BEING DU	LY SWORN	, UPON HIS C	ATH DEP	OSES AND	D SAYS:	
		THE	DAY OF			20.		AT	A
NAME									
STREE	LAS	т	(PLEASE PRI	NT)		FIRST		INITIAL	
	STATE								
	BIF	ŢH		05	0.5%				
AGE DRIV.	DA		RA	UE	SEX	н		WT AWFULLY (((PA
LIC. No				STAT	E I	KIND		AWFULLY (OUS MATE	
								OUS MATE	HIAL
	LIC. No			YR		ST.			
VR	A PUBLIC	MAKE STREET OR		STYL	E		COLC	DR	
HIGHW	ATED IN	STREET OR ELY (LOCATIO THE CITY, VI DMMIT THE FO	N)	NSHIP, C	JUNTY AND	STATE	AFORESA	D AND D	DTH
AND		MMIT THE FO	LLOWING OF	FENSE.					
	SPEEDING	(over limit) onable for cond	5-10 m.p.h	n. L⊒ 11- APH in	15 m.p.h. [MPH zone)	over 15	m.p.h.		
	Unable	to stop in assu	red clear dista	nce ahead.				(ecol	Arrest
		EFT TURN	No Sign		Cut come	- Wi	ong lane	pted	î-Deli
stue	Improper F	IGHT TURN	No Sign	al 🛛	Into wron lane	g 🗋 Fr Wi	om ong lane	Bond	Arrest-Delivered
묘황	Disobeyed SIGNAL (N	TRAFFIC	D Past mic	idie	I Middle of		t reached	Accepted Bond-Amt. or	đ
CAS	turned red)	Intersec		Intersectio			9	
ACCIDENT CASE	Disobeyed	STOP SIGN	Wrong p		Walk spee		ister rong Side	Type -	
50	Improper F	ASSING	Between Traffic			of	pavement		
ACC eading	AN LANE US	GE	Lane Straddlin		On right Wrong lar	00	n hill n curve		
1	01000	ATIONS		19	wrong lar	е Ц 0	CUIVE		
	IN VIOLAT	OLATIONS (des ION OF SEC	cnoe)				0	FTHE	
	State S	Natute	Local Ord			and provi	ided.		
PARKIN Meter N	lo					Double	Expi	red Meter	
(Descril	be) Other		L 0	wane L	1,100 I	_ raining	, ,,		
i par	king violati			AUSED PER	SON /	PD	PI F		
	SLIPPERY PAVEMEN) –	Snow T	DODGE				-	
Increased f Violation			Night 📙	Pedestriar Driver		Ped.	d Object	snicle o	
Viola	DARKNES	° {₽		JUST MIS		Righ 🗌 🗌			
Conditions that In Seriousness of V		([Cross	ACCIDEN		Side	swipe		
tions usne	OTHER TF PRESENT		Oncoming Pedestrian			Reare	nd off Roadw	ay	ŕ
Serio	AREA:		Same direction	n dal L		Interse	ction	Rural	
_	HIGHWAY	TYPE: [2 lane	🗖 3 lane	🗌 4 la		4 lane di	vided	
THE AND D	UNDERSIG	Ned Further /e, that the P Aw and Again	STATES THAT ERSON NAME	HE HAS JUS	ST AND REAS	ONABLE G	HEREIN S	O BELIEVE, SET FORTH	
CONTR	RARY TO L	AW AND AGAIN	ST THE PEAC	E AND DIGN	ITY OF THE S	TATE.			Socia
THIS _	IN TO ANU	DAY OF	DEPONE ME	. 20	10:	ahura *	dentif	an of	
		_ 000 07 _			(Sign offic	ature and i er or other	complaina	ant)	Social Security Numbe
(Name	and title}			· /	(Unit No.)				Numi
COUR	T APPEAR	ANCE:	DAY	OF		20	AT		<u> </u>
		OURT							
ADDD									

Forms of Resolution

Pay

- Full
- Reduced
- Community Service
- Credit for Time Served
- Dismissal
- Indigent



Common Misconceptions

- The inability to pay triggers additional fines/fees
- The inability to pay results in a warrant or jail time
- The fine assessed will be collected

Department of Justice

- Ferguson Overview
- Report Findings

Case: 4:16-cv-00180 | Doc. #: 1 | Filed: 02/10/16 | Page: 1 of 56 Page/D #: 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	No
Plaintifi',	į	
ν.	į	COMPLAINT
THE CITY OF FERGUSON,	į	
Defendant.)	
)	

INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff, the United States of America ("United States"), brings this civil action against Defendent, the City of Ferguson ("City"), under the Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994, 42 U.S.C. § 14141, and Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000d.

Ferguson Findings

- Finance Director email to Police Chief:
 - "unless ticket writing ramps up significantly before the end of the year, it will be hard to significantly raise collections next year. What are your thoughts? Given that we are looking at a substantial sales tax shortfall, it's not an insignificant issue."
 - Chief Jackson responded that the City would see an increase in fines once more officers were hired and that *he could target the \$1.5 million forecast*.
- Later email from the Finance Director
 - "Court fees are anticipated to rise about 7.5%. I did ask the Chief if he thought the PD could deliver 10% increase. He indicated they could try."

Ferguson Findings

- The influence of revenue on court operations is significant and was highlighted in a 2011 report prepared by the City
 - "efforts to increase efficiencies and maximize collection."
- In that report, then-Judge Brockmeyer describes:
 - "...creating new fines and fees [...] none of these changes could have taken place without the cooperation of the Court Clerk, the Chief of Police, and the Prosecutor's Office."

Ferguson Findings

. . .

- In December 2014, the Finance Director stated publicly that Ferguson intends to make up a 2014 revenue shortfall in 2015 through municipal code enforcement
- explaining that "[t]here's about a million-dollar increase in publicsafety fines to make up the difference."

Implications

- Court Fines are not debt
- Every citation has a resolution opportunity which may differ from the window fine
- For the Court, a resolved citation should be equal to a collected citation

Imagine an email out of context

Recovery vs. Resolution Breakdown

Recovery/Resolution Chart

Resolved	=	\$ 2,449,006
Recovered	=	<u>\$ 1,418,207</u>
Difference	=	\$ 1,030,799

What does the \$1,030,799 represent?



Conclusion

- Don't be afraid of the Ferguson issue
- Be aware
- Courts will generate revenue
- Embrace all resolution
- Protect the public's trust in local government

QUESTIONS

Corey Fickes Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson, LLP 817-317-9556 corey.fickes@lgbs.com