ITEM 1:
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE AND TEXAS PLEDGE
TEXAS PLEDGE

Honor the Texas flag;
I pledge allegiance to thee, Texas,
One state under God,
One and indivisible.
ITEM 2: WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS
ITEM 3: APPROVAL OF MEETING SUMMARY (ACTION)
ITEM 4: TENANT COMMAND SERIES—MARINE AIRCRAFT GROUP–41
MAG-41 MISSION

**MAG-41 operates from 15 sites in 6 states**

Assigned 75 rotary-wing, fixed-wing, tiltrotor, and unmanned aircraft, and comprised of over 3,000 Marines & Sailors assigned to 10 squadrons. Provides combat forces in support of current Overseas Contingency Operations, Theater Security Cooperation missions, Unit Deployment Program, training exercises, and daily tasking to provide operational support to the active component.
| MAG-41 HQ | • T/M/S Leads (KC-130, F-5, C-40)  
|          | • Unit cohesion  
|          | • Integrated training |
| VMFA-112 | • Deployed  
|          | • Post-deployment aircraft plan  
|          | • Supply posture through 2030 |
| VMGR-234 | • NW Africa Response Force deployed  
|          | • VMGR-452 deactivation  
|          | • Enlisted aircrew levels |
| VMR-1    | • Aircraft delivery timeline  
|          | • SFF/IOC/FOC requirements  
|          | • Navy Air Logistics Officer (NALO) tasking |
| VMU-4    | • Deactivation POA&M  
|          | • Future 4th MAW UAS structure |
| MWSS-471 | • AR manning  
|          | • Joint Exercise Northern Lightning  
|          | • C2 of tri-site unit |
| MWSS-473 | • ITX 4-22 preparation  
|          | • C2 of tri-site unit |
| VMFT-401 | • AR aviator manpower shortfalls  
|          | • Depot delays / flight line availability  
|          | • VMFT-402 standup |
| HMLA-775 | • AR manning  
|          | • Enlisted aircrew levels |
| VMM-764  | • AR manning  
|          | • Mx readiness  
|          | • Enlisted aircrew levels |
| MALS-41  | • Unique, complex construct  
|          | • Medical support |
ITEM 5:
MISSION STATEMENT & BYLAWS UPDATE:
SECOND READING (ACTION)
RCC MISSION STATEMENT DRAFT

• The Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base Fort Worth Regional Coordination Committee will serve as a forum for dialogue between the base and the surrounding communities to implement recommendations from Joint Land Use Studies and Compatible Use Plans related to compatible development, livability, and public engagement. The RCC will provide support for the base and members of the military to preserve NAS JRB Fort Worth as a strategic military asset and to enhance the economy and quality of life in the surrounding communities.
RCC BYLAWS DRAFT AMENDMENTS
OVERVIEW

• Technical/grammatical edits throughout (new logo, base name corrected, capitalization, brought language into present tense, etc.)
• Several new or clarified definitions
• Removes the option for alternates to be appointed
• Officer election section cleaned up to not have duplicate text
• Removes Immediate Past Chair from Executive Committee per current practice
• Meeting schedule added for RCC to endeavor to meet quarterly
• Removes strict adherence to Open Meetings Act, but keeps our current open meeting and notification practices
RCC BYLAWS DRAFT AMENDMENTS
OVERVIEW

• Updates in the Membership Section:
  • Replacing outdated requirement to support JLUS recommendations with new call for voting entities to renew support for the RCC and its new mission statement.
  • Updates wording regarding non-voting entities to simply allow these entities on the RCC.
  • Newly added requirement to review the list of non-voting entities annually.
  • Clarifies the wording regarding additional non-voting entities beyond the ones specifically listed to make it clear that it does not have to be government agencies.
  • Clarifies the role of non-voting entities.
RCC BYLAWS DRAFT AMENDMENTS

OVERVIEW

• Updates in the Elections Section:
  • This section is totally reworked.
  • The schedule is more clearly laid out when the Nominating Committee is appointed and when officer candidates will be provided as information to the RCC. The election of officers in the 1st quarter of an odd-numbered year remains the same.
  • The succession process to fill a vacant officer position is better defined. It had previously only been defined for the Chair position, but now provides the process for filling any vacant position.
ITEM 6: LAS VEGAS TRAIL UPDATE
N. Las Vegas Trail Project from Quebec Dr. to IH 820

Project Overview and Update

January 24, 2022
North Las Vegas Trail - From Quebec Drive to I-820
Cities of Fort Worth and White Settlement, (Tarrant County) Texas
Project Information

Project Scope:
Preliminary engineering services to determine lane configuration to widen from 2 to 4 lanes with turn lanes, sidewalks, intersections improvements and stormwater channel improvements (according to City of Fort Worth 100-Year Flood Standards).

Objectives:
- Approved Schematic
- Public Involvement
- Environmental Clearance
- Determine ROW needs

Project Overview:
- Project Kick-off: June 9, 2021
- Project Consultant: VRX, Inc.
- Project Preliminary Engineering Cost: $712,434.66
- Current Estimated Construction Cost: $5.5 Million
- Current Estimated Letting: January 2023
Existing Conditions

**EXISTING TYPICAL SECTION**

**N LAS VEGAS TRAIL**

STA 21+58 ± TO STA 27+75 ±

(NTS)
Proposed Typical Section (ALT-3)
Preferred Alternative Layout
## Project Schedule and Lookahead

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Milestone</th>
<th>Estimated Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30% Project Schematic</td>
<td>February 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60% Project Schematic</td>
<td>April 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Meeting</td>
<td>May 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90% Project Schematic</td>
<td>June 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% Project Schematic</td>
<td>October 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Clearance</td>
<td>November 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed PS&amp;E</td>
<td>Summer 2023 – Duration 10 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Begin Construction</td>
<td>Fall 2023 – Duration 1 year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thank you!

Jennifer Carpenter, P.E.
TPD – Project & Portfolio Management Section
(817) 370-3523
Jennifer.Carpenter@txdot.gov
ITEM 7: NAS JRB FORT WORTH UPDATE
ITEM 8: DEFENSE COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAM PROJECT
Program Overview

- Office of Local Defense Community Cooperation program to address deficiencies in community infrastructure that are supportive of a military installation, in order to enhance:
  - 1) military value,
  - 2) installation resilience, and
  - 3) military family quality of life.
- Past awards: Maximum of $20 million and minimum of $250,000
- Minimum 30% non-federal match requirement
- Proposals must be construction-ready within the first 12 months after award of a grant
- Eligible activities include only hard construction and renovation costs outside of local installation property (defined as property not owned by the local installation or any component of the Department of Defense)
ITEM 9: DEVELOPMENT REVIEW TOOL PROJECTS
The parcels used in this map are for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. They do not represent an on-the-ground survey and represent only the approximate relative location of property boundaries.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ENTITY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Suzanne Meason</td>
<td>City of Lake Worth</td>
<td>11/9/2021</td>
<td>If this is a storage type facility, then that would be a compatible use in the APZ-I zone; other industrial type uses would potentially be compatible as well as opposed to residential and/or other types of commercial establishments. I do not see any issue with the proposed use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ed Spurlin</td>
<td>CPLO at NAS JRB, Fort Worth</td>
<td>11/9/2021</td>
<td>A proposed storage facility &quot;could be&quot; compatible at that location within APZ-1; however, in the case of a three-story structure it is strongly recommended applicate submit for determination FAA Part 77 Airport Airspace Analysis (AAA) to evaluate impacts. A three-story unit vertical obstruction could pose irreversible impacts to avigation/precision approaches at NAS JRB FW. Follow provided link for FAA Part 77 OE/AAA analysis: <a href="https://oeaaa.faa.gov/oeaaa/external/portal.jsp">https://oeaaa.faa.gov/oeaaa/external/portal.jsp</a> At this time a compatibility determination can not be made until results of an OE/AAA analysis. It is stressed that City Planning and Zoning Commissions to stay vigilant to vertical obstructions and impacts within the airport environment. A no-cost Part 77 OE/AAA will provide a definitive answer.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# PROJECT 142—FORT WORTH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ENTITY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jack Adkison</td>
<td>City of River Oaks</td>
<td>11/11/2021</td>
<td>You might want to rethink this one. My only objection is the three-story building.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Hoelke</td>
<td>Lockheed Martin Aeronautics</td>
<td>11/11/2021</td>
<td>Lockheed Martin concurs with the comment submitted by Mr. Ed Spurlin.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The parcels used in this map are for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. They do not represent an on-the-ground survey and represent only the approximate relative location of property boundaries.
## Project 143—Fort Worth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ENTITY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jack Adkison</td>
<td>City of River Oaks</td>
<td>11/11/2021</td>
<td>I have no problem with this rezoning.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The parcels used in this map are for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. They do not represent an on-the-ground survey and represent only the approximate relative location of property boundaries.
### PROJECT 144—LAKE WORTH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ENTITY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jack Adkison</td>
<td>City of River Oaks</td>
<td>12/2/2021</td>
<td>I have no problem with this, the City of Lake Worth has building codes for insulation in place.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doug Howard</td>
<td>City of Benbrook</td>
<td>12/3/2021</td>
<td>No concerns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward Spurlin</td>
<td>CPLO at NAS Fort Worth, JRB</td>
<td>12/8/2021</td>
<td>Property is not impacted by APZ restrictions. However, AICUZ recommends a 30 dB reduction from outside to inside noise levels in 70dB noise contours incorporated in building standards. A reduction in residential density within proximity of APZs and noise contours is highly encouraged, and Lake Worth is noted for their continued efforts reducing density. Homeowners will experience excessive and sometimes disturbing outside noise levels from aviation operations. Noise reduction building standards are highly recommended, and notifications should be available to developers and property owners. NAS JRB FW finds on objection and deemed compatible use only with noise reduction standards exceptions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The parcels used in this map are for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. They do not represent an on-the-ground survey and represent only the approximate relative location of property boundaries.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ENTITY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Doug Howard</td>
<td>City of Benbrook</td>
<td>12/3/2021</td>
<td>No concerns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward Spurlin</td>
<td>CPLO at NAS JRB, Fort Worth</td>
<td>12/8/2021</td>
<td>Property is within APZ I and by DoD Air Installation Compatible Use (AICUZ) standards, residential development is incompatible. While much of the Lake Worth residential properties fall within APZ I &amp; II, Department of Defense offers no acceptations within current guidelines for preexisting homes. Also, property is within aviation overflight and noise hazard contours. DoD realizes City councils are decision authority, considering P&amp;Z recommendations. NAS JRB FW cites DoD AICUZ standards as incompatible. It is strongly recommended property owners are made fully aware of potential hazards and aircraft overflight.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The parcels used in this map are for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. They do not represent an on-the-ground survey and represent only the approximate relative location of property boundaries.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ENTITY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jack Adkison</td>
<td>City of River Oaks</td>
<td>12/2/2021</td>
<td>I have no problem with this, the City of Lake Worth has building codes for insulation in place.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doug Howard</td>
<td>City of Benbrook</td>
<td>12/3/2021</td>
<td>No concerns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward Spurlin</td>
<td>CPLO at NAS Fort Worth, JRB</td>
<td>12/8/2021</td>
<td>As stated, property falls outside APZ, but within close proximity. Property is within noise hazard zone due to aircraft overflight, and only deemed compatible for residential by incorporating construction standards that will reduced outside to inside noise levels by 30 dB. This location will experience outside noise levels disturbing at times and will experience aircraft overflight. NAS JRB FW, ACUIZ standards outlines as compatible use with exception. Developer should confirm acceptance of noise and overflight hazards.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PROJECT 146—LAKE WORTH**
The parcels used in this map are for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. They do not represent an on-the-ground survey and represent only the approximate relative location of property boundaries.
## PROJECT 147—LAKE WORTH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ENTITY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Suzanne Meason</td>
<td>City of Lake Worth</td>
<td>11/29/2021</td>
<td>The property address is 6708 and 6734 Lake Worth Blvd, I apologize for the typo in the description.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jack Adkison</td>
<td>City of River Oaks</td>
<td>12/2/2021</td>
<td>I have no problem with this Zoning change.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doug Howard</td>
<td>City of Benbrook</td>
<td>12/3/2021</td>
<td>No concerns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward Spurlin</td>
<td>CPLO at NAS Fort Worth, JRB</td>
<td>12/8/2021</td>
<td>As stated, property falls outside APZ, but just outside. Property is within 65 or greater Db noise contour. Location will experience aviation noise and aircraft overflight. NAS JRB FW, ACUIZ standards outlines as compatible use. NAS JRB FW finds not objections.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The parcels used in this map are for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. They do not represent an on-the-ground survey and represent only the approximate relative location of property boundaries.
### PROJECT 148—FORT WORTH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ENTITY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Doug Howard</td>
<td>City of Benbrook</td>
<td>12/3/2021</td>
<td>No concerns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jack Adkison</td>
<td>City of River Oaks</td>
<td>12/5/2021</td>
<td>I have no problem with this as long as the building is well insulated for noise.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ed Spurlin  
CPLO at NAS JRB, Fort Worth  
12/7/2021  
Case # ZC-21-204 Fort Worth Hotel. 7950 Calmont Street is outside the APZ but within the 70 or greater noise counter overlay boundary. While DoD's Air Installations Compatible Use Zone (AICUZ) and city overlay guidelines deem such a development compatible, there are stipulations. The stipulation of such a project within a 70 dB noise contour cites that building construction standards should be such as to reduce the outside to inside noise levels by 25 decibels. Those standards should be applied to the design of the structure for compliance. Again, continued density growth within the proximity of the navy base applies encroachment pressures to the aviation industrial complex of the base and Lockheed Martin, and it is requested the density growth be taken into consideration. NAS JRB FW finds proposal compatible per AICUZ guidelines, with exceptions.
The parcels used in this map are for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. They do not represent an on-the-ground survey and represent only the approximate relative location of property boundaries.
### PROJECT 149—BENBROOK

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ENTITY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jack Adkison</td>
<td>City of River Oaks</td>
<td>12/8/2021</td>
<td>I have no problem with this.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ed Spurlin</td>
<td>CPLO at NAS JRB, Fort Worth</td>
<td>12/8/2021</td>
<td>No objection - compatible use.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The parcels used in this map are for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. They do not represent an on-the-ground survey and represent only the approximate relative location of property boundaries.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ENTITY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jack Adkison</td>
<td>City of River Oaks</td>
<td>12/15/2021</td>
<td>I have no problem with this. The City of Lake Worth has building codes in place for noise.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ed Spurlin</td>
<td>CPLO at NAS JRB, Fort Worth</td>
<td>12/15/2021</td>
<td>DoDs Air Installation Compatible Use Zone (AICUZ) policy cites single-family residential in the 70-74db noise contour as normally incompatible, with exception; and incompatible with no exception in the 75db and above noise contour. NAS JRB sees the combination of two smaller lots into one larger lot as a positive indicator in order to reduce current population density within noise hazard areas. NAS JRB concurs with City of Lake Worth comment that if a future structure is built, or improvements made on the property, noise reduction standards would be required incorporated into building design as to reduce outside to inside noise levels by 30db. NAS JRB finds no objection in this case.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ITEM 10: JLUS UPDATE
JLUS IMPLEMENTATION PROGRESS

• Texas Compatible Use Forum
  • Established website to share information
  • Informal gathering at ADC conference
  • Established quarterly virtual meetings

• RCC Technical Subcommittee
  • Looking at options for planning, zoning and building code best practices
  • Discussions on RCC Development Review Tool updates
  • Reviewing a draft of a “welcome packet”
  • Future topics: Noise Mitigation Program, real estate disclosure, revisit Planning Livable Military Communities recommendations/status

• Successful visit from DOD Project Manager
• Requesting one year extension of grant through February 2023
ITEM 11: ADMINISTRATIVE UPDATES
### RCC SCHEDULING UPDATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>EVENT</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>April 18, 2022</td>
<td>RCC Meeting</td>
<td>NAS JRB Fort Worth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 18, 2022</td>
<td>RCC Meeting</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 17, 2022</td>
<td>RCC Meeting</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 23, 2023</td>
<td>RCC Meeting</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional 2022 or 2023 committee meetings may be scheduled as needed at the discretion of the Chair.
CURRENT AND FUTURE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENTS

Base Access Improvements
1. Commercial Vehicle Gate Construction
2. NASJRB Main Gate Construction
3. Meandering Road Design $

Area Road Improvements
4. Westworth Village Bike Trail
5. SH 199 TxDOT Corridor Project $
6. FM 1220 (Azle Ave) Corridor Plan
7. SH 199 TxDOT Corridor Plan $
8. SH 183 TxDOT Corridor Plan $
9. IH 30 TxDOT Corridor Plan $
10. Las Vegas Trail Design $
11. Bomber Spur Bike Trail Plan
12. IH 20/CTP Connections
13. IH 820 TxDOT Corridor Plan
14. IH 20 Auxiliary Lanes $
15. Chapin School Road TxDOT Corridor Plan
16. IH 20 Statewide Corridor Plan

$ Indicates Transportation Project All or Partially Funded for Construction

1.24.2022
OTHER BUSINESS

• Media Alerts
• Correspondence
• Attendance Report
• Public Comments
CONTACT US

Dan Kessler
Assistant Director of Transportation
dkessler@nctcog.org | 817-695-9248

Amanda Wilson
Public Involvement Manager
awilson@nctcog.org | 817-695-9284

Kyle Roy
Communications Supervisor
kroy@nctcog.org | 817-704-5610

www.nctcog.org/rcc