The Regional Coordination Committee meeting will begin shortly.

Please mute your microphone and enter your name and organization in the chat box.
Item 1

Welcome and Roll Call
Item 2

NAS JRB Fort Worth Tenant Commands Series: Tenth Air Force
Item 3

Approval of Meeting Summary (Action)
Item 4

Election of 2021-2022 Officers (Action)
RCC Officer Nominees

- **Chair:** Mike Coleman, Westworth Village
- **Vice Chair:** Dr. Larry Marshall, Benbrook
- **Secretary:** Dan Chisholm, River Oaks
- **Treasurer:** Jeff James, White Settlement
Item 5

Tarrant County Transportation Bond Program
TARRANT COUNTY
2021 TRANSPORTATION BOND PROGRAM

NAS JRB Fort Worth
Regional Coordination Committee (RCC)
Monday, April 19, 2021
BOND POLICY

The Tarrant County Commissioners Court has approved a Transportation Bond Program Policy with the following elements:

• Program Goals
• Program Structure
• Project Criteria
• Project Evaluation and Selection
• Program Implementation and Administration
PROGRAM GOALS

- Reduce Congestion and Increase Mobility
- Enhance Safety and Improve Connectivity
- No Tax Rate Increase
- Broad Range of Projects and Agency Participation
- Priority to “Shovel Ready” Projects
PROGRAM STRUCTURE

The Program (up to $400 Million) will consist of the following three funding categories:

• Call for Projects (up to $200 million) - 50% funding match required;

• Discretionary (up to $75 million) – Funding match desired, but not required;

• Countywide Initiatives and Partnerships (up to $125 million) - Funding match requirement determined on a case-by-case basis.
PROJECT ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA

Project submittals, limited to 10 pages, must include:

• Letter of Request from the City’s Mayor

• City Resolution of Support

• Cost Estimate and Funding Sources

• Project Description with Concept Drawings and Schematics

• Inclusion in a Local Planning Document

• Reimbursement for Transportation-Related Costs Only
PROJECT EVALUATION AND SELECTION

• Call for Projects

Priority List developed by Project Evaluation Committee, subject to Court modification and approval

• Discretionary

Selected by each Court member

• Countywide Initiatives and Partnerships

Selected by Commissioner Court
PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION AND ADMINISTRATION

• Tarrant County Transportation Services Department will administer the Program

• Lead agency must enter into agreements (e.g. ILA) with Tarrant County to commit bond funds

• Bond funding will be on a reimbursable basis (City pays contractor then gets reimbursed by County)

• Tarrant County not responsible for project management
SCHEDULE

• January 28, 2021: Notification of Call for Projects

• April 16, 2021: Deadline for Project Submittals

• Early August, 2021: Approval of Project List

• November 2, 2021: Bond Election Day
CONTACT INFORMATION

Mike Galizio
Planning Manager
Tarrant County Transportation Services
Phone: (817) 884-1653
E-mail: mjgalizio@tarrantcounty.com

Randall Skinner
Director
Tarrant County Transportation Services
Phone: (817) 884-1173
E-mail: rvskinner@tarrantcounty.com

Web Page: www.tarrantcounty.com/tbp
Item 6

SH 183 Update
NAS JRB Fort Worth Regional Coordination Committee Meeting
SH 183 Project Update

From I-30 to SH 199
Tarrant County
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AGENDA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PROJECT STAFF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>PROJECT OVERVIEW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ENVIRONMENTAL OVERVIEW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT OVERVIEW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>ANTICIPATED SCHEDULE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>FEEDBACK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>CONTACTS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PROJECT STAFF

Helen Tran, P.E.
Project Manager

Minh Tran, P.E.
Area Engineer

Brad Hernandez, P.E.
Project Manager

Jeff Puskos, P.E.
Deputy Project Manager

Reggie Herman, AICP
Environmental Lead

Daniel Helms, P.E.
Traffic Operations Lead

Carla Verrando, P.E.
Drainage Lead

Jodi Hodges
Public Involvement Lead

Minh Tran, P.E.
Area Engineer

Helen Tran, P.E.
Project Manager

Brad Hernandez, P.E.
Project Manager

Jeff Puskos, P.E.
Deputy Project Manager

Reggie Herman, AICP
Environmental Lead

Daniel Helms, P.E.
Traffic Operations Lead

Carla Verrando, P.E.
Drainage Lead

Jodi Hodges
Public Involvement Lead
PROJECT OVERVIEW

- **Limits:** I-30 to SH 199
- **Length:** 5 miles
- **Cities:** Fort Worth, River Oaks, Westworth Village, White Settlement
- **Primary roadway access:** Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base Fort Worth via Pumphrey Drive
- **Existing conditions:** 4-lane, divided urban roadway
These objectives will develop the purpose and need for the project.
PROJECT OVERVIEW - Previous Conceptual Studies

River Oaks Boulevard Corridor Master Plan

SH 183 Corridor Master Plan
Phase II – Trinity River to IH 30

January 2018
PROJECT OVERVIEW – Previous Conceptual Studies

SHERRY LANE TO PUMPHREY DR/WHITE SETTLEMENT RD
6-LANES WITH SHARED USE PATHS
NOT TO SCALE

PUMPHREY DR/WHITE SETTLEMENT RD TO OHIO GARDEN RD
SHENNA BLVD TO SH 183
4-LANES WITH SHARED USE PATHS
NOT TO SCALE
PROJECT OVERVIEW – Previous Conceptual Studies

PROPOSED SH 183
OHIO GARDEN RD TO LONG AVE
4-LANES WITH SHARED LANE PATHS AND CONTRA-FLOW LANES
NOT TO SCALE

PROPOSED SH 183
LONG AVE TO SHENNA BLVD
4-LANES WITH SHARED LANE PATHS AND CONTRA-FLOW LANES
NOT TO SCALE

*CITY ROW WIDTH SHOWN PER FEASIBILITY STUDY.
ROW WIDTH TO BE VERIFIED.
PROJECT OVERVIEW – Adjacent Projects

- **I-30 from I-820 to Chisholm Trail Parkway**
  - TxDOT is conducting preliminary design and environmental evaluation for corridor reconstruction.

- **SH 199 from I-820 Interchange to White Settlement Road**
  - TxDOT is conducting preliminary design and environmental evaluation for proposed reconstruction and widening from four to six lanes.

Coordination between projects is ongoing.
PROJECT OVERVIEW – Proposed Improvements

- **I-30 to White Settlement Road**
  - Reconstruct and widen from four to six lanes

- **White Settlement Road to SH 199**
  - Reconstruct existing four lanes

- **I-30 to SH 199**
  - Intersection operation improvements
  - Installation of raised curb medians
  - Improve pedestrian access (trails, new bridge access over West Fork Trinity River and continuous shared-use paths)
PROJECT OVERVIEW – Scope

- **Data Collection**
  - Survey
  - SUE
  - Traffic data
  - Geotechnical borings

- **Preliminary Engineering**
  - Preliminary drainage study
  - Traffic and operational analysis report
    - Traffic projections
    - FHWA Intersection Control Evaluation (ICE)

- **Geotechnical report**
- **Conceptual design schematics**
- **Geometric design**
- **Public involvement plan and documentation**
- **Environmental analysis and (NEPA) documentation**

Preliminary engineering for development of approved schematic through data collection, traffic operations analysis, environmental studies and public involvement to gain environmental (NEPA) clearance.
PROJECT OVERVIEW – Alternative Analysis

- **Project understanding**
  - Data collection
  - Site visits
  - Stakeholder input

- **Develop environmental constraints map**

- **Develop intersection alternatives**

- **Conduct screening for comparison using an Alternatives Analysis Matrix**
  - Traffic operations and safety
  - Geometric design
  - Cost (ROW, utilities and construction)
  - Environmental impacts
  - Public and stakeholder input

- **Recommended alternative will be advanced for environmental evaluation.**
PROJECT OVERVIEW – Traffic Analysis

- Perform Intersection Control Evaluation and Select Alternative (ICE/CAP-X)

**Six Intersections**

- White Settlement Road
- Roaring Springs Road
- Pumphrey Drive
- Roberts Cut Off Road
- Ohio Garden Road
- Long Avenue

- Review alternative intersection options, access management and coordinate proposed improvements with previous conceptual studies.

- Develop synchro analysis to evaluate intersection options in opening year & design year
  - 2021 Existing Year, 2025 Base Year, 2045 Design Year, 2055 Pavement Design Year
ENVIRONMENTAL OVERVIEW – NEPA Process for Proposed Project

Early Coordination
- Public Meetings
- Technical Studies
- Prepare Draft Environmental Document
  - State Review
  - Publish Draft Environmental Document
  - Public Hearing
  - Prepare Public Hearing Summary and Final Environmental Document
  - State Review
  - Environmental Clearance

Agency Coordination
Public Outreach
Based on TxDOT Environmental Toolkit
PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT OVERVIEW

- Project Website: www.txdot.gov
  Keyword: SH 183
- Technical Work Group (TWG) Meetings
- Stakeholder and Community Meetings
- 3D Animation of the Build Alternatives
- Public Meeting(s)
- Public Hearing

Actively engage the public and gain valuable feedback
ANTICIPATED SCHEDULE

We Are Here

- Project Kickoff/Data Collection Fall 2020
- Alternative Analysis / Evaluation Summer 2021
- Public Meeting #1
- Value Engineering Study
- Public Meeting #2 (Optional)
- 100% Preliminary Design
- Public Hearing
- ENV Clearance 2023
Comments and Questions
## CONTACTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TxDOT Project Manager</th>
<th>Consultant Project Manager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Helen Tran, P.E.</td>
<td>Brad Hernandez, P.E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Manager</td>
<td>Project Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultant Management Office</td>
<td>AECOM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth District</td>
<td>Direct (214) 672-2928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(817) 370-3513</td>
<td>Mobile (214) 517-9598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:Helen.Tran@txdot.gov">Helen.Tran@txdot.gov</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:Brad.Hernandez@aecom.com">Brad.Hernandez@aecom.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thank You
Item 7

Noise Mitigation and Encroachment Management
Captain Mark McLean, Commanding Officer  
Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base (NAS JRB) Fort Worth

- Hazards Analysis:  
  Edward Spurlin  
  Community Plans and Liaison Officer  
  NAS JRB Fort Worth

Purpose: Provide noise hazard and incompatible development assessment and impacts, within proximity of NAS JRB Fort Worth.

Function: Liaison to city administrators, planning departments and organizations, and to convey DoD recommended land use best practices, in order to mitigate risks to the public that are associated with military operations.

* Primary concern, health, safety and welfare of citizens.
In a 2016 General Accounting Office (GAO) report to Congress, the GAO reviewed the department of defense’s procedures of assessing how units would be home based.

GAO findings:

________________________________________________________

The Department of Defense (DoD) demonstrates clearly defined processes for stationing / or determining “where to locate units and assets.”

DoD fully “considers incompatible land use in that assessment.”

________________________________________________________

https://www.gao.gov/assets/gao-17-86.pdf
DoD considers 7 types of *incompatible* land use, GAO identified:

- Historic assets
- Archaeology sites
- Protected species
- Protected habitat
- Climate change
- **Urban development**
- **Airborne noise**

Services under pressure from local communities due to noise and other safety concerns, as encroachment from past incompatible development decisions impact installations.

• Whidbey Island, Washington
• NAS Oceana, Virginia Beach, Virginia
• Marine Corps Air Station El Toro, California
• Burlington, Vermont
• Madison, Wisconsin
• Davis Monthan, Air Force Base, Arizona
• Marine Corps Air Station Futenma, Okinawa
Base closed – missions moved.

Encroachment goes unchecked.
Region without encroachment mitigation standards.

Populations “move to the nuisance.”
Naval Air Station Lemoore, CA. Base opens 1961.
Dyess Air Force Base, Abilene, Texas
Sheppard Air Force Base, Wichita Falls, Texas
Laughlin Air Force Base, Del Rio, Texas
Davis–Monthan Air Force Base, Tucson, AZ
Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base (NAS JRB) Fort Worth, TX
1993 - Carswell Air Force Base relocate assets to Minot & Barksdale AFB.

Minot Air Force Base, North Dakota, current home of the B-52
Summary: NAS JRB Aviation Operations

Operations = (Take-Offs and Landings)

Airfield hours of operation:
0700 – 1000
1000 - 1800
70+ flight operations per day

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Baseline Operations&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Proposed AFRC F-35A Mission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Based F-16</td>
<td>8,524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed F-35A</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Aircraft</td>
<td>16,768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Airfield Operations</td>
<td>25,292</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent Change 12.1%

<sup>a</sup> Total baseline operations is for the last year. Data in this table were collected from the operations staff at NAS Fort Worth JRB in 2017 (NAS Fort Worth JRB 2017a).
Noise Signature
NAS JRB Fort Worth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>dB (A)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>Aircraft at take off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>Car horn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Subway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>Truck, motorcycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>Busy crossroads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>Noise level near a motorway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Busy street through open windows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Light traffic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Quiet room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Desert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Earthing threshold</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Extremely Loud
Very Loud
Loud
Moderate
Faint
Established reference tools for noise hazard/encroachment mitigation:

RESOLUTION # 2007-06

A RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THE EXPANSION OF THE NAVAL AIR STATION JOINT RESERVE BASE (NAS JRB) AND RELATED COMMUNITY INITIATIVES AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE

WHEREAS, the Naval Air Station joint Reserve Base Fort Worth (NAS JRB) is recognized as one of the strongest economic engines in the North Central Texas region and the need to protect the installation from encroachment of incompatible uses is recognized as vital to its long-term existence; and

WHEREAS, NAS JRB is the premier Joint Reserve Base in the United States home to more than 2,100 active duty military personnel and more than 7,000 Guard personnel representing the Navy, Marine Corps, Air Force, Army, and the National Guard; and

WHEREAS, Lockheed Martin, which employs more than 14,000 local citizens at its Fort Worth facility, shares NAS JRB’s runway and utilizes the base’s air support; and

WHEREAS, the military has defined compatible land use in noise and safety surrounding the installation through the Air Installation Compatible Use Zones (AICUZ) study; and

WHEREAS, development that is compatible with AICUZ recommendations and safety zones may result in negative training impacts, such as reduced or extended flight time, reduced number of available training days, reduced training realism, and/or loss of flying missions; and

WHEREAS, the cities of Benbrook, Fort Worth, Lake Worth, River Oaks, Valley View, and White Settlement, and Tarrant County, have joined together in a Joint Use Study regarding urban encroachment around the installation; and

WHEREAS, the Joint Land Use Study Policy Committee was convened in 2006 to oversee the Joint Land Use Study around NAS JRB and make recommendations relating to the preservation and improvement of NAS JRB consistent with the study and community needs; and

WHEREAS, on September 24, 2007 the Joint Land Use Study (Jurus) Policy Committee unanimously approved a Resolution supporting the expansion of the NAS JRB.

WHEREAS, the City of Westworth Village City Council is a participating Jurisdiction in the 2007 JURUS and with the adoption of this Resolution endorses and supports the current and future mission of NAS JRB as is also provided for in this Resolution as of the adoption date.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WESTWORTH VILLAGE, TEXAS THAT:

Section 1. The City of Westworth Village endorses and supports the current NAS JRB mission.

To provide a high quality training environment for active duty and reserve components of all branches of the Armed Services; to reduce redundancy and overhead by developing joint doctrine and operating procedures that create seamless functionality among host and tenant commands in base support and community service programs.

Section 2. The mission for the community in the next round of Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) is to ensure that NAS JRB not only remain an active and vital military installation, but also that NAS JRB receive additional units, squadrons, equipment and related full-time active duty military, Reserve, and Guard personnel.

Section 3. The City of Westworth Village endorses and supports the initiatives in support of the current and future mission of NAS JRB pursuant to Attachment 1 of this Resolution.

Section 4. As a cooperating local partner, the City of Westworth Village endorses these recommendations, including the attached initiatives, and shall transmit the Resolution to NAS JRB and NCTCOG, and other study partners upon approval and adoption of this Resolution.

Section 5. This Resolution shall be transmitted to each impacted local government, and NAS JRB, DOD Office of Economic Adjustment, immediately upon adoption.

Section 6. This Resolution shall be in effect immediately upon its adoption.

PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF WESTWORTH VILLAGE, TEXAS ON THIS 13th DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2007.

Andrew Fuersten, Mayor

ATTEST:

Shelli Branson, City Secretary

November 13, 2007

3. **Planning Updates:** If development is planned within the city limits of The City of Westworth Village, that is within the designated noise and safety zones the City shall cooperate with the guidance of the NAS JRB Regional Coordination Committee, initiate updates to and/or develop comprehensive plans, area and other special plans, land use maps, and transportation plans to be responsive to the Air Installation Compatible Use Zone (AICUZ) study and the 2007 JLUS planning project.

4. **Zoning Changes:** For any proposed future planned development within the city limits of The City of Westworth Village that is within the designated noise and safety zones, with support and guidance of the NAS JRB Regional Coordination Committee the City shall pursue city-initiated zoning changes compliant with recommendations found in Tables VI-1 and VI-2 of the AICUZ study and the 2007 JLUS planning project.

5. **Building Regulations:** The City of Westworth Village shall adopt, with support and guidance of the NAS JRB Regional Coordination Committee, local development regulations/building codes to comply with the AICUZ study and the 2007 JLUS planning project. Codes should be applicable to all new buildings within the noise and safety zones compliant with recommendations of the AICUZ study and the 2007 JLUS planning project. [https://resources.nctcog.org/trans/aviation/jlus/WestworthVillageRESOLUTION2007-06-1.pdf](https://resources.nctcog.org/trans/aviation/jlus/WestworthVillageRESOLUTION2007-06-1.pdf)
AICUZ
UPDATE
FOR
NAS, JRB FORT WORTH
TEXAS

Southern Division
Naval Facilities Engineering Command
JULY 2002

Department of Defense Air Installations Compatible Use Zone (AICUZ)

Harry S. Truman commissions
1952 J.R. Doolittle report.

Military airport policy, like the civil, has suffered from faulty coordination, shortsighted planning and inability to secure necessary appropriations. The investment value of military airports (together with facilities thereon) in the United States is approximately $6 billion acquisition cost, and is increasing at the

https://resources.nctcog.org/trans/aviation/jlus/2002AICUZ.pdf
https://www.dot.state.mn.us/aero/planning/documents/airportanditsneighbors.pdf
Real Estate Disclosure

Areas in the vicinity of NAS Fort Worth JRB experience aircraft noise and over-flights to varying degrees. Property owners, buyers, and lessees need to be aware of where their property is located within the noise contours and APZs and the potential impact from military activities. Real estate disclosures allow prospective buyers, lessees, or renters of property in the vicinity of NAS Fort Worth JRB to make informed decisions regarding the purchase or lease of property.

Table 1: Land Use Classifications and Compatibility Guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Use</th>
<th>Land Use Compatibility with AICUZ Noise Zone (DNL)</th>
<th>Land Use Compatibility with APZs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Noise Zone 1</td>
<td>Noise Zone 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-Unit, Detached (residential)</td>
<td>&lt;55</td>
<td>55-70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Family Residential, (apartment, transient lodging)</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Assembly</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools and Hospitals</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing (e.g., petrol/chem, textile)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Services</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture, Forestry, and Mining</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KEY:**

- **Green:** Compatible
- **Red:** Incompatible

**NOTES:** This generalized land use table provides an overview of recommended land use. To determine specific land use compatibility, see OPNAVINST 11010.36C.

1. Maximum density of 1-2 dwellings per acre.
2. Land use and related structures generally compatible; however, measures to achieve Noise Level Reduction (NLR) 25 or 30 must be incorporated into design and construction of the structures.
3. Maximum floor area ratio that limits people density may apply.
4. Facilities must be low intensity.

Source: Adapted from OPNAVINST 11010.36C, Navy 2006


Primary concern is for the health, safety and welfare of citizens.
DoD/GAO and especially NAS JRB Fort Worth – fully recognize local communities are key to mitigating incompatible land use near installations.

Key Participants in Collaborative Efforts to Identify and Mitigate Incompatible Land Use

Source: GAO analysis of Department of Defense information. | GAO-17-86

AICUZ analysis:

Some locations will be considered incompatible for residential development of any kind.

- Incompatible: Military aircraft **noise hazard zone**.
- Incompatible: **Encroachment**, strategic military installation.
- Safety: Munitions/ordnance hazard within proximity.
- Safety: Military strategic fuel storage facility.
- Safety: Military jet **aircraft overflight** hazard.
Item 8

NAS JRB Fort Worth Update
Item 9

Legislative Update
• SB 149 (Powell)- Relating to the prosecution of the offense of operation of an unmanned aircraft over certain facilities.
  • Referred to the Senate Veteran Affairs and Border Security Committee.

• HB 3399 (Ortega) / SB 1910 (Blanco)- Relating to the authority of the Texas Department of Transportation to provide road services on federal military property.
  • Voted favorably from the House Transportation Committee.

• SB 1003 (Springer) / HB 4007 (Spiller)- Relating to siting requirements for the construction of a wind turbine.
  • SB 1003 set for hearing in the Senate Business and Commerce Committee on 4/13/2021.

• SB 1 (Nelson) / HB 1 (Bonnen)- General Appropriations Bill.
  • Both versions include $30 million for DEAAG
  • Passed by the Senate, being considered on the House floor this week.
SB 1233 (Seliger) / HB 3277 (Raymond)- Relating to a study of the disaster preparedness for each state military installation.
   • Reported favorably from the Senate Veteran Affairs and Border Security Committee.

HB 2825 (Bonnen)- Relating to certain transactions involving real property located near military bases.
   • Left Pending in the House Defense and Veterans Affairs Committee.

SB 683 (Blanco)- Relating to defense economic readjustment zones.
   • Referred to the Senate Finance Committee.

HB 3304 (Metcalf)- Relating to exemptions from the motor vehicle tax for a motor vehicle brought into this state by an active-duty member of the US armed forces or the member’s spouse.
   • Introduced and referred to the House Ways and Means Committee.
Dates of Interest

• May 31<sup>st</sup>, 2021, Final Day of Session / Adjourn Sine Die

• June 20<sup>th</sup>, 2021, Final Day for Governor Veto
Questions and Comments

Amanda Wilson
Program Manager
(817) 695-9284
awilson@nctcog.org

Nick Allen
Communications Coordinator
(817) 704-5699
nallen@nctcog.org

Rebekah Hernandez
Communications Supervisor
(682) 433-0477
rhernandez@nctcog.org

Kyle Roy
Communications Coordinator
(817) 704-5610
kroy@nctcog.org

www.nctcog.org/legislative
Item 10

Administrative Updates
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>July 19, 2021</td>
<td>RCC Meeting</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 18, 2021</td>
<td>RCC Meeting</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 24, 2022</td>
<td>RCC Meeting</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 18, 2022</td>
<td>RCC Meeting</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional 2021 or 2022 committee meetings may be scheduled as needed at the discretion of the Chair.
Other Business

- Media Alerts
- Correspondence
- Attendance Report
- Public Comments
Questions and Contacts

Dan Kessler
Assistant Director of Transportation
817-695-9248
dkessler@nctcog.org

Amanda Wilson
Program Manager, Government Relations
817-695-9284
awilson@nctcog.org

Kyle Roy
Communications Coordinator
817-704-5610
kroy@nctcog.org