

# **2018 Transportation Conformity**

## **Appendix 12.18: MoSERS Methodology and Calculation Descriptions**

3.0 Improved Public Transit -- Light Rail

3.1 System/Service Expansion

**Strategy:** Increase ridership by providing new rail system services and/or  
**Description:** Expansion of transit system or service can include the addition of rail services through increased frequency or route extension. Bus or paratransit services can be expanded with new vehicles and/or route extensions.  
**Application:** Large cities or communities with enough population density to support reasonably frequent transit service.

**Project Year:** 2018

**Project Description:**

**Project Code:**

Variables:	Source		NO <sub>x</sub>	VOC
<b>EF<sub>B</sub>:</b> Speed-based running exhaust emission factor for affected roadway before implementation (NO <sub>x</sub> or VOC) (grams/mile) ( <i>assume 34 mph, Light Duty Vehicles in all roadway types</i> )	MOVES2014a	<b>EF<sub>B</sub>:</b>	0.17	0.06
<b>EF<sub>TV</sub>:</b> Speed-based running exhaust emission factor for transit vehicle (NO <sub>x</sub> or VOC)	DART	<b>EF<sub>TV</sub>:</b>	0.00	0.00
<b>F<sub>T,SOV</sub>:</b> Percentage of people using a transit vehicle that previously were vehicle drivers (decimal)	MOSERS	<b>F<sub>T,SOV</sub>:</b>	0.40	0.40
<b>N<sub>TR</sub>:</b> New transit ridership ( <i>total ridership</i> )	Project Specific	<b>N<sub>TR</sub>:</b>	36.00	36.00
<b>TEF<sub>AUTO</sub>:</b> Auto trip-end emission factor (NO <sub>x</sub> or VOC) (grams/trip)	MOVES2014a	<b>TEF<sub>AUTO</sub>:</b>	0.59	0.71
<b>TEF<sub>TV</sub>:</b> Transit vehicle trip-end emission factor (NO <sub>x</sub> or VOC) (grams/trip) ( <i>DART is a zero-emissions electric train</i> )	DART	<b>TEF<sub>TV</sub>:</b>	0.00	0.00
<b>TL<sub>W</sub>:</b> Average auto trip length (to work) (miles)	COG Default	<b>TL<sub>W</sub>:</b>	16.00	16.00
<b>TL<sub>TV</sub>:</b> Route Length of the Transit Vehicle (miles)	DART	<b>TL<sub>TV</sub>:</b>	0.00	0.00
<b>VT<sub>TV</sub>:</b> Daily vehicle trips by transit vehicle	DART	<b>VT<sub>TV</sub>:</b>	0.00	0.00
<b>VT<sub>R</sub>:</b> Reduction in number of daily automobile vehicle trips		<b>VT<sub>R</sub>:</b>	14.40	14.40
<b>VMT<sub>TV/BUS</sub>:</b> Vehicle miles traveled by transit vehicle		<b>VMT<sub>TV</sub>:</b>	0.00	0.00
<b>VMT<sub>R</sub>:</b> Reduction in daily automobile VMT		<b>VMT<sub>R</sub>:</b>	230.40	230.40
<b>Conversion Factor:</b> Convert grams per mile of emissions to pounds per mile of emissions		<b>Conversion Factor:</b>	453.60	453.60
Equation:			NO <sub>x</sub>	VOC
<b>A = VT<sub>R</sub> * TEF<sub>AUTO</sub></b> Reduction in auto start emissions from trips reduced		<b>A:</b>	8.50	10.22
<b>B = VMT<sub>R</sub> * EF<sub>B</sub></b> Reduction in auto running exhaust emissions from VMT reductions		<b>B:</b>	39.17	13.82
<b>C = VT<sub>TV</sub> * TEF<sub>TV</sub></b> Increase in emissions from additional train starts		<b>C:</b>	0.00	0.00
<b>D = VMT<sub>TV</sub> * EF<sub>TV</sub></b> Increase in emissions from additional train running exhaust emissions Where,		<b>D:</b>	0.00	0.00
<b>VT<sub>R</sub> = N<sub>TR</sub> * F<sub>T,SOV</sub></b> Number of new transit riders multiplied by the percentage of riders shifting		<b>VT<sub>R</sub>:</b>	14.40	14.40
<b>VMT<sub>R</sub> = VT<sub>R</sub> * TL<sub>W</sub></b> Number of vehicle trips reduced multiplied by the average auto trip length		<b>VMT<sub>R</sub>:</b>	230.40	230.40
<b>VMT<sub>TV/BUS</sub> = VT<sub>TV</sub> * TL<sub>TV</sub></b> Number of vehicle trips reduced multiplied by the average transit route length.		<b>VMT<sub>TV/BUS</sub>:</b>	0.00	0.00
Results:			NO <sub>x</sub>	VOC
<b>Daily Emission Reduction = (A + B - C - D) / Conversion Factor</b>	<b>Daily Emission Reduction (lbs/day) =</b>		<b>0.11</b>	<b>0.05</b>
	<b>Daily Emission Reduction (tons/day) =</b>		<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>

Source: The Texas Guide to Accepted Mobile Source Emission Reduction Strategies, Texas Transportation Institute, August 2007  
 Part C and Part D are both equal to zero because the DART system utilizes electric light rail, which has zero-emission train equipment. Local assumptions are calculated from the Dallas-Fort Worth Regional Travel Model and professional judgment of the Dallas Area Rapid Transit and North Central Texas Council of Governments staff. Shading denotes input variables specific to the project. Other variables are either standard for the program or calculated using these inputs:  
 Blue - Project Specific Input  
 Yellow - Assumptions  
 Green - Emission Factors

#### 4.0 High-Occupancy Vehicle Facilities

##### 4.1 Freeway HOV Facilities

**Strategy:** Reduction of emissions by decreasing VMT and increased average speeds on the lane.

**Project Year:** 2018

**Description:** Separate lanes on controlled access highways are created for vehicles containing a specified number of passengers. The lane may be concurrent flow, barrier/buffer separated, or have a separate right-of-way.

**Project Description:**

**Application:** Highways in areas of traffic congestion with sufficient available right-of-way.

**Project Code:**

<p><b>MOSERS Formula:</b> Emission Benefit (lbs/day) = [ A + B + C ] (grams/day)/CF (grams/lbs)</p> <p><math>A = V_{HOV} * (EF_b - EF_a) * L</math></p> <p><math>B = (V_{GP,B} * EF_b - V_{GP,A} * EF_a) * L</math> "assume negligible"</p> <p><math>C = VTr * (TEF_{auto} + EF_b * TL_w)</math></p> <p><math>VTr = Np * \{ Ft * Ft_{sov} + Frs * Frs_{sov} \} * (1 - 1/AVORS)</math></p>	<p>Change in running exhaust emissions due to speed improvement in HOV lanes.</p> <p>Change in running exhaust emissions in general purpose lanes as a result of vehicle shifted away from general purpose lanes.</p> <p>Reduction of emission from (auto start exhaust + auto running exhaust) from trip reduction.</p> <p>Reduction in daily automobile trips.</p>
---	--

Assumptions:	Source	21	21.00	
<b>AVORS:</b> Average vehicle occupancy of rideshare (persons/vehicle)	COG Default	75896	2.14	2.14
<b>FRS:</b> Percentage of people attracted to the HOV facility using ride share (decimal)	COG Default	FRS:	0.83	0.83
<b>FRS,SOV:</b> Percentage of people attracted to the HOV facility using ride share that previously were vehicle drivers (decimal)	COG Default	FRS,SOV:	0.56	0.56
<b>FT:</b> Percentage of people attracted to the HOV facility using a transit vehicle (decimal)	COG Default	FT:	0.14	0.14
<b>FT,SOV:</b> Percentage of people using a transit vehicle that previously were vehicle drivers (decimal)	COG Default	FT,SOV:	0.56	0.56
<b>TLW:</b> Average auto trip length (miles)	COG Default	TLW:	20.00	20.00
<b>PG:</b> Annual Population Growth Rate assume 2.5%/Year	COG Default	PG	0.03	0.03
<b>Volume Fraction:</b>				
24-Hour Volume to Peak Hour Volume Fraction. (peak hour volume / 24-hour volume)	COG Default	VFC	0.38	0.38
<b>VTRF:</b> $(FT * FT_{SOV} + FRS * FRS_{SOV}) * (1 - 1/AVORS)$		VTRF	0.29	0.29
			<b>Conversion Factor:</b>	
<b>Conversion Factor:</b> Convert grams per mile of emissions to pounds per mile of emissions			453.60	453.60

Variables:	Source	V <sub>H</sub>	V <sub>Y</sub>	V <sub>H,A</sub>	V <sub>GP,B</sub>	V <sub>GP,A</sub>	N <sub>P</sub>	L
<b>V<sub>H</sub></b> Daily Volume of HOV lane	Data Management/ Traffic Counts	1,053.00						
<b>V<sub>Y</sub></b> Daily Volume Year	Project Specific		2016					
<b>V<sub>H,A</sub></b> Projected Daily Volume of HOV lane	Estimate	1,106.31						
<b>V<sub>GP,B</sub></b> Volume of general purpose lane, before implementation of HOV.			0.00					
<b>V<sub>GP,A</sub></b> Volume of General purpose lane, after implementation of HOV.			0.00					
<b>N<sub>P</sub></b> Total number of expected people using the HOV lanes per day. If Restricted HOV use only peak our Volume [ N <sub>P</sub> = 2.14 * V <sub>HOV</sub> ]	Data Management/ DART Traffic Counts	2,367.50						
<b>L</b> Center Line Miles	Project Specific			4.00				

#### 4.0 High-Occupancy Vehicle Facilities

Emission Factors:	Speed	NO <sub>x</sub>	VOC
<b>TEF<sub>AUTO</sub></b> : Auto trip-end emission factor (NO <sub>x</sub> , VOC, or CO) (grams/trip)		TEF <sub>AUTO</sub> : 0.59	0.71
<b>EF<sub>B</sub></b> : Speed-based running exhaust emission factor before implementation of HOV facility (NO <sub>x</sub> , VOC, or CO) (grams/mile) (assume 43 mph, Light Duty Vehicles on fwy)	43mph	EF <sub>B</sub> : 0.09	0.03
<b>EF<sub>H,A</sub></b> : Speed-based running exhaust emission factor on HOV facility (NO <sub>x</sub> , VOC, or CO) (estimate) (assume 51 mph, Light Duty Vehicles on fwy)	51mph	EF <sub>H,A</sub> : 0.09	0.03
<b>EF<sub>GP,A</sub></b> : Speed-based running exhaust emission factor after implementation of HOV facility (NO <sub>x</sub> , VOC, or CO) (general purpose lanes) (estimate) (assume 43 mph, Light Duty Vehicles on fwy)	43mph	EF <sub>GP,A</sub> : 0.09	0.03
<b>Emission Calculations:</b>			
<b>A = V<sub>H,A</sub> * (EF<sub>B</sub> - EF<sub>H,A</sub>) * L</b> Change in running exhaust emissions from vehicles shifting from general purpose lanes to HOV lanes		<b>A:</b> 0.00	0.00
<b>B = (V<sub>GP,B</sub> * EF<sub>B</sub> - V<sub>GP,A</sub> * EF<sub>GP,A</sub>) * L</b> Change in running exhaust emissions of vehicles in general purpose lanes as a result of vehicles shifted away from general purpose lanes [ assume negligible]		<b>B:</b> 0.00	0.00
<b>C = (Np * VTRF) * (TEF<sub>AUTO</sub> + EFB * TLw)</b> Reduction in Emissions from Trip reduction- including auto start exhaust emissions and running exhaust emission from the entire trip		<b>C:</b> 1,648.72	903.69
Where, [VTr = Np * VTRF] Reduction in daily Automobile Vehicle trips		<b>VTr:</b> 689.84	689.84
<b>Results:</b>			
<b>Daily Emission Reduction = (A + B + C) / Conversion Factor</b>	<b>Daily Emission Reduction (lbs/day) =</b>	<b>3.63</b>	<b>1.99</b>
<b>Daily Emission Reduction = (A + B + C) / Conversion Factor</b>	<b>Daily Emission Reduction (tons/day) =</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>

Source: The Texas Guide to Accepted Mobile Source Emission Reduction Strategies, Texas Transportation Institute, August 2007

Shading denotes input variables specific to the project. Other variables are either standard for the program or calculated using these inputs:

Blue - Project Specific Input

Yellow - Assumptions

Green - Emission Factors

Speed and average volume on general-purpose lanes before and after implementation of the HOV facility are equal for part B. Local assumptions are calculated from the Dallas-Fort Worth Regional Travel Model, and data provided by the Texas Transportation Institute.

5.0 Employer-Based Transportation Management Programs

5.1 Transit/Rideshare Services - Vanpools

**Strategy:** Reduction of vehicle trips and emissions through increased used of transit, carpooling, or vanpooling.

**Project Year:** 2018

**Description:** Employers or groups of employers in activity centers provide transportation service to and from the work site to transit facilities and homes. The services can include subscription buses, midday and park-and-ride shuttles, and Guaranteed Ride Home programs.

**Project Description:**

**Application:** Large companies or groups of cooperating businesses.

**Project Code:**

Variables:	Source		NO <sub>x</sub>	VOC
<b>EF<sub>A</sub>:</b> Speed-based running exhaust emission factor after implementation (NO <sub>x</sub> or VOC) (grams/mile) ( <i>assume 34 mph, Light Duty Vehicle on all roadway types</i> )	MOVES2014a	<b>EF<sub>A</sub>:</b>	0.17	0.06
<b>EF<sub>B</sub>:</b> Speed-based running exhaust emission factor before implementation (NO <sub>x</sub> or VOC) (grams/mile) ( <i>assume 34 mph, Light Duty Vehicle on all roadway types</i> )	MOVES2014a	<b>EF<sub>B</sub>:</b>	0.17	0.06
<b>N<sub>VA</sub>:</b> Number of vehicles after implementation ( <i>equal to number of vanpools</i> )	Project Specific	<b>N<sub>VA</sub>:</b>	180.00	180.00
<b>N<sub>VOR</sub>:</b> Vehicle Occupancy	COG Default	<b>N<sub>VA</sub>:</b>	21.00	21.00
<b>N<sub>VB</sub>:</b> Number of vehicles before implementation ( <i>equal to vanpool occupancy * number of vanpools</i> )		<b>N<sub>VB</sub>:</b>	3,780.00	3,780.00
<b>TEF<sub>AUTO</sub>:</b> Auto trip-end emission factor (NO <sub>x</sub> or VOC) (grams/trip)	MOVES2014a	<b>TEF<sub>AUTO</sub>:</b>	0.59	0.71
<b>TL<sub>A</sub>:</b> Average auto trip length after implementation (miles)	COG Default	<b>TL<sub>A</sub>:</b>	85.00	85.00
<b>TL<sub>B</sub>:</b> Average auto trip length before implementation (miles)	COG Default	<b>TL<sub>B</sub>:</b>	35.00	35.00
<b>VT<sub>A</sub>:</b> Vehicle trips after implementation		<b>VT<sub>A</sub>:</b>	360.00	360.00
<b>VT<sub>B</sub>:</b> Vehicle trips before implementation		<b>VT<sub>B</sub>:</b>	7,560.00	7,560.00
<b>Conversion Factor:</b> Convert grams per mile of emissions to pounds per mile of emissions		<b>Conversion Factor:</b>	453.60	453.60
<b>Equation:</b>			NO <sub>x</sub>	VOC
<b>A = VT<sub>B</sub> * TL<sub>B</sub> * EF<sub>B</sub></b> Auto running exhaust emissions before strategy implementation		<b>A:</b>	44,982.00	15,876.00
<b>B = VT<sub>A</sub> * TL<sub>A</sub> * EF<sub>A</sub></b> Auto running exhaust emissions after strategy implementation		<b>B:</b>	5,202.00	1,836.00
<b>C = (VT<sub>B</sub> - VT<sub>A</sub>) * TEF<sub>AUTO</sub></b> Reduction in start exhaust emissions from reduction in vehicle trips to/from employment center		<b>C:</b>	4,248.00	5,112.00
<b>VT<sub>A</sub> = NV<sub>A</sub> * 2 trips/day</b> Number of vehicles before strategy implementation multiplied by two trips per day (round trip).		<b>VT<sub>A</sub>:</b>	360.00	360.00
<b>VT<sub>B</sub> = NV<sub>B</sub> * 2 trips/day</b> Number of vehicles after strategy implementation multiplied by two trips per day (round trip).		<b>VT<sub>B</sub>:</b>	7,560.00	7,560.00
<b>Results:</b>			NO <sub>x</sub>	VOC
<b>Daily Emission Reduction = [(A - B) + C] / Conversion Factor</b>	<b>Daily Emission Reduction (lbs/day) =</b>		97.06	42.22
	<b>Daily Emission Reduction (tons/day) =</b>		0.05	0.02

Source: The Texas Guide to Accepted Mobile Source Emission Reduction Strategies, Texas Transportation Institute, August 2007.

Local assumptions for vanpool projects are calculated from their monthly performance measures reported by the Dallas Area Rapid Transit and the Fort Worth Transit Authority for fiscal years 2004 to 2010. This analysis also incorporates an assumption of equal emission factors, trips, and trip length before and after implementation of the vanpool programs.

Shading denotes input variables specific to the project. Other variables are either standard for the program or calculated using these inputs:

Blue - Project Specific Input

Yellow - Assumptions

Green - Emission Factors

7.0 Traffic Flow Improvements -- TTI Equation

7.1 Traffic Signalization

**Strategy:** Traffic signalization projects can measurably reduce CO and HC emissions by decreasing vehicular stops and idling, which would in turn reduce travel times and traffic delays.

**Project Year:** 2018

**Description:** Traffic signalization increases the efficiency of traffic flow at intersections by improving interconnection and coordination of signals, leading to reductions in travel times, delays, and stop-and-go driving. Traffic signalization can be as simple as updating the equipment and/or software or improving the timing plan. Because signal improvements reduce travel times and stop-and-go driving conditions, they can measurably reduce CO and HC emissions as well as reducing fuel consumption.

**Project Description:**

**Application:** Major arterials or high capacity roadways with uncoordinated traffic signals.

**Project Code:**

Variables:	Source		NO <sub>x</sub>	VOC
EF <sub>I</sub> : Idling emission factor (NOx or VOC) (grams/mile) (equal to the emission factor at 2.5 mph for all vehicle types on arterials)	MOVES2014a	EF <sub>I</sub> :	0.64	0.33
EF <sub>I</sub> : Idling emission factor (NOx or VOC) (grams/hour) (equal to the emission factor at 2.5 mph, multiplied by 2.5 miles to get units of grams/hour)		EF <sub>I</sub> :	1.60	0.83
D <sub>B</sub> : Time delay before project implementation (seconds)	COG Default	D <sub>B</sub> :	36.00	36.00
D <sub>A</sub> : Time delay after project implementation (seconds)	COG Default	D <sub>A</sub> :	21.00	21.00
V: Bi-directional arterial volume for analysis period	Project Specific	V:	75,896.00	75,896.00
P <sub>H,R</sub> : Peak Hour Ratio	COG Default	P <sub>H,R</sub> :	0.46	0.46
V <sub>D,P</sub> : Average daily volume during the peak period		V <sub>D,P</sub> :	34,912.16	34,912.16
V <sub>D,OP</sub> : Average daily volume during the off-peak period		V <sub>D,OP</sub> :	40,983.84	40,983.84
DR: Reduction in time delay (seconds)	COG Default	DR:	15.00	15.00
<b>Conversion Factor:</b> Convert grams per mile of emissions to pounds per mile of emissions	Standard	<b>Conversion Factor:</b>	453.60	453.60
Equation:			NO <sub>x</sub>	VOC
<b>A = (D<sub>B</sub> - D<sub>A</sub>) * EF<sub>I</sub> * V<sub>D,P</sub></b> Change in exhaust emissions from improved speed during the peak and off-peak periods.		<b>A:</b>	232.75	120.01
<b>B = (D<sub>B</sub> - D<sub>A</sub>) * EF<sub>I</sub> * V<sub>D,OP</sub></b> Change in idling exhaust emissions from improved traffic flow during the peak and off-peak periods.		<b>B:</b>	273.23	140.88
Results:			NO <sub>x</sub>	VOC
<b>Daily Emission Reduction = (A + B)/Conversion Factor</b>	<b>Daily Emission Reduction (lbs/day) =</b>		<b>1.12</b>	<b>0.58</b>
	<b>Daily Emission Reduction (tons/day) =</b>		<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>

Source: The Texas Guide to Accepted Mobile Source Emission Reduction, August 2007.

Local variable calculations utilize data from the Highway Capacity Manual, and the Dallas-Fort Worth Travel Demand Model.

Shading denotes input variables specific to the project. Other variables are either standard for the program or calculated using these inputs:

Blue - Project Specific Input

Yellow - Assumptions

Green - Emission Factors

7.0 Traffic Flow Improvements -- TTI Equation

7.1 Traffic Signalization by Corridor

**Strategy:** Traffic signalization projects can measurably reduce CO and HC emissions by decreasing vehicular stops and idling, which would in turn reduce travel times and traffic delays.

**Project Year:** 2018

**Description:** Traffic signalization increases the efficiency of traffic flow at intersections by improving interconnection and coordination of signals, leading to reductions in travel times, delays, and stop-and-go driving. Traffic signalization can be as simple as updating the equipment and/or software or improving the timing plan. Because signal improvements reduce travel times and stop-and-go driving conditions, they can measurably reduce CO and HC emissions as well as reducing fuel consumption. (See MoSERS 2007, page B.7.5-7.6 for more information.)

**Project Description:**

**Application:** Major arterials or high capacity roadways with uncoordinated traffic signals.

**Project Code:**

Variables:	Source		NOx	VOC
<b>EF<sub>AP</sub>:</b> Speed-based running exhaust emission factor during peak hours after implementation (NOx or VOC) (grams/mile) (equal to the emission factor at 9 mph for all vehicle types on arterials)	MOVES 2014a	<b>EF<sub>A</sub>:</b>	0.25	0.11
<b>EF<sub>BP</sub>:</b> Speed-based running exhaust emission factor during peak hours before implementation (NOx or VOC) (grams/mile) (equal to the emission factor at 5 mph for all vehicle types on arterials)	MOVES 2014a	<b>EF<sub>B</sub>:</b>	0.36	0.18
<b>EF<sub>A,OP</sub>:</b> Speed-based running exhaust emission factor during off-peak hours after implementation (NOx or VOC) (grams/mile) (COG assumption: at 9 mph)	MOVES 2014a	<b>EF<sub>A,OP</sub>:</b>	0.25	0.11
<b>EF<sub>B,OP</sub>:</b> Speed-based running exhaust emission factor during off-peak hours before implementation (NOx or VOC) (grams/mile) (COG assumption: at 5 mph)	MOVES 2014a	<b>EF<sub>B,OP</sub>:</b>	0.36	0.18
<b>L:</b> Length of roadway affected by signalization project (miles) (for a corridor, use the length of the entire corridor being retimed in progression, from limit A to limit B)	Project Specific	<b>L:</b>	5.00	5.00
<b>V:</b> Bi-directional arterial volume for analysis period (average corridor volume)	Project Specific	<b>V:</b>	36,665.00	36,665.00
<b>V<sub>D,P</sub>:</b> Average daily volume during the peak period	Project Specific	<b>V<sub>D,P</sub>:</b>	16,865.90	16,865.90
<b>V<sub>D,OP</sub>:</b> Average daily volume during the off-peak period	Project Specific	<b>V<sub>D,OP</sub>:</b>	19,799.10	19,799.10
<b>Conversion Factor:</b> Convert grams per mile to pounds per mile of emissions		<b>Conversion Factor:</b>	453.60	453.60
<b>Equation:</b>			<b>NOx</b>	<b>VOC</b>
<b>A= V<sub>D,P</sub>*(EF<sub>B,P</sub> - EF<sub>A,P</sub>)*L</b> Change in running exhaust emissions from improved traffic flow during the peak period		<b>A:</b>	9,276.25	5,903.07
<b>B= V<sub>D,OP</sub>*(EF<sub>B,OP</sub> - EF<sub>A,OP</sub>)*L</b> Change in running exhaust emissions from improved traffic flow during the off-peak period		<b>B:</b>	10,889.51	6,929.69
<b>Results:</b>			<b>NOx</b>	<b>VOC</b>
<b>Daily Emission Reduction = (A + B)/Conversion Factor</b>		<b>Daily Emission Reduction (lbs/day) =</b>	<b>44.46</b>	<b>28.29</b>
		<b>Daily Emission Reduction (tons/day) =</b>	<b>0.02</b>	<b>0.01</b>

Source: The Texas Guide to Accepted Mobile Source Emission Reduction, August 2007.

Before speeds and after speeds are assumed to be the same during peak and off-peak hours. Local variable calculations utilize data from the Highway Capacity Manual, and the Dallas-Fort Worth Travel Demand Model.

Shading denotes input variables specific to the project. Other variables are either standard for the program or calculated using these inputs:

Blue - Project Specific Input

Green - Emission Factors

7.0 Traffic Flow Improvements -- TTI Equation

7.2 Traffic Operations: Intersection Improvements

**Strategy:** Reduce congestion in corridors and intersections, improving traffic speeds and reducing idling times, leading to lower emission and improved traffic system efficiency.

**Project Year:** 2018

**Description:** Traffic operation improvements, similar to traffic signalization improvements primary focus on reducing congestion on local and arterial streets by improving the systems efficiency. Generally, each action will improve traffic flow and safety. Many roadway changes require only signage and pavement marking changes with little new construction and are relatively quick to implement.

**Project Description:**

**Application:** Major arterials or high capacity roadways.

**Project Code:**

Variables:	Source		NO <sub>x</sub>	VOC
<b>EF<sub>i</sub></b> : Idling emission factor (NO <sub>x</sub> or VOC) (grams/mile) (equal to the emission factor at 2.5 mph, all vehicles types on arterials)	MOVES2014a	<b>EF<sub>i</sub></b> :	0.64	0.33
<b>EF<sub>i</sub></b> : Idling emission factor (NO <sub>x</sub> or VOC) (grams/hour) (equal to the emission factor at 2.5 mph, multiplied by 2.5 miles to get units of grams/hour)		<b>EF<sub>i</sub></b> :	1.60	0.83
<b>D<sub>B</sub></b> : Time delay before project implementation (seconds)	COG Default	<b>D<sub>B</sub></b> :	31.00	31.00
<b>D<sub>A</sub></b> : Time delay after project implementation (seconds)	COG Default	<b>D<sub>A</sub></b> :	21.00	21.00
<b>V</b> : Bi-directional arterial volume for analysis period	Project Specific	<b>V</b> :	75,896.00	75,896.00
<b>P,H<sub>R</sub></b> : Peak Hour Ration	COG Default	<b>P,H<sub>R</sub></b> :	0.46	0.46
<b>V<sub>D,P</sub></b> : Average daily volume during the peak period		<b>V<sub>D,P</sub></b> :	34,912.16	34,912.16
<b>V<sub>D,OP</sub></b> : Average daily volume during the off-peak period		<b>V<sub>D,OP</sub></b> :	40,983.84	40,983.84
<b>DR</b> : Reduction in time delay (seconds)	COG Default	<b>DR</b> :	10.00	10.00
<b>Conversion Factor</b> : Convert grams per mile of emissions to pounds per mile of emissions	Standard	<b>Conversion Factor</b> :	453.60	453.60
<b>Equation:</b>			<b>NO<sub>x</sub></b>	<b>VOC</b>
<b>A = (D<sub>B</sub> - D<sub>A</sub>) * EF<sub>i</sub> * V<sub>D,P</sub></b> Change in exhaust emissions from improved speed during the peak and off-peak periods.		<b>A</b> :	155.17	80.01
<b>B = (D<sub>B</sub> - D<sub>A</sub>) * EF<sub>i</sub> * V<sub>D,OP</sub></b> Change in idling exhaust emissions from improved traffic flow during the peak and off-peak periods.		<b>B</b> :	182.15	93.92
<b>Results:</b>			<b>NO<sub>x</sub></b>	<b>VOC</b>
<b>Daily Emission Reduction = (A + B)/Conversion Factor</b>	<b>Daily Emission Reduction (lbs/day) =</b>		<b>0.74</b>	<b>0.38</b>
	<b>Daily Emission Reduction (tons/day) =</b>		<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>

Source: The Texas Guide to Accepted Mobile Source Emission Reduction, August 2007.  
 Local variable calculations utilize data from the Highway Capacity Manual, and the Dallas-Fort Worth Travel Demand Model.  
 Shading denotes input variables specific to the project. Other variables are either standard for the program or calculated using these inputs:  
 Blue - Project Specific Input  
 Yellow - Assumptions  
 Green - Emission Factors



7.0 Traffic Flow Improvements

7.4 Intelligent Transportation Systems - Regional Benefits Calculation Methodology - 2018 Emissions

County	NO <sub>x</sub> (tons/day)	VOC (tons/day)	% ITS Coverage	% Emission (Nonrecurrent) <sup>1</sup>	% Recurrent Congestion Eliminated	% Nonrecurrent Congestion Eliminated <sup>1</sup>
Collin	3.28	0.48	88	N/A	0.05	N/A
Dallas	18.80	3.31	87	N/A	0.05	N/A
Denton	3.75	0.50	89	N/A	0.05	N/A
Tarrant	11.58	1.98	88	N/A	0.05	N/A
<b>Total</b>	<b>37.42</b>	<b>6.27</b>	-	N/A	0.05	N/A

	Collin	Dallas	Denton	Tarrant	Four County Total (tons/day)	Four County Total (lbs/day)
Reduction in Estimated NO <sub>x</sub> Emissions Caused by Peak Hour Nonrecurrent Congestion <sup>1</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Reduction in Estimated NO <sub>x</sub> Emissions Caused by Peak Hour Recurrent Congestion	0.14	0.82	0.17	0.51	1.64	3,277.90
Reduction in Estimated Total NO <sub>x</sub> Emissions Caused by Peak Hour Congestion	<b>0.14</b>	<b>0.82</b>	<b>0.17</b>	<b>0.51</b>	<b>1.64</b>	<b>3,277.90</b>
Reduction in Estimated VOC Emissions Caused by Peak Hour Nonrecurrent Congestion <sup>1</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Reduction in Estimated VOC Emissions Caused by Peak Hour Recurrent Congestion	0.02	0.14	0.02	0.09	0.27	549.23
Reduction in Estimated Total VOC Emissions Caused by Peak Hour Congestion	<b>0.02</b>	<b>0.14</b>	<b>0.02</b>	<b>0.09</b>	<b>0.27</b>	<b>549.23</b>

DFX = NCTCOG Dallas Fort Worth Travel Demand Model for the Expanded Area

<sup>1</sup>NCTCOG DFX does not include nonrecurring congestion or off-peak emissions benefits.

Shading denotes input variables specific to the project. Other variables are either standard for the program or calculated using these inputs:

Blue - Project Specific Input

Yellow - Assumptions

7.0 Traffic Flow Improvements -- TTI Equation

7.5 Grade Separation (Road-Road)

**Strategy:** Reduce congestion in corridors by reducing idling times and leading to lower emissions and improved traffic system efficiency.

**Description:** Grade Separations increases the efficiency of traffic flow at intersections by reduction in travel times, delays, and stop-and-go driving.

**Application:** Major arterials or high capacity roadways.

**Project Year:** 2018

**Project Description:**

**Project Code:**

Variables:	Source		NO <sub>x</sub>	VOC
<b>EF<sub>I</sub></b> : Idling emission factor (NOx or VOC) (grams/mile) (equal to the emission factor at 2.5 mph, all vehicles, arterials)	MOVES2014a	<b>EF<sub>I</sub></b> :	0.64	0.33
<b>EF<sub>I</sub></b> : Idling emission factor (NOx or VOC) (grams/hour) (equal to the emission factor at 2.5 mph, multiplied by 2.5 miles to get units of grams/hour)		<b>EF<sub>I</sub></b> :	1.60	0.83
<b>D<sub>B</sub></b> : Time delay before project implementation (seconds)	COG Default	<b>D<sub>B</sub></b> :	45.00	45.00
<b>D<sub>A</sub></b> : Time delay after project implementation (seconds)	COG Default	<b>D<sub>A</sub></b> :	21.00	21.00
<b>V</b> : Bi-directional arterial volume for analysis period	Project Specific	<b>V</b> :	75,896.00	75,896.00
<b>P,H<sub>R</sub></b> : Peak Hour Ration	COG Default	<b>P,H<sub>R</sub></b> :	0.46	0.46
<b>V<sub>D,P</sub></b> : Average daily volume during the peak period		<b>V<sub>D,P</sub></b> :	34,912.16	34,912.16
<b>V<sub>D,OP</sub></b> : Average daily volume during the off-peak period		<b>V<sub>D,OP</sub></b> :	40,983.84	40,983.84
<b>DR</b> : Reduction in time delay (seconds)	COG Default	<b>DR</b> :	24.00	24.00
<b>Conversion Factor</b> : Convert grams per mile of emissions to pounds per mile of emissions	Standard	<b>Conversion Factor</b> :	453.60	453.60
<b>Equation:</b>			<b>NO<sub>x</sub></b>	<b>VOC</b>
<b>A = (D<sub>B</sub> - D<sub>A</sub>) * EF<sub>I</sub> * V<sub>D,P</sub></b> Change in exhaust emissions from improved speed during the peak and off-peak periods.		<b>A</b> :	372.40	192.02
<b>B = (D<sub>B</sub> - D<sub>A</sub>) * EF<sub>I</sub> * V<sub>D,OP</sub></b> Change in idling exhaust emissions from improved traffic flow during the peak and off-peak periods.		<b>B</b> :	437.16	225.41
<b>Results:</b>			<b>NO<sub>x</sub></b>	<b>VOC</b>
<b>Daily Emission Reduction = (A + B)/Conversion Factor</b>	<b>Daily Emission Reduction (lbs/day) =</b>		<b>1.78</b>	<b>0.92</b>
	<b>Daily Emission Reduction (tons/day) =</b>		<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>

Source: The Texas Guide to Accepted Mobile Source Emission Reduction, August 2007.  
 Local variable calculations utilize data from the Highway Capacity Manual, and the Dallas-Fort Worth Travel Demand Model.  
 Shading denotes input variables specific to the project. Other variables are either standard for the program or calculated using these inputs:  
 Blue - Project Specific Input  
 Yellow - Assumptions  
 Green - Emission Factors

## 7.0 Traffic Flow Improvements

### 7.5 Railroad Grade Separation

**Strategy:** Grade separation of rail lines and arterial streets reduces congestion in corridors by reducing idling times and leading to lower emissions and improved traffic system efficiency.

**Project Year:** 2018

**Description:** Railroad grade separations remove periodic traffic delays on major roadways by raising or lowering either the rail line or the roadway and permitting more efficient flow of traffic at major rail crossings.

**Project Description:**

**Application:** Arterials with delays caused by at-grade rail crossings.

**Project Code:**

Variables:	Source		NO <sub>x</sub>	VOC
EF <sub>1</sub> : Idling emission factor (NO <sub>x</sub> or VOC) (grams/mile). (Emission factor at 2.5 mph, all vehicles, arterials).	MOVES2014a	EF <sub>1</sub> :	0.64	0.33
EF <sub>1</sub> : Idling emission factor (NO <sub>x</sub> or VOC) (grams/hour) (equal to the emission factor at 2.5 mph, multiplied by 2.5 miles to get units of grams/hour)		EF <sub>1</sub> :	1.60	0.83
t <sub>c</sub> : Average amount of time rail crossing is closed due to train crossing (hours/crossing)	TRE	t <sub>c</sub> :	21.00	21.00
t <sub>H</sub> : Duration of analysis period (hours)		t <sub>H</sub> :	24.00	24.00
t <sub>F</sub> : Frequency of Train per analysis period.	TRE	t <sub>F</sub> :	30.00	30.00
t <sub>H,C</sub> : Hours per analysis period roadway is closed due to train crossing	TRE	t <sub>H,C</sub> :	0.90	0.90
V: Bi-directional arterial volume for analysis period	TxDOT	V:	28,633.00	28,633.00
<b>Conversion Factor:</b> Convert grams per mile of emissions to pounds per mile of emissions	Standard	<b>Conversion Factor:</b>	453.60	453.60
Equation:			NO <sub>x</sub>	VOC
A = t <sub>H,C</sub> / t <sub>H</sub> * V The proportion of arterial traffic affected by rail crossing delays		A:	1,073.74	1,073.74
B = t <sub>c</sub> / 2 * EF <sub>1</sub> The idling emissions resulting from affected traffic assumed to be idling half the average time the roadway is closed per train crossing		B:	16.80	8.66
Results:			NO <sub>x</sub>	VOC
<b>Daily Emission Reduction = (A * B)/Conversion Factor</b>		<b>Daily Emission Reduction (lbs/day) =</b>	39.77	20.51
		<b>Daily Emission Reduction (tons/day) =</b>	0.02	0.01

Source: The Texas Guide to Accepted Mobile Source Emission Reduction Strategies, August 2007.

Local variable calculations utilize data from the Dallas-Fort Worth Regional Travel Model, Federal Railroad Administration, Union Pacific Railroad, the Association of American Railroads (Railroad Facts<sup>®</sup> 1999 Edition, the Trinity Railway Express June 2003 Schedule, and the Regional Rail Corridor Study Consultant Team: URS Corporation, Carter-Burgess, and Lonnie Blaydes Consulting.

Shading denotes input variables specific to the project. Other variables are either standard for the program or calculated using these inputs:

Blue - Project Specific Input

Yellow - Assumptions

Green - Emission Factors

8.0 Park-and-Ride/Fringe Parking

8.1 New Facilities

**Strategy:** Reduction of vehicle trips and VMT by enhancements of transit system and ridesharing.

**Project Year:** 2018

**Description:** Construction of new park-and-ride facilities in locations remote from the central city area or major business activity centers or on the fringes of major employment centers. Lots or garages are constructed adjacent to or very near transit facilities or heavily traveled corridors. These lots are designed to be conducive to several modes of transportation including pedestrian and bicycle facilities. New facilities will require coordination with other transportation agencies, and political and citizen groups.

**Project Description:**

**Application:** Cities with a population density great enough to warrant projects that encourage carpooling.

**Project Code:**

Variables:	Source		NO <sub>x</sub>	VOC
<b>EF<sub>B</sub>:</b> Speed-based running exhaust emission factor before implementation (NO <sub>x</sub> or VOC) (grams/mile) ( <i>assume 34 mph, Light Duty Vehicles in all roadway types</i> )	MOVES2014a	<b>EF<sub>B</sub>:</b>	0.17	0.06
<b>N<sub>PK</sub>:</b> Number of parking spaces	Project Specific	<b>N<sub>PK</sub>:</b>	887.00	887.00
<b>U<sub>P</sub>:</b> Parking lot utilization rate (estimate)	COG Default	<b>U<sub>P</sub>:</b>	0.85	0.85
<b>TL<sub>W</sub>:</b> Average auto work trip length (miles)	COG Default	<b>TL<sub>W</sub>:</b>	20.00	20.00
<b>TL<sub>PR</sub>:</b> Average auto trip length from home to parking facility (miles)	COG Default	<b>TL<sub>PR</sub>:</b>	4.00	4.00
<b>Conversion Factor:</b> Convert grams per mile of emissions to pounds per mile of emissions		<b>Conversion Factor:</b>	453.60	453.60
<b>Results:</b>			<b>NO<sub>x</sub></b>	<b>VOC</b>
<b>Daily Emissions Reduction=</b>	$[N_{PK} * U_P * (TL_W - TL_{PR}) * EF_B * 2 \text{ trips/day}] / \text{Conversion Factor}$	<b>Daily Emission Reduction (lbs/day) =</b>	<b>9.04</b>	<b>3.19</b>
		<b>Daily Emission Reduction (tons/day) =</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>

Source: The Texas Guide to Accepted Mobile Source Emission Reduction Strategies, Texas Transportation Institute, August 2007. Local assumptions are calculated from data generated by the Dallas-Fort Worth Regional Travel Model, and from professional judgment of the North Central Texas Council of Governments staff. Shading denotes input variables specific to the project. Other variables are either standard for the program or calculated using these inputs:  
 Blue - Project Specific Input  
 Yellow - Assumptions  
 Green - Emission Factors

11.0 Bicycle and Pedestrian Programs

11.1 Bicycle and Pedestrian Lanes or Paths

**Strategy:** Replacement of vehicle trips and VMT with bicycle and pedestrian travel.

**Project Year:** 2017

**Description:** A wide variety of bicycle and pedestrian projects are available to practitioners for implementation in air quality mitigation efforts. Funding for these types of programs has increased dramatically under ISTEA and TEA-21. Examples of such projects include (but are not limited to): reallocation of right-of-way to accommodate bicycles and pedestrians, new trails, median refuges at key intersections, improved connections between residential areas and transit stops.

**Project Description:**

**Application:** Areas where travel distances (residential/work or retail sites, for example) are short enough for bicycle/ pedestrian travel to be practical.

**Project Code:**

Variables:	Source		NO <sub>x</sub>	VOC
EF <sub>B</sub> : Speed-based running exhaust emission factor for participants' trip before participating in the bike/pedestrian program (NO <sub>x</sub> or VOC) (grams/mile) (assume 34 mph, LDV and arterial roadway types)	MOVES2014a	EF <sub>B</sub> :	0.09	0.03
TEF <sub>AUTO</sub> : Auto trip-end emission factor (NO <sub>x</sub> or VOC) (grams/trip)	MOVES2014a	TEF <sub>AUTO</sub> :	0.59	0.71
TL <sub>B</sub> : Average auto trip length before implementation (miles)	COG default	TL <sub>B</sub> :	1.00	1.00
N <sub>BW</sub> : Number of trips utilizing the bike/pedestrian facility Where, NBW is calculated using bike needs indices (BNI) and pedestrian needs indices (PNI). The BNI is determined by the percentage of total trips that are five miles or less, employment density, population density, and medium income. Each of the Transportation Analysis Process (TAP) zones within the Dallas-Fort Worth Metropolitan Planning Area are ranked for each factor of the BNI and PNI. These rankings are compared against the regional value to generate an "index-to-region" score. Index-to-region scores greater than 1.00 indicate higher than average levels, and scores lower than 1.00 indicate lower than average levels. A ranking weight is then applied to each index-to-region score and summed for each TAP zone. The TAP zone area, population, and scores are compared against a site-specific radius for each bike/pedestrian facility to quantify the number of facility users (NBW) above, with exceptions. Natural and manmade barriers are also considered, including rivers, highways, and other incompatible land uses and street patterns.	BP team	N <sub>BW</sub> :	2,292.00	2,292.00

**Conversion Factor:** Convert grams per mile of emissions to pounds per mile of emissions

**Conversion Factor:** 453.6 453.6

Results:		NO <sub>x</sub>	VOC
<b>Daily Emissions Reduction Factor</b>	$(N_{BW} * TL_B * EF_B) + (N_{BW} * TEF_{AUTO}) / \text{Conversion Factor}$		
	<b>Daily Emission Reduction (lbs/day) =</b>	<b>3.44</b>	<b>3.74</b>
	<b>Daily Emission Reduction (tons/day) =</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>

Source: The Texas Guide to Accepted Mobile Source Emission Reduction Strategies, August 2007.

Shading denotes input variables specific to the project. Other variables are either standard for the program or calculated using these inputs:

Blue - Project Specific Input

Yellow - Assumptions

Green - Emission Factors